FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		3. Date of Land Evaluation Request				4. Sheet 1 o	4. Sheet 1 of	
1. Name of Project		5. Federal Agency Involved						
2. Type of Project		6. County and State						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		1. Date Request Received by NRCS			2. Person Completing Form			
 Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmlan (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this for 		YES I NO I I			4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size			
5. Major Crop(s)	6. Farmable Land	6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdict		7. Amount of		t of Farmland As De	Farmland As Defined in FPPA	
	Acres:		%		Acres: %			
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	9. Name of Local	Name of Local Site Assessment System			10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS			
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Alternati Corridor A	1	dor For So idor B	egment Corridor C	Corridor D	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly								
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive	e Services							
C. Total Acres In Corridor							1	
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evalua	tion Information							
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland								
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland								
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Convert								
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Sar	ne Or Higher Relativ	/e Value						
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation In		Relative						
value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale	í í							
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corric Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 2		laximum Points						
1. Area in Nonurban Use		15						
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use		10						
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed		20						
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government		20						
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average		10						
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland		25						
7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services		5						
8. On-Farm Investments		20						
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services		25						
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use		10						
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS		160						
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)								
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100						
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160						
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260						
Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Fa Converted by Pro		. Date Of S	Selection:	4. Was	A Local Site	e Assessment Use	d?	

5. Reason For Selection:

Signature of Person Completing this Pa	rt:
--	-----

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

(Rev. 1-91)

DATE

Ē

CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor - type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor - type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
 More than 90 percent - 15 points
 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
 Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
More than 90 percent - 10 points
90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?

More than 90 percent - 20 points *FLUCFCS Codes are 2100 (cropland and pastureland), 2200 (tree crops), and 4400 (tree plantations).* 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s) *49% is active pasture and tree plantations = 9 points* Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?

Site is protected - 20 points Site is not protected - 0 points Differential assessment of Florida farmland = 20 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County ? (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with \$1,000 or more in sales.) The largest parcel is 88 acres, which equals 41% of the county average = 0 points

Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?

Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s) Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?

All required services are available - 5 points Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s) No required services are available - 0 points

Many of the required farm services are available. Therefore, four (4) points were used.

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures? High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points

Average conditions within the site are low to no on-farm investments. Some irrigation/drainage ditches are present. Therefore 2 points were used.

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area? Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
No reduction in demand for farm support services is anticipated as a result of the conversion of farmland.

No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points = 0 point = 0 point

No reduction in demand for farm support services is anticipated as a result of the conversion of farmland = 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?

Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s) Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points

There is potential for some adjacent properties to be affected by some form of future land development/conversion. Therefore, three (3) points were assessed.