TECHNICAL REPORT COVERSHEET

CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT REVIEW

Florida Department of Transportation

District One

Harborview Road PD&E Re-evaluation

Limits of Project: From Melbourne Street to I-75

Charlotte County, Florida

Financial Management Number: 434965-2-52-01

ETDM Number: 5351

Date: October 2023

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022 and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. RESULTS	1.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
LIST OF FIGURES	2.	RESULTS	. 2
	3.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	. 5
Figure 1. Location of the Harboryiew Road (CR 776) corridor			
rigure 1. Location of the Harborview Road (CR 7/0) confider	Fig	ure 1. Location of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor	3
Figure 2. Location of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor.	Fig	ure 2. Location of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor	4

APPENDICES

Attachments: SHPO Concurrence Letters

1. INTRODUCTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One, is planning improvements to Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to Interstate 75 (I-75) in Charlotte County, Florida, a distance of approximately 2.3 miles (**Figure 1 and 2**). The project proposes to widen Harborview Road from two to four lanes between Melbourne Road and I-75 to address capacity needs based on projected travel demand generated by future population and economic growth. The proposed roadwork consists of widening, drainage improvements, and safety-related improvements. As a result of a recent request from Charlotte County to avoid a large conservation easement, the alignment of the roadway widening at the western end of the project was shifted to the north to limit widening on the north side only. There was also an adjustment in the footprint of the stormwater ponds (Scalar 2023). This is a federally funded project and part of on-going improvements to Harborview Road (CR 776).

A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was prepared by Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) in 2018 for the PD&E Study (ACI 2018a). The CRAS resulted in the discovery of no pre-Contact or historic archaeological sites. In addition, 12 previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01451-8CH01456, 8CH01461-8CH01462, & 8CH02053), and six (6) newly recorded historic resources (8CH02722-8CH02727) were noted. These 18 historic resources include 17 buildings and one linear resource (8CH02053). None of the 18 historic resources meets the criteria for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. Also at this time, a Pond Technical Memorandum was prepared for six proposed pond sites and one Historic Basin Storage (HBS) (ACI 2018b). The findings of the Pond Technical Memorandum resulted in no historic or pre-Contact archaeological sites or historic resources being identified within any of the proposed pond sites or HBS site. The CRAS and Pond Technical Memorandum were submitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in 2018 and the SHPO concurred with the survey findings (Attachment; SHPO File No. 2017-2462; Parsons 2018). The Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study (FPID 434965-1-22-01) was approved by the Office of Environmental Management as a Type 2 Categorical Exclusion (CE) on October 14, 2019. The Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study (FPID 434965-1-22-01) was approved by the Office of Environmental Management as a Type 2 Categorical Exclusion (CE) on October 14, 2019.

In 2022, ACI conducted a CRAS addendum of four proposed pond sites that were selected following the pond siting analysis (ACI 2022). The addendum resulted in the discovery of no pre-Contact or historic archaeological sites. No historic resources were identified within any of the proposed pond sites; however, four historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites. This included three buildings (8CH01338, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) located adjacent to proposed Pond Site 1-2B and the historic linear resource, Harborview Road (8CH02053), located adjacent to proposed pond sites 1-2B, 3C, 4B and 5-6C. These four resources were recorded/updated in 2018, at which time they were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The SHPO concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no historic properties affected (Attachment; SHPO File No. 2022-7311; Lotane 2022).

In addition, another CRAS Addendum was prepared to update the previous PD&E Study CRAS that was prepared in 2018 as well as the 2022 Pond addendum. This Addendum included field survey for the additional right-of-way (ROW) needed for the roadway mainline and one pond site, Pond 1-2B, that will utilize remainders of parcels proposed for impact by mainline widening. This Addendum also included a historic resource update for the mainline corridor to identify, record and evaluate historic resources that were constructed between 1962 and 1976 that were not included in the previous 2018 PD&E Study CRAS since they were not yet 50 years old at the time or were identified within the new area of potential effects (APE) to account for the additional ROW. The addendum resulted in no pre-Contact period archaeological sites being located.

The historic/architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 36 historic resources within the APE. Of these, 18 were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated (8CH02782 – 8CH02799) and the remaining 18 historic resources were previously recorded (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01452, 8CH01454, 8CH01455, 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722 - 8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742) within the APE. The previously recorded resources were not re-evaluated since the SHPO already determined they were ineligible for listing in the NRHP, and no significant changes were observed during the field survey. The newly identified resources include 16 buildings (8CH02783 and 8CH02798) that were constructed between circa (ca.) 1962 and ca. 1976 and two building complex resource groups (8CH02782 and 8CH02799); all were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The SHPO concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no affect to historic properties (Attachment; SHPO File No. 202207311; Lotane 2023). Of the 36 extant historic resources, three (8CH01338, 8CH01456, and 8CH02784)) are located within the relocated pond site 1-2B and three (8CH01454, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) are located immediately adjacent. Furthermore, two previously recorded historic resources (8CH01451 and 8CH01453) were found to be demolished.

2. RESULTS

ACI reviewed the new plans with what we have previously surveyed (ACI 2018a, 2018b, 2022, 2023) (**Figures 1 and 2**) and determined that the changes were minor. In addition, where the changes are occurring was considered to have a low archaeological probability. This combined with negative results for all the surveys completed by ACI, no additional archaeological work was needed. Background research, including a review of the property appraiser's records (Polk 2023) did not reveal any historic resources that needed to be recorded or updated, thus no additional historic survey was needed.



Figure 1. Location of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor.



Figure 2. Location of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor.

3. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 2018a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. Draft, ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 25342.
- 2018b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. Survey No. 25344.
- Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2023 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.

Lotane, Alissa S.

- 2022 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.: 2033-7311: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee.
- 2023 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.: 203307311: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Parsons, Timothy

2018 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.:2017-2462: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Polk, Paul L.

2023 Charlotte County Property Appraiser. Accessed February 24, 2023. https://www.ccappraiser.com/default.aspx.

Scaler, Inc.

2023 Harborview Road - Change in Alignment; electronically received, August.

APPENDIX A: SHPO Concurrence Letters



Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR 801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, FL 33830 MIKE DEW SECRETARY

October 24, 2018

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director Florida Division of Historical Resources Department of State, R.A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250 r'cd 12/18/18

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study From Melbourne Street to I-75 Charlotte County, Florida Financial Management Number: 434965-1-22-01

Dear Dr. Parsons:

A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was performed within the area of potential effect (APE) along Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to west of I-75 in Charlotte County, Florida, including six proposed pond sites and one Historic Basin Storage (HBS) site for the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) during their Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study which will serve to evaluate the proposed widening of Harborview Road. Thus, the purpose of this PD&E study is to evaluate engineering and environmental data and document information that will aid Charlotte County, FDOT, and the Office of Environmental Management (OEM) in determining the type, preliminary design and location of the proposed improvements. The proposed action involves widening Harborview Road to improve connectivity between US 41 and I-75, provide multimodal accommodations, enhance safety, and improve emergency evacuation. The proposed typical section for Harborview Road will include sidewalks and bicycle lanes to accommodate pedestrian and bicycle traffic along the corridor. The project length is approximately 2.3 miles.

Based on the scale and nature of the proposed undertaking, the archaeological APE was defined as the existing roadway right-of-way (ROW) for the corridor and the footprint of the proposed ponds and HBS site. The historic/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and property parcels immediately adjacent to the roadway and immediately adjacent to the proposed pond sites and HBS site.

This CRAS was conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. The investigations were carried out in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT's PD&E Manual, FDOT's Cultural Resources Manual, and the standards contained in the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operations Manual (FDHR 2003). In

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director Harborview Road, Charlotte, Florida FPID No.: 434965-1-22-01 October 24, 2018 Page 3 of 3

	The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) finds the attached Cultural Res Assessment Survey Report complete and sufficient and concurs/ do concur with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/Project File Number Or, the SHPO finds the attached document concurs insufficient information.	ent and concurs/ does not provided in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR		
	SHPO Comments:			
5	Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director State Historic Preservation Officer Florida Division of Historical Resources			



RON DESANTIS GOVERNOR 801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, FL 33830 JARED W. PERDUE, P.E. SECRETARY

October 27, 2022

Ms. Alissa S. Lotane, Director Florida Division of Historical Resources Department of State, R.A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attn: Transportation Compliance Review Program

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum

Proposed Pond Sites

Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study

From Melbourne Street to I-75 Charlotte County, Florida FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01

Dear Ms. Lotane:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate the proposed widening, from two-lanes to four-lanes of Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75 in Charlotte County (Figure 1). In 2018, ACI submitted a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) of Harborview Road and a Technical Memorandum for proposed pond sites, between Melbourne Street between I-75 (Survey Nos. 25342, 25344). The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no historic properties affected (SHPO File No. 2017-2462). The focus of this study was four proposed pond sites that will be part of the proposed road widening. The study was conducted to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related federal and state laws, rules, and regulations and is part of on-going improvements to the Burnt Store Road PD&E study.

The archaeological APE is defined as the area contained within the footprint of each proposed pond site, and the historical/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and a 100-foot buffer.

This CRAS was conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*. The investigations were carried out in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT's PD&E Manual, FDOT's Cultural Resources Manual, and the standards contained in the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operations Manual (FDHR 2003). In addition, this survey meets the specifications set forth in Chapter 1A-46, Florida Administrative Code.

Ms. Alissa Lotane, Director Harborview Ponds Addendum, Charlotte County FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01 October 2, 2022 Page 2 of 3

Archaeological background research and a review of the Florida Master Site File (FMSF) and the NRHP indicated that no previously recorded historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were identified within any of the proposed pond sites. However, two previously recorded sites, 8CH00502 and 8CH00499, were recorded within a mile of the APE. 8CH00502 is a prehistoric midden located along the north shore of the Peace River about 1200 feet (ft) south of the APE. Similarly, 8CH00499, the Northside Midden, is recorded about 800 ft south of the western terminus of the project APE. A review of relevant site locational information for environmentally similar areas within Charlotte County and the surrounding region indicated areas of moderate to low potential for the occurrence of prehistoric sites within the APE. As a result of the field survey, no archaeological sites were identified within the APE.

The historical/architectural background research included a review of the previous Harborview Road CRAS and pond memo, the Florida Master Site File (FMSF), and the NRHP. The research indicated that no historic resources had been previously recorded within the proposed pond sites; however, four previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites within the APE. This includes three buildings (8CH01338, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) located adjacent to proposed Pond 1-2B and the historic linear resource, Harborview Road (8CH02053), located adjacent to proposed pond sites 1-2B, 3C, 4B, and 5C. These four resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP in 2018 during the CRAS of Harborview Road and a survey for proposed pond sites between Melbourne Street between I-75 (Survey Nos. 25342, 25344). A review of relevant historic United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Charlotte County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for no new historic resources 46 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Polk 2022). This was confirmed during the field reconnaissance survey. The four previously recorded historic resources were not updated since no significant changes were observed during the field survey.

The CRAS Addendum is provided for your review and comment. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (863) 519-2515 or email me at lauren.peters@dot.state.fl.us.

—Docusigned by: Lawren Peters

-50252A479EEF47F...

Lauren Peters Environmental Project Manager Florida Department of Transportation, District One

Enclosures: One original copy of the CRAS Report (October 2022), One Completed Survey Log

CC: Jay Winter, Scaler, Inc. Maranda Kles, ACI Ms. Alissa Lotane, Director Harborview Ponds Addendum, Charlotte County FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01 October 2, 2022 Page 3 of 3

Assessment Survey Report complete and sufficient at concur with the recommendations and findings provide Project File Number 202207311	nd concurs/ does not ded in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR
Project File Number <u>202207311</u> . Or the SI insufficient information.	aro mus the attached document contains
SHPO Comments:	
	11/18/2022
Ms. Alissa S. Lotane, Director State Historic Preservation Officer	Date
Florida Division of Historical Resources	



RON DESANTIS GOVERNOR 801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, FL 33830 JARED W. PERDUE, P.E. SECRETARY

April 6, 2023

Ms. Alissa S. Lotane, Director Florida Division of Historical Resources Department of State, R.A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attn:

Transportation Compliance Review Program

RE:

Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum

Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study

From Melbourne Street to I-75 Charlotte County, Florida FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01

Dear Ms. Lotane:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate the proposed widening, from two-lanes to four-lanes of Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75 in Charlotte County. In 2018, ACI submitted a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) of Harborview Road and a Technical Memorandum for proposed pond sites, between Melbourne Street between I-75 (Survey Nos. 25342, 25344). The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no historic properties affected (SHPO File No. 2017-2462). In 2022, ACI submitted a CRAS addendum of four proposed pond sites that were selected following the pond siting analysis. The addendum resulted in the discovery of no pre-Contact or historic archaeological sites. No historic resources were identified within any of the proposed pond sites; however, four historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites and had been determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO in 2018. The SHPO concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no historic properties affected (SHPO File No. 2022-7311).

This current CRAS Addendum is being prepared to update the previous PD&E Study CRAS that was prepared in 2018 as well as the 2022 Pond addendum. This Addendum includes field survey for the additional ROW needed for the roadway mainline and one pond site, Pond 1-2B, that will utilize remainders of parcels proposed for impact by mainline widening. This Addendum also includes a historic resource update for the mainline corridor to identify, record and evaluate historic resources that were constructed between 1962 and 1976 that were not included in the previous 2018 PD&E Study CRAS since they were not yet 50 years old at the time or were identified within the new area of potential effects (APE) to account for the additional ROW.

The archaeological APE is defined as the area contained within the footprint of proposed improvements. The historical/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and immediately adjacent parcels. This APE remains in-keeping with the 2018 CRAS (ACI 2018a; Survey No. 25342).

Ms. Alissa Lotane, Director Harborview CRAS Addendum, Charlotte County FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01 April 6, 2023 Page 2 of 3

All work was conducted to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966 (Public Law 89-665, as amended), as implemented by 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 800 (Protection of Historic Properties, effective August 2004), as well as Chapters 267 and 373, Florida Statutes (FS) and Chapter 1A-46, Florida Administrative Code (FAC). All work was performed in accordance with the standards outlined in the Cultural Resources Management Standards & Operational Manual (Florida Division of Historical Resources [FDHR] 2003) and the Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Manual (FDOT 2020). The purpose of this analysis was to identify the presence of resources listed in or considered eligible for listing in the NRHP per the criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4 and if applicable, to apply the Criteria of Adverse Effects, as set forth in 36 CFR Part 800.5(a)(1) to the project. Principal Investigators meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture.

As a result of the archaeological background research, no previously recorded historic or pre-Contact period archaeological sites were identified within the APE. However, two previously recorded sites, 8CH00502 and 8CH00499, were recorded within a mile of the APE. 8CH00502 is a prehistoric midden (FMSF) located along the north shore of the Peace River about 1200 ft south of the APE. Similarly, 8CH00499, the Northside Midden, is recorded about 800 ft south of the western terminus of the project APE. A review of relevant site locational information for environmentally similar areas within Charlotte County and the surrounding region indicated areas of moderate to low potential for the occurrence of pre-Contact period archaeological sites within the APE. The background research indicated that sites, if present, would most likely be small shell middens or artifact scatters. As a result of field survey, including the current excavation of 28 shovel tests, no archaeological sites were identified within the APE.

The historical/architectural background research, including a review of the FMSF database and the NRHP, indicated that 20 historic resources have been previously recorded within the APE (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01451 - 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722 - 8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742). All of the previously recorded historic resources within the APE have been determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The historic/architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 36 historic resources within the APE. Of these, 18 were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated (8CH02782 – 8CH02799) and the remaining 18 historic resources were previously recorded (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01452, 8CH01454, 8CH01455, 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722 -8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742) within the APE. The previously recorded resources were not re-evaluated since the SHPO already determined they were ineligible for listing in the NRHP, and no significant changes were observed during the field survey. The newly identified resources include 16 buildings (8CH02783 and 8CH02798) that were constructed between circa (ca.) 1962 and ca. 1976 and two building complex resource groups (8CH02782 and 8CH02799). Overall, the buildings have been altered, lack sufficient architectural features, and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. The building complex resource groups are common mobile home parks found throughout Florida and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a part of a historic district. Furthermore, as a result of the field survey, two previously recorded historic resources (8CH01451 and 8CH01453) were found to be demolished. Of the 36 extant historic resources, three (8CH01338, 8CH01456, and 8CH02784)) are located within the relocated pond site 1-2B and three (8CH01454, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) are located immediately adjacent.

Ms. Alissa Lotane, Director Harborview CRAS Addendum, Charlotte County FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01

April 6, 2023 Page 3 of 3

Based on the results of the background research and field investigations, no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, eligible, or that appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP are located within the APE. Therefore, it is the professional opinion of ACI that the proposed undertaking will result in no historic properties affected.

The CRAS Addendum is provided for your review and comment. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (863) 519-2515 or email at lauren.peters@dot.state.fl.us.

Docusigned by:

Lawren Peters

50252A479EEF47F...

Lauren Peters Environmental Project Manager Florida Department of Transportation, District One

Enclosures: One original copy of the CRAS Addendum (April 2023), 18 FMSF forms, One Completed Survey Log

CC: Kristin A. Caruso, Scalar, Inc. Maranda Kles, ACI

No.	
The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) of Assessment Survey Addendum complete and sufficient and not concur with the recommendations and findings provided	nd concurs/ does
Project File Number 202207311 . Or the SHPO	
	finds the attached document contains
insufficient information.	
SHPO Comments:	
-	
L	
11.00 1 C	
- Fally & Chase	
() A-wi	
0 1/61	4.26.2023
Ms. Alissa S. Lotane, Director	Date
State Historic Preservation Officer	
Florida Division of Historical Resources	
Trong Stripton of Thotoliam Hanomiaan	

TECHNICAL REPORT COVERSHEET

CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY ADDENDUM FOR MAINLINE AND PONDS

Florida Department of Transportation

District One

Harborview Road PD&E Re-evaluation

Limits of Project: From Melbourne Street to I-75

Charlotte County, Florida

Financial Management Number: 434965-2-52-01

ETDM Number: 5351

Date: April 2023

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022 and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTE	RODUCTION	1
2. PRO	JECT DESCRIPTION	5
3. ENV	TRONMENTAL SETTING	6
4. CUL	TURAL OVERVIEWS	10
5. BAC	KGROUND RESEARCH AND CONSIDERATIONS	12
	VEY METHODS	
	VEY RESULTS	
	ICLUSIONS	
9. BIBI	LIOGRAPHY	37
LIST OF	FIGURES	
Figure 1.	Location of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and four preferred pond site	s 4
Figure 2.	Soil types within the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and preferred pond site(s)	7
Figure 3.	Soil types within the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and preferred pond	
Eigung 4	site(s)	8
Figure 4.	Soil types within the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and preferred pond site(s)	9
Figure 5.	1952 and 1970 aerial photographs of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor	
Figure 6.	Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources	
Figure 7.	within one-half mile of the APE. Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources	14
riguie 7.	within one-half mile of the APE.	15
Figure 8.	Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources within one-half mile of the APE.	
Figure 9.	Correct location of the Hickory Bluff Cemetery (8CH01906) in relation to the	10
	inaccurate previously recorded GIS data.	
Figure 10.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Location of shovel tests within the Archaeological APE.	
	Location of shovel tests within the Archaeological APE.	
	Location of historic resources within the APE.	
	Location of historic resources within the APE.	
	Location of historic resources within the APE.	
	Inaccessible ca. 1973 building located at 24181 Harborview Road	
	. Inaccessible ca. 1973 building located at 25180 Harborview Road	36
LIST OF	TABLES	
Table 1.	Previously recorded historic resources within the Harborview Road (CR 776)	
TT 11 2	mainline APE.	13
Table 2.	Newly recorded and previously recorded historic resources within the	22
	Harborview Road (CR776) mainline APE.	23

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo 1.	Looking northwest at area where pond is being expanded at Laverne Street	6
Photo 2.	Looking west at along Harborview Road at DeLeon Drive	6
Photo 3.	Looking northeast at Harborview Road at Danforth Drive	6
Photo 4.	Looking southwest at Harborview Road at Charlotte City Utilities	6
Photo 5.	Sample soil stratigraphy within the APE.	. 19
Photo 6.	Masonry Vernacular style building (8CH02784) located at 24108 Harborview Road, looking north.	. 28
Photo 7.	Masonry Vernacular style building (8CH02793) located at 24541 Harborview Road, looking south.	. 28
Photo 8.	Frame Vernacular style building (8CH02786) located at 24164 Harborview Road, looking north.	. 29
Photo 9.	Ranch style building (8CH02724) located at 25059 Harborview Road, looking south	. 30
Photo 10.	Contemporary style building (8CH01461) located at 25118 Harborview Road, looking north.	. 31
Photo 11.	Mobile home with no style (8CH02789) located at 24437 Harborview Road #1, looking southeast.	. 32
Photo 12.	Mobile home with no style (8CH02790) located at 24437 Harborview Road #2, looking southeast.	. 32
Photo 13.	Harborview Road (CR 776) (8CH02053), looking northeast from LeBeth Street	. 34

APPENDICES

Appendix A: SHPO Concurrence Letter

Appendix A: SITO Concurrence Letter
Appendix B: ROW Exhibit
Appendix C: Florida Master Site File Forms
Appendix D: Building Demolition Letter
Appendix E: Survey Log

1. INTRODUCTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One, is planning improvements to Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75 in Charlotte County, Florida, a distance of approximately 2.3 miles (Figure 1). The Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study (FPID 434965-1-22-01) was approved by the Office of Environmental Management as a Type 2 Categorical Exclusion (CE) on October 14, 2019. The project proposes to widen Harborview Road from two to four lanes between Melbourne Street and Interstate 75 (I-75) to address capacity needs based on projected travel demand generated by future population and economic growth. The proposed roadwork consists of widening, drainage improvements, and safety-related improvements. A Design Change and right-of-way (ROW) Re-evaluation is currently being prepared to evaluate changes to the approved typical section, that consists of a four-lane divided urban roadway with 11-foot travel lanes bordered by Type F curb and gutter, 10-foot shared use paths on both sides of the roadway, and a raised 22-foot median. As a result of these design changes, additional ROW width is needed for the roadway mainline which varies along the corridor but on average, is approximately 125-feet (ft), which requires approximately 45-ft of additional ROW. This results in acquisition need of 17.37 acres for roadway. In addition, following the pond siting analysis, a total of four (4) pond sites have been selected. One of these is the same as a PD&E-identified pond (Pond 5-6C), while the remaining three sites are new locations identified during the design phase of the project. Of these sites, Pond 1-2B uses remainders of parcels proposed for impact by mainline widening. This is a federally funded project and part of on-going improvements to Harborview Road (CR 776).

A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was prepared by Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) in 2018 for the PD&E Study (ACI 2018a). The CRAS resulted in the discovery of no pre-Contact or historic archaeological sites. In addition, 12 previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01451-8CH01456, 8CH01461-8CH01462, & 8CH02053), and six (6) newly recorded historic resources (8CH02722-8CH02727) were noted. These 18 historic resources include 17 buildings and one linear resource (8CH02053). None of the 18 historic resources meets the criteria for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. Also at this time, a Pond Technical Memorandum was prepared for six proposed pond sites and one Historic Basin Storage (HBS) (ACI 2018b). The findings of the Pond Technical Memorandum resulted in no historic or pre-Contact archaeological sites or historic resources being identified within any of the proposed pond sites or HBS site. The CRAS and Pond Technical Memorandum were submitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in 2018 and the SHPO concurred with the survey findings (Appendix A; SHPO File No. 2017-2462; Parsons 2018).

In addition, ACI conducted a CRAS addendum of four proposed pond sites that were selected following the pond siting analysis (ACI 2022). The addendum resulted in the discovery of no pre-Contact or historic archaeological sites. No historic resources were identified within any of the proposed pond sites; however, four historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites. This includes three buildings (8CH01338, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) located adjacent to proposed Pond site 1-2B and the historic linear resource, Harborview Road (8CH02053), located adjacent to proposed pond sites 1-2B, 3C, 4B and 5-6C. These four resources were recorded/updated in 2018, at which time they were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The SHPO concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no historic properties affected (**Appendix A**; SHPO File No. 2022-7311; Lotane 2022).

This current CRAS Addendum is being prepared to update the previous PD&E Study CRAS that was prepared in 2018 as well as the 2022 Pond addendum. This Addendum includes field survey for the additional ROW needed for the roadway mainline and one pond site, Pond 1-2B, that will utilize remainders of parcels proposed for impact by mainline widening. This Addendum also includes a historic resource update for the mainline corridor to identify, record and evaluate historic resources that were constructed

between 1962 and 1976 that were not included in the previous 2018 PD&E Study CRAS since they were not yet 50 years old at the time or were identified within the new area of potential effects (APE) to account for the additional ROW.

The purpose of this survey was to locate and identify any cultural resources within the project APE that were not previously addressed in earlier studies and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). As defined in 36 CFR Part § 800.16(d), the APE is the "geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist." The archaeological APE is defined as the area contained within the footprint of proposed improvements. The historical/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and immediately adjacent parcels. This APE remains in-keeping with the 2018 CRAS (ACI 2018a; Survey No. 25342). The archaeological and historical/architectural field surveys were conducted in February and March 2023.

All work was conducted to comply with Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act* (NHPA) of 1966 (Public Law 89-665, as amended), as implemented by 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 800 (Protection of Historic Properties, effective August 2004), as well as Chapters 267 and 373, Florida Statutes (FS) and Chapter 1A-46, Florida Administrative Code (FAC). All work was performed in accordance with the standards outlined in the Cultural Resources Management Standards & Operational Manual (Florida Division of Historical Resources [FDHR] 2003) and the Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Manual (FDOT 2020). The purpose of this analysis was to identify the presence of resources listed in or considered eligible for listing in the NRHP per the criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4 and if applicable, to apply the Criteria of Adverse Effects, as set forth in 36 CFR Part 800.5(a)(1) to the project. Principal Investigators meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture.

As a result of the archaeological background research, no previously recorded historic or pre-Contact period archaeological sites were identified within the APE. However, two previously recorded sites, 8CH00502 and 8CH00499, were recorded within a mile of the APE. 8CH00502 is a prehistoric midden (FMSF) located along the north shore of the Peace River about 1200 ft south of the APE. Similarly, 8CH00499, the Northside Midden, is recorded about 800 ft south of the western terminus of the project APE. A review of relevant site locational information for environmentally similar areas within Charlotte County and the surrounding region indicated areas of moderate to low potential for the occurrence of pre-Contact period archaeological sites within the APE. The background research indicated that sites, if present, would most likely be small shell middens or artifact scatters. As a result of field survey, including the current excavation of 28 shovel tests, no archaeological sites were identified within the APE.

Historic background research, including a review of the FMSF database and the NRHP, indicated that 20 historic resources have been previously recorded within the APE (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01451 – 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722 - 8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742). Of these, six are of the Masonry Vernacular style (8CH01444, 8CH01454, 8CH01455, 8CH01462, 8CH02741, 8CH02742), six are Frame Vernacular style (8CH01338, 8CH01446, 8CH01456, 8CH01451, 8CH01452, 8CH01453), five are Ranch style (8CH02722, 8CH02723, 8CH02724, 8CH02725, 8CH02727), two are Contemporary style (8CH01461 and 8CH02726), and one is a linear resource – Harborview Road (8CH02053). All of the previously recorded historic resources within the APE have been determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. A review of relevant historic United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Charlotte County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for 20 new historic resources 47 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Faux 2023).

The historic/architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 36 historic resources within the APE. Of these, 18 were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated (8CH02782 – 8CH02799) and the remaining 18 historic resources were previously recorded (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01452, 8CH01454, 8CH01455, 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722 - 8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742) within the APE. The previously recorded resources were not re-evaluated since the SHPO already determined they were ineligible for listing in the NRHP, and no significant changes were observed during the field survey. The newly identified resources include 16 buildings (8CH02783 and 8CH02798) that were constructed between circa (ca.) 1962 and ca. 1976 and two building complex resource groups (8CH02782 and 8CH02799). Overall, the buildings have been altered, lack sufficient architectural features, and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. The building complex resource groups are common mobile home parks found throughout Florida and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a part of a historic district. Furthermore, as a result of the field survey, two previously recorded historic resources (8CH01451 and 8CH01453) were found to be demolished. Of the 36 extant historic resources, three (8CH01338, 8CH01456, and 8CH02784)) are located within the relocated pond site 1-2B and three (8CH01454, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) are located immediately adjacent.

In addition to the 18 historic resources identified within the APE, the Charlotte County property appraiser identified two historic resources that could not be evaluated or recorded during the field survey due to lack of accessibility and/or obstructed views from the ROW. These are two ca. 1973 buildings located at 24181 Harborview Road and 25180 Harborview Road. The building at 24181 Harborview Road is located down a private driveway that is lined with trees and is positioned behind another residential building that is closer to the roadway. The building at 25180 Harborview Road is located down a long private driveway and is blocked by trees. Based on available information, these resources are probably typical examples of vernacular style buildings; however, because the resources are not visible or accessible from the ROW, the status and condition of the resources are unknown. Per the project Roadway Plans dated December 2022, ROW acquisition is proposed from both parcels. The only anticipated impacts to the property will occur to the driveway. The buildings are a considerable distance from the proposed improvements, 100-feet to 240-feet respectively, and are hidden by existing vegetation on the parcel.

Based on the results of the background research and field investigations, no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, eligible, or that appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP are located within the APE. Therefore, it is the professional opinion of ACI that the proposed undertaking will result in no historic properties affected.



Figure 1. Location of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and four preferred pond sites.

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The FDOT, District One, is proposing to widen Harborview Road from two to four lanes between Melbourne Street and I-75 to address capacity needs based on projected travel demand generated by future population and economic growth (**Figure 1**). The total project length is approximately 2.3 miles. The project is located just northeast of Charlotte Harbor, Florida and falls within Sections 20, 21, 29 and 30, Township 40 South, Range 23 East, and Section 25, Township 40 South, Range 22 East. The proposed roadwork consists of widening, drainage improvements, and safety-related improvements. The PD&E Study (FPID 434965-1-22-01) was approved by the Office of Environmental Management as a Type 2 CE on October 14, 2019. The current design has changed from that prepared during the PD&E Study which has resulted in the following changes discussed in detail below:

The recommended PD&E typical section for Harborview Road was a four-lane divided urban roadway with 11-foot travel lanes, curb and gutter in the inside and outside edges of pavement, seven-foot buffered bicycle lanes bordered by six-foot sidewalks on both sides, and a 30-foot median. In addition to the existing 80-ft ROW, approximately 34 feet of additional ROW was needed to accommodate the proposed improvements (**Appendix B**). This resulted in acquisition need of 11.0 acres for roadway and 9.5 acres for stormwater management for a combined ROW need of 20.5 acres.

Since approval of the PD&E Study, the typical section was modified and approved and now consists of a four-lane divided urban roadway with 11-foot travel lanes bordered by Type F curb and gutter, 10-foot shared use paths on both sides of the roadway, and a raised 22-foot median. The reduced median width did not affect the posted speed and did not require a design variation. The shared-use paths were proposed by FDOT and agreed upon by Charlotte County. With the shared-use paths separated from the travel lanes, this design offers a safer travel environment for bicyclists.

The primary design change in the horizontal alignment occurs at the first road curve near Laverne Street. The curve is being flattened from the PD&E recommended alignment to enhance safety for motorists. It would also improve access to the parcels on the south side of the roadway. Additional ROW is required throughout the corridor due to raising the road profile due to the high-water table and accounting for sea-level rise in the design.

The proposed stormwater management pond site locations also changed. There were seven preferred pond locations in the PD&E Study: one pond site alternative for each of the six drainage basins, as well as one historic drainage basin pond. The ponds were sized to meet the requirements of FDOT and SWFWMD for treatment of stormwater to provide a conservative estimate of ROW need for stormwater management. The PD&E-identified pond locations, as well as additional pond locations within each basin, were more fully evaluated during the design phase, including examining options to combine basins to reduce the total number of ponds and using remnant parcels resulting from mainline widening impacts. Evaluation factors included engineering considerations, environmental data, social impacts such as relocations, and cost. Following the pond siting analysis, a total of four pond sites have been selected. One of these is the same as a PD&E-identified pond (Pond 5-6C), while the remaining three sites are new locations identified during the design phase of the project. Of these sites, Pond 1-2B uses remainders of parcels proposed for impact by mainline widening.

As a result of these design changes, additional ROW width is needed for the roadway mainline which varies along the corridor but on average, is approximately 125 feet, which requires approximately 45 feet of additional ROW. This results in acquisition need of 17.37 acres for roadway. Due to refinement of stormwater pond needs and pond site locations, 4.93 acres is required for the stormwater management design. Overall, the design changes result in a combined ROW need of 22.30 acres. This is an increase of

1.80 acres from the PD&E-phase estimate of 20.50 acres. The FDOT is preparing a Design Change and Right-of-Way Authorization Re-evaluation for this project.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The APE is located in Township 40 South, Range 22 East, Section 25 and Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Sections 30, 29, 20, and 21 on the north side of the Peace River between Melbourne Street and I-75. Geologically, the project area lies within the Gulf Coastal Lowlands physiographic province (Puri and Vernon 1964). The Lowlands, for the most part, consist of level to nearly level plains and are characterized by poor drainage (United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] 1984:1). Soils within the project corridor are part of the Oldsmar-Myakka soil association. This association includes nearly level and poorly drained soils found on flatwoods vegetated in South Florida slash pine, sawpalmetto, and pineland threeawn (USDA 1984). These flatwoods soils typically consist of one to three feet of organic stained sand. The specific soil types within the APE are shown on **Figures 2 - 4**. The topography of this province includes coastal ridges, sand dune ridges, estuaries, lagoon, and barrier islands. All these features are typical of the Peace River area and Charlotte Harbor. The project elevations vary between 0 and 5 ft above mean sea level (amsl). Environmental features are shown on **Figures 6 - 8** in Section 5.

Today, very little natural vegetation exists within the APE as much has been removed as the result of the general development of the area (**Photos 1-4**).



Photo 1. Looking northwest at area where pond is being expanded at Laverne Street.



Photo 2. Looking west at along Harborview Road at DeLeon Drive.



Photo 3. Looking northeast at Harborview Road at Danforth Drive.



Photo 4. Looking southwest at Harborview Road at Charlotte City Utilities.



Figure 2. Soil types within the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and preferred pond site(s).




Figure 3. Soil types within the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and preferred pond site(s).



Figure 4. Soil types within the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor and preferred pond site(s).

4. CULTURAL OVERVIEWS

In-depth historic and pre-Contact period overviews were included in the 2018 PD&E, Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida and are not repeated here (ACI 2018a). The CRAS document was submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Parsons 2018; FDHR Project File No. 2017-2462). The following historic context overview is an updated, condensed history for Charlotte County and the project area.

From 1900 through the 1920s, Charlotte County experienced some growth due to Florida's recognition as a recreation and tourist haven, reclamation projects, and the beginning of the Florida road systems around 1916 (Historic Property Associates [HPA] 1989). In 1921, Charlotte County was formed out of DeSoto County, and Punta Gorda became the county seat (Fernald and Purdum 1996). The construction of US Highway 41, or the Tamiami Trail, played a significant role in this development. Prior to its inception in 1915, portions of the Tamiami Trail existed in the form of county roads. When the Florida State Road Department began joining these disparate roadways, traffic increased, and southwest Florida's tourist industry was born. At its completion in 1928, the Tamiami Trail connected Tampa to Miami via Bradenton, Sarasota, Venice, and Englewood (Scupholm 1997).

During the Depression Era, Charlotte County experienced a decrease in population. In 1930, only 4013 people were living in the county. Many of these residents lived in farm settlements at Sancassa, Charlotte Harbor, Cleveland, and Burdock, and commercial fishing villages such as Placida and McCall. Throughout the 1940s, Charlotte County's population remained stable, increasing slightly. By 1990, Charlotte County's population had risen to 110,975 (U.S. Census bureau [USCB] 1995). Charlotte County is still experiencing growth because of increased tourism, and residential development, though it is slower than the rest of the state. The 2010 census lists Charlotte County as 29th in population with 159,978, an increase of 13.0% over the past decade (USCB 2012).

The construction of suburbs and malls changed the character of Florida's cities creating a string of development along coastal areas. In southwest Florida, development was concentrated along the coast with the completion of I-75 generating a spurt of activity that has continued today. Since 1960, this area, along with the rest of Florida, has benefited from an influx of retirees and tourists, making Florida one of the fastest growing states in the nation. During the 1970s, Charlotte County became one of Florida's fastest growing counties. A review of historic aerial photographs reveals that Harborview Road had been constructed through the APE by ca. 1952 (USDA 1952a,b) (Figure 5). The surrounding area was predominantly undeveloped wetlands at this time with few residential properties, an early mobile home park along the shore of Charlotte Harbor/the Peace River, and natural and channelized creeks. By ca. 1970, residential development along Harborview Road had expanded significantly, including the construction of subdivisions such as Bayview, Harbor Pines, Decosters Addition to Harborview, and Suncoast Harbor (Polk 2023, USDA 1970) (Figure 5). An existing creek was channelized, and multiple connecting canals were constructed at the Suncoast Harbor subdivision toward the eastern end of the APE. Residential development continued throughout the 1970s, including the construction of a new mobile home park and the expansion of an adjacent mobile home park (USDA 1974). Harborview Road also appears to have been improved between ca. 1970 and 1974 (USDA 1970, 1974). The APE and surrounding area had generally reached the current configuration by ca. 1985 and the I-75 interchange had been constructed to the east. (FDOT 1985). Additional subdivisions were constructed to the north of the APE during the late 1990s and into the 2000s (Google Earth 2023).



Figure 5. 1952 and 1970 aerial photographs of the Harborview Road (CR 776) corridor.

5. BACKGROUND RESEARCH AND CONSIDERATIONS

Field survey was preceded by background research which included a review of the archaeological and historical literature, records, and other documents and data pertaining to the project. The focus of this research was to ascertain the types of cultural resources known in the project vicinity, their temporal/cultural affiliations, site location information, and other relevant data. This included a review of sites listed in the NRHP, the FMSF, cultural resource survey reports, published books and articles, unpublished manuscripts, maps, and materials on file at ACI. Prior to initiating the archaeological and historical survey of the APE, ACI reviewed the CRAS for Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75 (ACI 2018a) and the preliminary pond memo (ACI 2018b), which indicated that no NRHP listed or determined eligible cultural resources had been identified within the project APE.

A review of the Punta Gorda USGS quadrangle map and the FMSF showed that one shell midden type site (8CH00502) had been recorded about 1200 ft south of the project APE, and another, 8CH00499, was recorded about 800 ft south of the western terminus of the corridor (**Figures 6-8**). Both recorded resources are located well outside the project APE and will not be affected by the proposed undertaking.

Archaeological surveys in the general area include the 1995 Charlotte Harbor Mounds Survey, conducted as part of a grant awarded to the Charlotte Harbor Environmental Center (CHEC 1996). Several previously recorded sites were relocated during the survey and included the Acline Mound, the Whidden Brand Midden, and the Aqui Esta Mound. In addition, 24 other archaeological sites were recorded by the survey, but none in the vicinity of the project. Other CRAS reports in the area include transportation projects (ACI 1999, 2004b, 2005; Jones 1975, 1977), developments (ACI 1991, 2004a; Austin 2005a, 2005b; Pickles et al. 2005), and waterlines (ACI 2009; Burns 2009), as well as a survey southwest of the corridor (ACI 2013) for a wastewater extension project. No recorded archaeological sites are proximate to the project APE. The archaeological APE was considered to have a moderate to low potential for the discovery of pre-Contact period archaeological sites and a low potential for historic archaeological sites.

The historical/architectural background research included a review of the previous Harborview Road CRAS report, two pond reports, the FMSF database, and NRHP. The research indicated that 20 historic resources have been previously recorded within the APE (Figures 6-8; Table 1). Of these, 12 were updated (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01451 – 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053) and six were newly identified (8CH02722, 8CH02723, 8CH02724, 8CH02725, 8CH02726, 8CH02727) during the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida* (ACI 2018a; Survey No. 25342). Two additional buildings (8CH02741 and 8CH02742) were recorded in 2018 during the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida* (ACI 2018b; Survey No. 25344). The 20 aforementioned previously recorded resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO in 2018.

In addition, the Hickory Bluff Cemetery (8CH01906) is located in close proximity to, but outside of, the APE (**Figure 6**). The cemetery was first recorded in 2008 during Phase *II of the Charlotte County Resource Survey* and has not been evaluated by the SHPO. However, the boundary of the cemetery was determined to have been inaccurately plotted within the FMSF geographic information system (GIS) data during the Pond Addendum survey, the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida* conducted by ACI in 2022. The boundaries to the Hickory Bluff Cemetery are clearly depicted by the map and GPS coordinates presented in Scot Shively's report, *Hickory Bluff Cemetery: A Charlotte County Pioneer African American Cemetery* (Shively 2016). A historic marker is located on the west side of Rowland Drive marking the area of the cemetery, which is approximately 300-ft southwest of the proposed

pond site. Furthermore, the Port Charlotte Bethanie French Seventh Day Adventist Church along with an associated parking lot has been constructed where the cemetery was plotted in the Florida Site File. **Figure 9** depicts the inaccurate GIS plotting of the cemetery in relation to the correct location. In relation to the project improvements, the church parking lot and Rowland Drive are situated between the signed and fenced location of the cemetery in the field and the location of Pond 3-C. In addition, the area along the proposed ROW for the road improvement and within Pond 3-C were subjected to archaeological testing during the previous Harborview CRAS conducted in 2018 and the 2022 pond survey, and there was no evidence that the cemetery extends into the project APE.

Table 1. Previously recorded historic resources within the Harborview Road (CR 776) mainline APE.

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Year Built	Style/Type	SHPO Evaluation
8CH02053	Harborview Road	ca. 1935	Linear Resource	Ineligible
8CH01338	24094 Harborview Road	ca. 1950	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02741	24090 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02742	24092 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01456	24126 Harborview Road	ca. 1930	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01454	24130 Harborview Road	ca. 1951	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01455	24167 Harborview Road	ca. 1953	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01453	24189 Harborview Road	ca. 1929	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02722	24198 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Ranch	Ineligible
8CH01451	24211 Harborview Road	ca. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01452	24225 Harborview Road	ca. 1935	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01444	24234 Harborview Road	ca. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01446	24251 Harborview Road	ca. 1951	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02723	24493-24497 Harborview Road	ca. 1958	Ranch	Ineligible
8CH02724	25059 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Ranch	Ineligible
8CH02725	25082 Harborview Road	ca. 1958	Ranch	Ineligible
8CH01462	25098 Harborview Road	ca. 1957	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02726	25108 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Contemporary	Ineligible
8CH01461	25118 Harborview Road	ca. 1956	Contemporary	Ineligible
8CH02727	196 Danforth Drive	ca. 1958	Ranch	Ineligible

A review of relevant historic USGS quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Charlotte County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for 20 new historic resources 47 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Polk 2023). In addition, three culverts, constructed between ca. 1970 and 1974, are located within the Harborview Road project corridor. These are common examples of post-1945 concrete culverts. Per the ordinance with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) *Program Comment for Streamlining Section 106 Review for Actions Affecting Post-1945 Concrete and Steel Bridges* issued in November 2012, these culverts are exempt from individual review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (Federal Register 2012:68793). As such, the three culverts will not be recorded or evaluated as part of this survey.




Figure 6. Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources within one-half mile of the APE.




Figure 7. Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources within one-half mile of the APE.




Figure 8. Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources within one-half mile of the APE.




Figure 9. Correct location of the Hickory Bluff Cemetery (8CH01906) in relation to the inaccurate previously recorded GIS data.

6. SURVEY METHODS

The FDHR's Module Three, Guidelines for Use by Historic Professionals, indicates that the first stage of archaeological field survey is a reconnaissance of the project area to "ground truth," or ascertain the validity of the predictive model (FDHR 2003). During this part of the survey, the researcher assesses whether the initial predictive model needs adjustment based on disturbance or conditions such as constructed features (i.e., parking lots, buildings, etc.), underground utilities, landscape alterations (i.e., ditches and swales, mined land, dredged and filled land, agricultural fields), or other constraints that may affect the archaeological potential. Additionally, these Guidelines indicate that non-systematic "judgmental" testing may be appropriate in urbanized environments where pavement, utilities, and constructed features make systematic testing unfeasible; in geographically restricted areas such as proposed pond sites; or within project areas that have limited high and moderate probability zones, but where a larger subsurface testing sample may be desired. While predictive models are useful in determining preliminary testing strategies in a broad context, it is understood that testing intervals may be altered due to conditions encountered by the field crew at the time of survey.

Archaeological field methodology consisted of a visual examination of the APE followed by judgmental shovel testing. Most of the shovel tests were dug to 100 centimeters (cm) unless impeded by fill. All soil removed from the test pits was screened through a 6.4-millimeter (mm) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were recorded with Trimble Juno 5D Series/Terrasync mobile mapping application and following the recording of relevant data such as stratigraphic profile, all shovel tests were refilled.

Historic/architectural field methodology consisted of a field survey of the APE to determine and verify the location of all buildings and other historic resources (i.e. bridges, roads, cemeteries) that are 47 years of age or older (constructed in or prior to 1976), and to establish if any such resources could be determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. The field survey focused on the assessment of existing conditions for all previously recorded historic resources located within the project APE, and the presence of unrecorded historic resources within the project area. For each property, photographs were taken, and information needed for the completion of FMSF forms was gathered. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic resource was reviewed to assess style, historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. Also, informant interviews would have been conducted, if possible, with knowledgeable persons to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible associations with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

Laboratory Procedures and Curation: In the event that cultural materials were recovered, they would be initially cleaned and sorted by artifact class and subjected to a limited technological analysis. However, no artifacts were found as a result of this survey.

All project related information will be housed at Archaeological Consultants, Inc., in Sarasota (Project file No. P20107A), pending transfer to a FDOT-designated repository for permanent storage and curation.

Inadvertent/Unanticipated Discoveries: Occasionally, archaeological deposits, subsurface features or unmarked human remains are encountered during the course of development, even though the project area may have previously received a thorough and professionally adequate cultural resources assessment. Such events are rare, but they do occur. In the event that human remains are encountered during the course of development, the procedures outlined in Chapter 872, FS must be followed. However, it was not anticipated that such sites would be found during this survey.

In the event such discoveries are made during the development process, all activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will be suspended, and a professional archaeologist will be contacted to evaluate the importance of the discovery. The area will be examined by the archaeologist, who, in consultation with staff of the Florida SHPO, will determine if the discovery is significant or potentially significant. In the event the discovery is found to be not significant, the work may immediately resume. If, on the other hand, the discovery is found to be significant or potentially significant, then development activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will continue to be suspended until such time as a mitigation plan, acceptable to SHPO, is developed and implemented. Development activities may then resume within the discovery area, but only when conducted in accordance with the guidelines and conditions of the approved mitigation plan.

7. SURVEY RESULTS

Archaeological: Field survey resulted in a visual reconnaissance and the excavation of a total 97 shovel tests; this includes 58 from the 2017 survey and 12 from the 2022 survey. The distribution of the shovel test pits is shown on Figures 10 - 12. The 30 current shovel tests were placed judgmentally, and most were dug to 100 cm unless impeded by fill. All soil removed from each t was screened through a 6.4 mm mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were recorded with Trimble Juno 5D Series/Terrasync mobile mapping application and following the recording of relevant data such as stratigraphic profile, all shovel tests were refilled. A reasonable and good faith effort was made per the regulations laid out in 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1) (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.) to survey all areas of the project APE. General soil stratigraphy consisted of 0-20 cm below surface (bs) of gray sand; 20-80 cmbs of light gray sand; and 80-100 cmbs of dark brown sand (Photo 5).



Photo 5. Sample soil stratigraphy within the APE.

As a result of this archaeological testing, no sites were found, and no additional archaeological testing is recommended.



Figure 10. Location of shovel tests within the Archaeological APE.




Figure 11. Location of shovel tests within the Archaeological APE.



Figure 12. Location of shovel tests within the Archaeological APE.

Historical: Background research revealed that 20 historic resources were previously recorded within the APE (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01451 – 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722, 8CH02723, 8CH02724, 8CH02725, 8CH02726, 8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742). These include 19 buildings and one linear resource (8CH02053). All of the previously recorded historic resources within the APE have been determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO.

As a result of the historic/architectural field survey, 36 historic resources were identified within the APE (Table 2; Figures 13-15). Of these, 18 were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated (8CH02782 – 8CH02799) and the remaining 18 historic resources were previously recorded (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01452, 8CH01454, 8CH01455, 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722 - 8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742) within the APE. The previously recorded resources were not re-evaluated since the SHPO already determined they were ineligible for listing in the NRHP, and no significant changes were observed during the field survey. The newly identified resources include 16 buildings (8CH02783 and 8CH02798) that were constructed between ca. 1962 and ca. 1976 and two building complex resource groups (8CH02782 and 8CH02799). Overall, the buildings have been altered, lack sufficient architectural features, and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. The building complex resource groups are common mobile home parks found throughout Florida and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a part of a historic district. Furthermore, as a result of the field survey, two previously recorded historic resources (8CH01451 and 8CH01453) were found to be demolished. Of the 36 extant historic resources, three (8CH01338, 8CH01456, and 8CH02784)) are located within the relocated pond site 1-2B and three (8CH01454, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) are located immediately adjacent.

 Table 2. Newly recorded and previously recorded historic resources within the Harborview Road

(CR776) mainline APE.

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Year Built	Style/Type	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation
*8CH02053	Harborview Road (CR 776)	ca. 1935	Linear Resource	Ineligible
8CH02783	24010 Harborview Road	ca. 1973	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH01338	24094 Harborview Road	ca. 1950	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH02741	24090 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH02742	24092 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02784	24108 Harborview Road	ca. 1976	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH01456	24126 Harborview Road	ca. 1930	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH01454	24130 Harborview Road	ca. 1951	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02785	24153 Harborview Road	ca. 1969	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02786	24164 Harborview Road	ca. 1969	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH01455	24167 Harborview Road	ca. 1953	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH01453	24189 Harborview Road	ca. 1929	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02787	24180 Harborview Road	ca. 1973	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH02722	24198 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Ranch	Ineligible
8CH01451	24211 Harborview Road	ca. 1940	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH01452	24225 Harborview Road	ca. 1935	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH01444	24234 Harborview Road	ca. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH01446	24251 Harborview Road	ca. 1951	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Year Built	Style/Type	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation
8CH02788	24300 Harborview Road	ca. 1971	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02799	24325 Harborview Road/ Harbor View on the Bay MHP	ca. 1950	Building Complex Resource Group	Ineligible
8CH02782	24437 Harborview Road/ Mary Lu Mobile Home Park	ca. 1971	Building Complex Resource Group	Ineligible
8CH02789	24437 Harborview Road #1	ca. 1971	No Style (Mobile Home)	Ineligible
8CH02790	24437 Harborview Road #2	ca. 1971	No Style (Mobile Home)	Ineligible
8CH02791	24450 Harborview Road	ca. 1969	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH02723	24493-24497 Harborview Road	ca. 1958	Ranch	Ineligible
8CH02792	181 Coconut Street	ca. 1973	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02793	24541 Harborview Road	ca. 1973	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02794	4075 Drance Street	ca. 1973	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02795	175 Guava Street	ca. 1962	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH02724	25059 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Ranch	Ineligible
*8CH02725	25082 Harborview Road	ca. 1958	Ranch	Ineligible
*8CH01462	25098 Harborview Road	ca. 1957	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH02726	25108 Harborview Road	ca. 1961	Contemporary	Ineligible
*8CH01461	25118 Harborview Road	ca. 1956	Contemporary	Ineligible
8CH02796	25148 Harborview Road	ca. 1973	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8CH02797	191 Purdy Drive	ca. 1972	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
*8CH02727	196 Danforth Drive	ca. 1958	Ranch	Ineligible
8CH02798	195 Danforth Drive	ca. 1972	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible

^{*}denotes previously recorded resources already evaluated by the SHPO and red text indicates a demolished resource.




Figure 13. Location of historic resources within the APE.



Figure 14. Location of historic resources within the APE.



Figure 15. Location of historic resources within the APE.

General descriptions and selected examples of the architectural styles represented within the APE follow. The FMSF forms for the newly identified historic resources are contained in **Appendix C** and all resources are summarized below in **Table 2**. A letter has been prepared notifying the FMSF of the two demolished resources and is contained in **Appendix D**. No informant interviews for historic resources were conducted during the field survey. A reasonable and good faith effort was made per the regulations laid out in 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1) (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.) to survey all areas of the APE.



Photo 6. Masonry Vernacular style building (8CH02784) located at 24108 Harborview Road, looking north.



Photo 7. Masonry Vernacular style building (8CH02793) located at 24541 Harborview Road, looking south.

Masonry Vernacular: A total of 18 historic resources (8CH01444, 8CH01454, 8CH01455, 8CH01462, 8CH02741, 8CH02742, 8CH02783, 8CH02784, 8CH02785, 8CH02788, 8CH02791 – 8CH02798) within the APE are of the Masonry Vernacular style (Photos 6 and 7). All of these resources were constructed as single-family residences and have construction dates that range from ca. 1950 to ca. 1976. Three of the former residences have been converted to other uses including a parsonage for an adjacent church (8CH02788), a retirement home (8CH02791), and community/classroom building for an adjacent mosque (8CH02796). Masonry Vernacular style buildings reflect the local customs, environment, and building materials, and do not rely on academic architectural vocabulary for their design and ornament. Within the APE, the resources generally have a continuous concrete block foundation and concrete block walls that have been painted or covered in stucco. The windows are typically metal or vinyl single-hung sash or sliding units. Roof types commonly consist of hip or gable roofs, and most are clad with composition shingles. Most display replacement windows and roofs, fenestration changes, and additions.



Photo 8. Frame Vernacular style building (8CH02786) located at 24164 Harborview Road, looking north.

Frame Vernacular: Six historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH01446, 8CH01452, 8CH01456, 8CH02786 and 8CH02787) within the APE are of the Frame Vernacular style (**Photo 8**) and were constructed between ca. 1930 and 1973. Frame Vernacular style buildings are simple structures built with available local materials and boasting little ornamentation (McAlester 2013). They are often built by developers, contractors, master carpenters, or the building's occupants. These buildings are decidedly practical structures. Within the APE, this building type has continuous concrete block and concrete slab foundations with wood frame structural systems. Roof types include an intersecting gable with a shed roof with composition shingles and a hip roof with a gable roof and hip roof extension additions. The exteriors of the buildings are clad in various siding, including stucco, cement mineral fiber boards, vinyl, stone, and artificial masonry veneer. The windows include vinyl single-hung sash and fixed units. Exterior ornamentation is minimal and includes shutters, stone accents, and exposed beams. The resources display alterations and additions, such as replacement roofing, siding, and windows, as well as large additions (8CH02787).



Photo 9. Ranch style building (8CH02724) located at 25059 Harborview Road, looking south.

Ranch: Five historic resources (8CH02722, 8CH02723, 8CH02724, 8CH02725, and 8CH02727) within the APE are of the Ranch style (**Photo 9**) and were constructed between ca. 1958 and 1961. These are all residential buildings. The style, which gained popularity after World War II, features low-slung buildings and a low-pitched roof with large windows (McAlester and McAlester 2013). Within the APE, this building type has concrete slab foundations with gable or cross-gabled roofs clad with composition shingles. The majority of these buildings are clad in stucco. Fenestration typically includes awning and single-hung sash windows. Exterior ornamentation is minimal, and typically consists of gable vents and extended eaves with boxed rafters. Most display alterations or additions, such as replacement roofs and windows and living-space additions. In 2018, these five resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP.



Photo 10. Contemporary style building (8CH01461) located at 25118 Harborview Road, looking north.

Contemporary: Two historic resources (8CH01461 and 8CH02726) within the APE are of the Contemporary style (**Photo 10**) and were constructed in ca. 1956 and 1961. The style, which gained popularity in the 1950s, features flat roofs with wide overhanging eaves or low-pitched roofs, and the building is often clad with contrasting materials and textures (McAlester 2013). Within the APE, this building type has a continuous slab foundation and flat or cross-gabled roofs. The exteriors are clad in concrete block or brick. Window units include jalousie, single-hung sash, and metal awning. The example displays alterations, such as replacement roofing. In 2018, both of these resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP.



Photo 11. Mobile home with no style (8CH02789) located at 24437 Harborview Road #1, looking southeast.



Photo 12. Mobile home with no style (8CH02790) located at 24437 Harborview Road #2, looking southeast.

No Style: Two historic resources within the project APE are mobile homes with no style (8CH02789 and 8CH02790) and were constructed in ca. 1971 (**Photos 11 and 12**). The representative mobile homes within the APE are single-wide with porches and carports and all display alterations. This building type rests on a pier foundation that is surrounded with decorative concrete blocks. Metal single-hung sash and awning windows are most common, and exterior ornamentation is minimal. These manufactured buildings have no style and are simply built in a factory with a steel chassis to allow for the

ability to be moved. The two mobiles are representative and contributing resources to the Mary Lu Mobile Home Park (8CH02782).

Building Complex Resource Groups

8CH02782: The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is a building complex resource group located at 24437 Harborview Road in Section 30 of Township 40 South, Range 23 East (USGS 1957). The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is a post-World War II era trailer park that was established in ca. 1971 (Figure 14). Within the boundaries of the resource group, as contained within the APE, there are two contributing resources. These include two representative mobile homes constructed in ca. 1971 (8CH02789 and 8CH02790). There are approximately 105 additional non-contributing resources within the mobile home park. It was beyond the scope of work for this CRAS to identify all resources within the entire resource group, and only representative mobile homes or permanent structures within the APE visible from the rightof-way were evaluated. The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is comprised of two main north-south streets which meet to the south and one east-west street to the north. The resource group is bounded roughly by Harborview Road to the north, Date Street to the east, an unnamed creek to the west, and the Peace River to the south and located within Charlotte County parcel # 402330281001 (Polk 2023). The mobile homes are predominantly oriented east-west with the shortest end of the mobile home facing the street. Most appear to have an attached carport with a concrete driveway. An analysis of historic aerial imagery reveals that the Mary Lu Mobile Home Park, which was opened in ca. 1971, was well established and had reached its current configuration by ca. 1974 (USDA 1974).

Alterations over the years appear to be limited to the development of the remaining vacant lots and amenity improvements at the southern end of the mobile home park. Community amenities are limited to a ca. 2006 recreational hall with a shuffleboard court and ca. 2002 fishing pier at the southern end of the mobile home park adjacent to the Peace River; however, these are not accessible from the public right-of-way (Mary Lu Park n.d.). Few alterations have occurred since the 1970s with the exception of updates to or the replacement of historic mobile homes within the resource group and the construction of new amenities. The building complex resource group is limited to a basic layout with few community amenities and a recreational hall. The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is a common mobile home park found throughout Florida. The resource group is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction and background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8CH02782 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

8CH02799: The Harbor View on the Bay Mobile Home Park is a building complex resource group located at 24325 Harborview Road in Section 30 of Township 40 South, Range 23 East (USGS 1957). Harbor View on the Bay is a post-World War II era mobile home park that was established by ca. 1950 (News-Press 1950). There are approximately 128 non-contributing resources within the mobile home park (Figure 14). It was beyond the scope of work for this CRAS to identify all resources within the entire resource group. No representative mobile homes or permanent structures within the APE were accessible from the public right-of-way as the mobile home park is gated. An analysis of historic aerial imagery reveals that the Harbor View on the Bay MHP - known as Harbor View Park until ca. 2021 - was originally located along the shore of Charlotte Harbor/the Peace River (USDA 1952a). The mobile home park was comprised of a large cul-de-sac with multiple buildings located along the shoreline and was accessed by a north-south roadway connecting to Harborview Road to the north. A fishing pier was present at this time. An additional road was constructed to the north of the cul-de-sac by ca. 1970 (USDA 1970). The mobile home park was significantly expanded in ca. 1974 with the opening of additional lots to the north of the original southern portion (USDA 1974). By ca. 1985, the northern portion of the resource group had been fully developed and the original southern portion of the property was reconfigured to the current design with Snook Avenue taking the place of the original cul-de-sac (FDOT 1985).

Today, the Harbor View on the Bay Mobile Home Park is comprised of a main road named Manatee Loop with two east-west cross streets – Tarpon Street and Snook Avenue – and an inner north-south street, Redfish Way. Two small cul-de-sacs, named Sawfish Way and Sea Trout Way, are located along the eastern side of Manatee Loop. The resource group is bounded roughly by Harborview Road to the north, an unnamed creek to the east, single-family residential properties to the west, and the Peace River to the south and located within Charlotte County parcel # 402330251008 (Polk 2023). The mobile homes are predominantly oriented east-west or north-south with the shortest end of the mobile home facing the street. The mobile homes located on cul-de-sacs are slightly angled toward the road. Most appear to have an attached carport with a concrete driveway. Following the completion of the park's expansion, alterations appear to be limited to the development of the remaining vacant lots. Several mobile homes along Redfish Way were demolished and/or removed between ca. 2004 and ca. 2018 (Google Earth 2023). Community amenities are limited to an activities clubhouse containing an arts and crafts area, library, and game room, a shuffleboard court adjacent to the clubhouse, and a fishing pier projecting into the Peace River (Harbor View on the Bay n.d.). These are not accessible from the public right-of-way. The Harbor View on the Bay MHP has been significantly altered over the years through large-scale expansion and redesign of the original plan. The building complex resource group is limited to a basic layout with few community amenities and a clubhouse. The Harbor View on the Bay Mobile Home Park is a common mobile home park found throughout Florida and it is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction, and background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8CH02799 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Linear Resource



Photo 13. Harborview Road (CR 776) (8CH02053), looking northeast from LeBeth Street.

The segment of Harborview Road (CR 776) (**Photo 13**) was constructed c. 1935 and connects Charlotte Harbor to the community of Harborview. It is a two-lane road paved with asphalt, and it has sporadic dedicated turn lanes and no shoulder. Within the project area, Harborview Road extends from its intersection with Melbourne Street to the southwest to its intersection with I-75 to the northeast. The road

exhibits evidence of modern upkeep and alterations and does not retain integrity to convey significance. In addition, it does not embody a distinctive type, period, or method of construction, and background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. In 2018, this segment of Harborview Road (CR 776) was determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP.

Non-accessible Resources

In addition to the 18 historic resources identified within the APE, the Charlotte County property appraiser identified two historic resources that could not be evaluated or recorded during the field survey due to lack of accessibility and/or obstructed views from the ROW. These are two ca. 1973 buildings located at 24181 Harborview Road and 25180 Harborview Road. The building at 24181 Harborview Road is located down a private driveway that is lined with trees and is positioned behind another residential building that is closer to the roadway (**Figure 16**). The building at 25180 Harborview Road is located down a long private drive and is blocked by trees **Figure 17**). Based on available information, these resources are probably typical examples of vernacular style buildings; however, because the resources are not visible or accessible from the ROW, the status and condition of the resources are unknown. Per the project Roadway Plans dated December 2022, ROW acquisition is proposed from both parcels. The only anticipated impacts to the property will occur to the driveway at both locations. The buildings are a considerable distance from the proposed improvements, 100-feet to 240-feet respectively, and are hidden by existing vegetation on the parcel.




Figure 16. Inaccessible ca. 1973 building located at 24181 Harborview Road. Red boundary depicts the proposed new ROW.



Figure 17. Inaccessible ca. 1973 building located at 25180 Harborview Road. Red boundary depicts the proposed ROW.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Archaeological survey consisted of a visual examination of the APE followed by subsurface shovel testing within the APE. No artifacts were recovered from any shovel tests within the APE. The historic/architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 36 historic resources within the APE. Of these, 18 were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated (8CH02782 - 8CH02799) and the remaining 18 historic resources were previously recorded (8CH01338, 8CH01444, 8CH01446, 8CH01452, 8CH01454, 8CH01455, 8CH01456, 8CH01461, 8CH01462, 8CH02053, 8CH02722 - 8CH02727, 8CH02741, 8CH02742) within the APE. The previously recorded resources were not re-evaluated since the SHPO already determined they were ineligible for listing in the NRHP, and no significant changes were observed during the field survey. The newly identified resources include 16 buildings (8CH02783 and 8CH02798) circa (ca.) 1962 and ca. 1976 and two building complex resource groups (8CH02782 and 8CH02799). Overall, the buildings have been altered, lack sufficient architectural features, and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. The building complex resource groups are common mobile home parks found throughout Florida and are not significant embodiments of a type, period, or method of construction. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, the resources do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a part of a historic district. Furthermore, as a result of the field survey, two previously recorded historic resources (8CH01451 and 8CH01453) were found to be demolished. Based on the results of the background research and field investigations, no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, eligible, or that appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP are located within the APE. Therefore, it is the professional opinion of ACI that the proposed undertaking will result in no historic properties affected.

9. **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

n.d. Meeting the "Reasonable and Good Faith" Identification Standard in Section 106 Review. Accessed at ttp://www.achp.gov/docs/reasonable good faith identification.pdf.

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 1991 A Cultural Resource Assessment of the Harborview DRI Parcel, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 1999 A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Interstate 75 over the Peace River from Exit 29, US 17 (SR 35) to Exit 30, CR 766 (Harborview Road), Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota
- 2004a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Devonshire Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2004b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Piper Road Corridor Study, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2005 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey I-75 PD&E Study from SR 78 to North of Kings Highway, Lee and Charlotte Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2009 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Regional Integrated Loop System Loop Phase IA Interconnect Project, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2013 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey North Shore Drive Wastwater Extension Project, Charlotte County Florida, ACI, Sarasota.
- 2018a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. Draft, ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 25342.
- 2018b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. Survey No. 25344.
- 2022 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota

Austin, Robert J.

- 2005a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Harborview East Development Property, Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.
- 2005b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Harborview West Development Property, Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.
- 2008 Survey of Historical Resources, Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.

Burns, Jason M.

2009 Submerged Cultural Resources Assessment Survey of the Peace River Crossing in Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.

Charlotte Harbor Environmental Center

1996 Charlotte Harbor Mound Survey. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Federal Register

2012 Program Comment Issued for Streamlining Section 106 Review for Actions Affecting Post-1945 Concrete and Steel Bridges. Volume 77, Issue 222 (November 16, 2012): 68790-68795. Federal Register, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Fernald, Edward A. and Elizabeth D. Purdum, Eds.

1996 Atlas of Florida. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

- 1985 Aerial Photograph. 12-20-85, PD-3309-11-12. *Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS)*. Aerial Photography Archive, Tallahassee.
- 2020 Project Development and Environmental Manual Part 2, Chapter 8, "Archaeological and Historical Resources." FDOT, Tallahassee.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)

- n.d. Florida Master Site File Form Information.
- 2003 *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual.* Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Google Earth

2023 Google Earth Imagery.

Harbor View on the Bay

n.d. "Lifestyle." Harbor View on the Bay. Accessed February 27, 2023. https://www.harborviewonthebay.com/lifestyle/.

Historic Property Associates (HPA)

Historic Properties Survey of Charlotte County, Florida. Historic Property Associates, Inc., St. Augustine.

Jones, B. Calvin

- Annual Progress Report of the Cooperative Agreement for the Archaeological Salvage Program Between the Florida Department of Transportation and the Division of Archives, History, and Records Management, Florida Department of State. FDHR, Tallahassee.
- 1977 Annual Progress Report of the Cooperative Agreement for the Archaeological Salvage Program between the Florida Department of Transportation and the Division of Archives History and Records Management, Florida Department of State. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Lotane, Alissa s.

2022 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.: 2033-7311: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee.

McAlester, Virginia Savage

A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America's Domestic Architecture. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.

Mary Lu Park

n.d. "All About Us." Mary Lu Park. Accessed February 27, 2023. http://marylupark.com/?page_id=1983.

News-Press

"Three Small Negro Boys are Drowned at Punta Gorda." *News-Press*, July 11, 1950. Accessed February 27, 2023. https://www.newspapers.com/.

Parsons, Timothy

2018 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.:2017-2462: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Pickles, Keith J., Lucy B. Wayne, and Martin F. Dickinson

2005 Cultural Resources Survey and Assessment, Bella Via, Charlotte County, Florida. SouthArc, Inc., Gainesville.

Polk, Paul L.

2023 Charlotte County Property Appraiser. Accessed February 24, 2023. https://www.ccappraiser.com/default.aspx.

Puri, Harbans S. and Robert O. Vernon

Summary of the Geology of Florida and Guidebook to the Classic Exposures. Florida Geological Survey Special Publication No. 5, Tallahassee.

Scupholm, Carrie

1997 The Tamiami Trail: Connecting the East and West Coasts of the Sunshine State. *The Society for Commercial Archeology Journal* 15(2): 20-24.

Shively, Scot

2016 Hickory Bluff Cemetery: A Charlotte County Pioneer African American Cemetery.
Accessed October 2022,
https://www.charlottecountyfl.gov/core/fileparse.php/397/urlt/Hickory-Bluff-Cemetery-History-Final.pdf

United States Census bureau (USCB)

- 1995 Population of Counties by Decennial Census. United States Census Bureau, Population Division. www.census.gov/population/cencounts/fl190090.txt.
- 2012 Florida Quick Facts. http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/12000.html.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1952a Aerial Photograph. 1-9-52, DMV-2H-17. PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1952b Aerial Photograph. 1-11-52, DMV-2H-67. PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1970 Aerial Photograph. 2-14-70, DMV-1LL-41. PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1974 Aerial Photograph. 2-26-74, 12015-474-147. PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1984 Soil Survey of Charlotte County. United States Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1957 Punta Gorda, Florida. *Photorevised 1972*.

APPENDIX A: SHPO Concurrence Letters



RON DESANTIS GOVERNOR 801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, FL 33830 JARED W. PERDUE, P.E. SECRETARY

October 27, 2022

Ms. Alissa S. Lotane, Director Florida Division of Historical Resources Department of State, R.A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attn: Transportation Compliance Review Program

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum

Proposed Pond Sites

Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study

From Melbourne Street to I-75 Charlotte County, Florida FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01

Dear Ms. Lotane:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate the proposed widening, from two-lanes to four-lanes of Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75 in Charlotte County (Figure 1). In 2018, ACI submitted a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) of Harborview Road and a Technical Memorandum for proposed pond sites, between Melbourne Street between I-75 (Survey Nos. 25342, 25344). The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no historic properties affected (SHPO File No. 2017-2462). The focus of this study was four proposed pond sites that will be part of the proposed road widening. The study was conducted to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related federal and state laws, rules, and regulations and is part of on-going improvements to the Burnt Store Road PD&E study.

The archaeological APE is defined as the area contained within the footprint of each proposed pond site, and the historical/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and a 100-foot buffer.

This CRAS was conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*. The investigations were carried out in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT's PD&E Manual, FDOT's Cultural Resources Manual, and the standards contained in the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operations Manual (FDHR 2003). In addition, this survey meets the specifications set forth in Chapter 1A-46, Florida Administrative Code.

Ms. Alissa Lotane, Director Harborview Ponds Addendum, Charlotte County FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01 October 2, 2022 Page 2 of 3

Archaeological background research and a review of the Florida Master Site File (FMSF) and the NRHP indicated that no previously recorded historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were identified within any of the proposed pond sites. However, two previously recorded sites, 8CH00502 and 8CH00499, were recorded within a mile of the APE. 8CH00502 is a prehistoric midden located along the north shore of the Peace River about 1200 feet (ft) south of the APE. Similarly, 8CH00499, the Northside Midden, is recorded about 800 ft south of the western terminus of the project APE. A review of relevant site locational information for environmentally similar areas within Charlotte County and the surrounding region indicated areas of moderate to low potential for the occurrence of prehistoric sites within the APE. As a result of the field survey, no archaeological sites were identified within the APE.

The historical/architectural background research included a review of the previous Harborview Road CRAS and pond memo, the Florida Master Site File (FMSF), and the NRHP. The research indicated that no historic resources had been previously recorded within the proposed pond sites; however, four previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites within the APE. This includes three buildings (8CH01338, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) located adjacent to proposed Pond 1-2B and the historic linear resource, Harborview Road (8CH02053), located adjacent to proposed pond sites 1-2B, 3C, 4B, and 5C. These four resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP in 2018 during the CRAS of Harborview Road and a survey for proposed pond sites between Melbourne Street between I-75 (Survey Nos. 25342, 25344). A review of relevant historic United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Charlotte County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for no new historic resources 46 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Polk 2022). This was confirmed during the field reconnaissance survey. The four previously recorded historic resources were not updated since no significant changes were observed during the field survey.

The CRAS Addendum is provided for your review and comment. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (863) 519-2515 or email me at lauren.peters@dot.state.fl.us.

Lauren Peters

---- 50252A479EEF47F...

Lauren Peters Environmental Project Manager Florida Department of Transportation, District One

Enclosures: One original copy of the CRAS Report (October 2022), One Completed Survey Log

CC: Jay Winter, Scaler, Inc. Maranda Kles, ACI Ms. Alissa Lotane, Director Harborview Ponds Addendum, Charlotte County FPID No.: 434965-2-52-01 October 2, 2022 Page 3 of 3

Assessment Survey Report complete and sufficient at concur with the recommendations and findings provide Project File Number 202207311	nd concurs/ does not ded in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR
Project File Number <u>202207311</u> . Or the SI insufficient information.	aro mus the attached document contains
SHPO Comments:	
	11/18/2022
Ms. Alissa S. Lotane, Director State Historic Preservation Officer	Date
Florida Division of Historical Resources	



Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR 801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, FL 33830 MIKE DEW SECRETARY

r'cd 12/18/18

October 24, 2018

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director Florida Division of Historical Resources Department of State, R.A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study From Melbourne Street to I-75 Charlotte County, Florida Financial Management Number: 434965-1-22-01

Dear Dr. Parsons:

A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was performed within the area of potential effect (APE) along Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to west of I-75 in Charlotte County, Florida, including six proposed pond sites and one Historic Basin Storage (HBS) site for the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) during their Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study which will serve to evaluate the proposed widening of Harborview Road. Thus, the purpose of this PD&E study is to evaluate engineering and environmental data and document information that will aid Charlotte County, FDOT, and the Office of Environmental Management (OEM) in determining the type, preliminary design and location of the proposed improvements. The proposed action involves widening Harborview Road to improve connectivity between US 41 and I-75, provide multimodal accommodations, enhance safety, and improve emergency evacuation. The proposed typical section for Harborview Road will include sidewalks and bicycle lanes to accommodate pedestrian and bicycle traffic along the corridor. The project length is approximately 2.3 miles.


Based on the scale and nature of the proposed undertaking, the archaeological APE was defined as the existing roadway right-of-way (ROW) for the corridor and the footprint of the proposed ponds and HBS site. The historic/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and property parcels immediately adjacent to the roadway and immediately adjacent to the proposed pond sites and HBS site.

This CRAS was conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. The investigations were carried out in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT's PD&E Manual, FDOT's Cultural Resources Manual, and the standards contained in the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operations Manual (FDHR 2003). In


Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director Harborview Road, Charlotte, Florida FPID No.: 434965-1-22-01 October 24, 2018 Page 3 of 3

	Assessment Survey Report complete and sufficient a concur with the recommendations and findings provided Project File Number 2017:2462. Or, the Sinsufficient information.	ided in this cover letter for SHPO/FD
	SHPO Comments:	
	-	
3	Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director State Historic Preservation Officer Florida Division of Historical Resources	12/19/2018 Date


APPENDIX B: ROW Exhibit





12/16/2022 1:39:23 PM USER: tswain





12/16/2022 1:39:24 PM USER: tswain















12/16/2022 1:39:29 PM USER: tswain







12/16/2022 1:39:32 PM USER: tswain





N/A


CHARLOTTE


434965-2-52-01


ROADWAY PLAN (13)
















12/16/2022 1:39:38 PM USER: tswain






















12/16/2022 1:39:50 PM USER: tswain



N/A

ROAD NO. COUNTY FINANCIAL PROJECT ID

434965-2-52-01

CHARLOTTE

ROADWAY PLAN (31)

SHEET NO.

APPENDIX C: Florida Master Site File Forms

Page 1



RESOURCE GROUP FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site #8(CH02782
Field Date_	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-24-2023
Recorder#	

Consult the Guide to the Resource Group Form for additional instructions

NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs). National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated with the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:
 ☐ Historic district (NR category "district"): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites ☐ Archaeological district (NR category "district"): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures ☐ Mixed district (NR category "district"): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings) ☑ Building complex (NR category usually "building(s)"): multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association ☐ Designed historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.) ☐ Rural historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.) ☐ Linear resource (NR category usually "structure"): Linear resources are a special type of structure or historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.
Resource Group Name Mary Lu Mobile Home Park Project Name CRAS Harborview Road Re-Evaluation Multiple Listing [DHR only] FMSF Survey # National Register Category (please check one): Subuilding(s) structure district site site sobject
Linear Resource Type (if applicable):
LOCATION & MAPPING
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 24437 Road
City/Town (within 3 miles) Port Charlotte In Current City Limits? □yes □no □unknown
County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Charlotte
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)
2) Township Range Section 1/4 section: DNW DSW DSE DNE Integritating
3) Township Range Section 1/4 section: DNW DSW DSE DNE
4) Township Range Section 1/4 section: DNW DSW DSE DNE
USGS 7.5' Map(s) 1) Name PUNTA GORDA USGS Date 1957 2) Name USGS Date
Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location) Landgrant
Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map)
Located within Charlotte County Parcel #402330281001; roughly bounded by Harborview Road to the
north, the Peace River to the south, Date Street to the east, and an unnamed creek to the west.
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:
KEEPER – Determined eligible: □yes □no Date □ Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b □c □d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)

RESOURCE GROUP FORM

HISTORY & DESCRIPTION
Construction Year:1971
Architect/Designer: Builder: # of non-contributing
1. Modern (Post 1950) 3. 4.
Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; attach supplementary sheets if needed)
See continuation sheet.
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Iibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (specify) ☐ USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes xno insufficient information yes xno insufficient information yes xno insufficient information xplanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.) See continuation sheet.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. 3. 5. 6. 2. 6. 6.
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
2) Document type Maintaining organization
2) Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5' MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
- **❷** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
- **3 TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES -** Include name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or other location information if no address.
- **4** PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETSCAPE OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources) When submitting images, they must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is a building complex resource group located at 24437 Harborview Road in Section 30 of Township 40 South, Range 23 East (USGS 1957). The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is a post-World War II era trailer park that was established in ca. 1971. Within the boundaries of the resource group, as contained within the APE, there are two contributing resources. These include two representative mobile homes constructed in ca. 1971 (8CH02789 and 8CH02790). There are approximately 105 additional non-contributing resources within the mobile home park. It was beyond the scope of work for this CRAS to identify all resources within the entire resource group, and only representative mobile homes or permanent structures within the APE visible from the right-of-way were evaluated.

The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is comprised of two main north-south streets which meet to the south and one east-west street to the north. The resource group is bounded roughly by Harborview Road to the north, Date Street to the east, an unnamed creek to the west, and the Peace River to the south and located within Charlotte County parcel # 402330281001 (Polk 2023). The mobile homes are predominantly oriented east-west with the shortest end of the mobile home facing the street. Most appear to have an attached carport with a concrete driveway. An analysis of historic aerial imagery reveals that the Mary Lu Mobile Home Park, which was opened in ca. 1971, was well established and had reached its current configuration by ca. 1974 (USDA 1974) (**Photo 1**). Alterations over the years appear to be limited to the development of the remaining vacant lots and amenity improvements at the southern end of the mobile home park. Community amenities are limited to a ca. 2006 recreational hall with a shuffleboard court and ca. 2002 fishing pier at the southern end of the mobile home park adjacent to the Peace River; however, these are not accessible from the public right-of-way (Mary Lu Park n.d.).

TRAILER PARK AND MOBILE HOME PARK (MHP) HISTORIC CONTEXT

The first two decades of the 20th century saw the emergence of affordable automobiles and the rapid growth of personal mobility. During this time, the increasingly popular travel trailer was being towed behind vehicles which allowed for inexpensive family vacations anywhere in the country. This mode of travel became an excellent way to save money, see different sites, and spend time with the family. The state of Florida became one destination with the sunny, mild climate, especially during the winter months. As these excursions became more popular, so too did the need for accommodations of trailers. By 1925, Florida had taken an early lead with 178 autocamps located throughout the state (Hatton 1987). In 1938, Florida was one of the most densely populated states that consisted of trailer camps in the United States (Wallis 1991).

The trailer park movement in Florida was more closely attributed to tourism; however, the national movement of mobile home living was more closely associated with War World II and the Great Depression. During World War II, trailer living became a semi-permanent residence for workers and following the War, trailer living became a more permanent residence among families as America experienced an immediate need for housing, more importantly, affordable housing (McAlester 2013). Year-round living in mobile homes grew to dominate the trailer industry after World War II with the subsequent housing shortage (Wallis 1991). The evolution of the trailer park layout transformed over time from a campground-like setting to a more permanent mobile home planned community design. Many site plans for Mobile Home Parks incorporated diagonal lots that allowed for a greater sense of "frontality with the street" (Wallis 1991). Social activities also became an essential function of the trailer park environment.

CONTINUATION SHEET




Photo 1. 1974 aerial photograph depicting the Mary Lu MHP in its early stages of development (USDA 1974). Orange line denotes approximate resource group boundary.

Few alterations have occurred since the 1970s with the exception of updates to or the replacement of historic mobile homes within the resource group and the construction of new amenities. The building complex resource group is limited to a basic layout with few community amenities and a recreational hall. The Mary Lu Mobile Home Park is a common mobile home park found throughout Florida. The resource group is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction and background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8CH02782 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

REFERENCES

Hatton, Hap

1987 Tropical Splendor: An Architectural History of Florida. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.

McAlester, Virginia Savage

A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America's Domestic Architecture. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Mary Lu Park

n.d. "All About Us." Mary Lu Park. Accessed February 27, 2023. http://marylupark.com/?page_id=1983.

Polk, Paul L.

2023 Charlotte County Property Appraiser. Accessed February 24, 2023. https://www.ccappraiser.com/default.aspx.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

1974 Aerial Photograph. 2-26-74, 12015-474-147. PALMM, Gainesville.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1957 Punta Gorda, Fla. Photorevised 1972.

Wallis, Allan D.

1991 Wheel Estate: The Rise and Decline of Mobile Homes. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.



PHOTOGRAPHS












AERIAL MAP





USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 30



Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02783
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Cate	egory (please check one)	Re-Evaluation ng structure dist	rict ☐ site ☐ object	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey # (DHR only) t □federal □Native American □foreign □unknown
USGS 7.5 Map Name City / Town (within 3 mile Township 40S R Tax Parcel # 40233 Subdivision Name UTM Coordinates: Zor Other Coordinates: X	Direction Street Name Harbory between PUNTA GORDA	USGS □In City Limits? □yes1/4 section: □NW □ 5056 Northing 2Coordii	Street Type Road ate 1957 Plat or C Ino	Suffix Direction Other Map CountyCharlotte Irregular-name: Lot
		HISTOR	Y	
Original Use Reside Current Use Other Use Moves: yes X Alterations: yes X Additions: yes X Architect (last name first) Ownership History (esp):	From (y From (y From (y From (y From (y Original addres Nature Nature Build n, etc.) Frederick	ear): 1973 ear): ear): s fing, hurrican der (last name first): P. Swing	To (year): To (year): To (year): e shutters
is the Resource Affect	ed by a Local Preservation Ordi	DESCRIPT		
Exterior Fabric(s) 1	Flat Built-up Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1	Exterior Plan <u>Ir</u> 2 2 2	regular	Number of Stories 1 333
Distinguishing Archited Non-structural Ancillary Features / O	ctural Features (exterior or interior of faux-mansard style 3V utbuildings (record outbuildings, major and stucco courtyard	r landscape features; use con	inuation sheet if needed.)	
DHR U	ISE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVAL	JATION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria : KEEPER – Determined eligible:	for NR listing: □yes □n □yes □n		Date Init Date

☐Owner Objection

☐d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b □c

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Masonry 2. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) S ELEV: obscured from public right-of-way
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated one-car garage on the E end of the S ELEV.
Archaeological RemainsCheck if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Ibitrary research ☐building permits ☐Sanborn maps ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐city directory ☐occupant/owner interview ☐plat maps ☐public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐historic photos ☐interior inspection ☐HABS/HAER record search ☑other methods (describe) ☐USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A 2) Document type Maintaining organization Document description File or accession #'s File or accession #'s Document description File Or accession #'s Document Maintain #'s Document High #'s Docu
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital \underline{AND} hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS








AERIAL MAP





USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 30



Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02784
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) _24108			2,	
	LO	CATION & MAP	PPING	
Address: Street Num Cross Streets (nearest	ber Direction Street Name Harborvier / between)	W	Street Type Road	Suffix Direction
USGS 7.5 Map Name	e PUNTA GORDA les) Port Charlotte In	USGS Date	1957 Plat or Othe	er Map
Tax Parcel # 4023	30156025	La	indgrant	egular-name:
Subdivision Name		В	Slock	L ot
Other Coordinates: >	one	Coordinate	System & Datum _	
		HISTORY		
Original Use Residence Current Use Other Use Moves: yes	1976	From (year) From (year) From (year) Original address	: 1976 To : To	(year):
Additions:]no	NatureNE cor	rner	
	t):		last name first):	
Ownership History (es	specially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	Favanne Uuston (2004). William	n A Poffelt
beeve a clair	The Floore (2015), wayne a	rayanne nascon (Zooi, William	a 71. Reffere
Is the Resource Affect	cted by a Local Preservation Ordinanc	e? □yes □no ⊠unk	known Describe	
		DESCRIPTION	N	
Style Masonry Ve	ernacular	Exterior Plan Irreg	ular	Number of Stories 1
	Stucco			B
Roof Type(s) 1.	Hip	2	3	3
Roof Material(s) 1.	Other	2	3	3. Sheet metal: ribbed
	strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Hip extens	sion	2	
Windows (types, material Sliding, vinyl	als, etc.) 1, single, 1/1; SHS, vinyl	, single, 1/1		
Distinguishing Archite	estural Footures (-utain anintain anno			
	ectural Features (exterior or interior orname aves w/ boxed rafter tails c		wsills, stone	siding accents, integrated
Ancillary Features / C	Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	scape features; use continuati	ion sheet if needed.)	
Swimming pool	w/ screened enclosure			
DHR U	JSE ONLY O	FFICIAL EVALUAT	TION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NF		insufficient info	Date Init

☐Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b ☐c

d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) S ELEV: single door w/ paneling and inset rectangular light
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated one-car garage on the W end of the S ELEV. A metal carport w/ a shed roof has been installed on the W ELEV.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Ibitrary research ☐Library research <
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A 2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information (address/phone/fax/e-mail) Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
















Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02785
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Cat	if none) 24153 Har CRAS Harborvie Gegory (please check one) rofit private-nonprofit	ew Road Re-E	valuatio □structure	on ☐district	site	object	Survey # (DHR		
Address: 24153 Cross Streets (nearest USGS 7.5 Map Name City / Town (within 3 mi Township 40S Tax Parcel # 4023 Subdivision Name UTM Coordinates: 20 Other Coordinates: >		reet Name [arborview] te	UCity Limits? section: 88 North	SGS Date _	Street Type Road 1957 Plate 1958 □ I SE □ Indgrant □ Ilock □ 3 3 1 2 5 System & D	t or Other own Cour NE Irre	gular-name: L ot		
			HIST	ГORY					
Original Use Resi Current Use Other Use Moves: Jyes Alterations: Jyes Additions: Jyes Architect (last name firs Ownership History (es	1969	e: e: e: es, profession, etc.) ; Rodger &	F Project Proj	rom (year): rom (year): rom (year): address Roofin Builder (i	1969 ig, windo ast name first)	To (To (To ((year):		
				RIPTION					
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1.	strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.		Exterior Pla 2 2	n <u>Irreg</u> i	ular	3. 3.		ber of Stories _	
Overhanging ea		after tails,	concret				ated stucco	planters,	
	Outbuildings (record outb lock; swimming p				on sheet if nee	eded.)			
DHR	JSE ONLY	OF	FICIAL E	VALUAT	ION		DHR US	SE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to m			s 🗆 no 🗆	insufficient i		Date	Init	

☐Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: \square a \square b \square c \square d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Masonry 2. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. 3.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2 Main Entrance (stylistic details)
N ELEV: single door w/ full length leaded light
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated two-car garage on the W end of the N ELEV. The building is partially obscured from the public right-of-way by vegetation.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐ FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☐ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☐ wother methods (describe) ☐ USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1 5 5
2 4 6
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital <u>AND</u> hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.





















Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02786
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 24164 Harborview Road Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey Project Name CRAS Harborview Road Re-Evaluation Survey # (DHR only) National Register Category (please check one) Subuilding structure district site object Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown
LOCATION & MAPPING
Address: Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction Address: Road
Cross Streets (nearest / between)
USGS 7.5 Map Name PUNTA GORDA USGS Date 1957 Plat or Other Map City / Town (within 3 miles) Port Charlotte In City Limits? Syes One Sunknown County Charlotte
Township 40S Range 23E Section 30 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # 402330181006 Landgrant Subdivision Name Harbor Pines Block D Lot 10 & 11
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)
HISTORY
Construction Year:1969approximatelyyear listed or earlierXlyear listed or later Original UseResidence, private From (year): To (year):
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: □ves 冈no □unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: ⊠yes ☐no ☐unknown Date: Nature Roofing, siding, windows, solar panels
Additions: Syes no unknown Date: Nature Shed roof (N ELEV)
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Stephanie Manere Lawrence (2017); Morgan & Huellen Randolph (2014); Faye W. Mobley Austin
In the Description Affected by a Level Description Online was 2 D
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?
DESCRIPTION
Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s)1. Cement-mineral fiber2. Stone3. VinylRoof Type(s)1. Intersecting gables2. Shed3
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Sheet metal: 3V crimp 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1 2
Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, vinyl, single, paired, 1/1; Fixed, vinyl, single, 4-lights
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails, shutters, stone accent wall
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Non-historic detached garage
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 CH02786

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Masonry 2. Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) S ELEV: single door w/ paneling and inset leaded light, beneath the principal roof w/ a squared wooden support Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition):
the S ELEV. The carport is equipped w/ a rear utility room.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #s Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #s File or accession #s
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



















Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02787
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Car	if none) 24180 Harborview Road CRAS Harborview Road Re-Evaluation egory (please check one) Subuilding structure rofit private-nonprofit suprivate-individual private-nons	☐ district ☐ site ☐ object	(DHR only)
USGS 7.5 Map Name City / Town (within 3 mi Township 40S Tax Parcel # 4023 Subdivision Name H UTM Coordinates: Zo Other Coordinates: >	ber <u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	IW	ne:
	HIST	ORY	
Original Use Resi Current Use Other Use Moves: Jyes Alterations: Yyes Additions: Yyes Architect (last name firs Ownership History (education) Judith Fogelque Estelle Bates	For a profession, etc.) Ino	rom (year): 1973 To (year): To (y	encl. garage
is the Resource Allec	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes		
Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1. Roof secondary Windows (types, material Fixed, vinyl, Distinguishing Archite Overhanging eaccents, court Ancillary Features / C	Exterior Pla Stucco 2. Artif Hip 2. Gable Spanish tile 2. strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Hip extension als, etc.) single, 1, 16, 24+ lights w/ fanli ectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) eves w/ boxed rafter tails, decorate	masonry veneer 3	
DHR	JSE ONLY OFFICIAL E	VALUATION DI	IR USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: Westerper – Determined eligible: Dyes	□no □insufficient info Date	Init

☐Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: \square a \square b \square c \square d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1.
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. 3. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2.
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
S ELEV: double doors w/ inset 15-pane lights
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Frame Vernacular style building that was formerly an H-shaped plan and has been highly altered. A non-historic second story addition has been constructed and a hip roof extension carport was constructed on the W ELEV.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐library research ☐building permits ☐Sanborn maps ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐city directory ☐occupant/owner interview ☐plat maps
□FL State Archives/photo collection □ city directory □ occupant/owner interview □ plat maps □ newspaper files □ neighbor interview □ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□ cultural resource survey (CRAS) □ historic photos □ interior inspection □ HABS/HAER record search
☑other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1. 5.
2
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
Document type Maintaining organization
2) Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.























Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02788
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

National Register Category (please check	rview Road Re-Evaluation	Survet □ site □ object	
Township 40S Range 23E Tax Parcel # 402330202005 Subdivision Name UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17 Other Coordinates: X:	Harborview DA USGS Da rlotte In City Limits? □yes Section 30 1/4 section: □NW □	Street Type Road te 1957 Plat or Other Map □no ⊠unknown County SW □SE □NE Irregular Landgrant Block 983651 te System & Datum	-name: Lot
	HISTORY	,	
Original Use Residence, prive Durrent Use Other Use Moves: Jyes Xno Junknown Alterations: Yyes Xno Junknown Additions: Jyes Xno Junknown Architect (last name first): Ownership History (especially original own Southeastern Conference A	From (ye From (ye From (ye From (ye From (ye Date: Original address Nature Roof Date: Nature Builde Builde Builde Roof Control Contr	ar): 1971 To (year ar): UNK To (year ar): To	CURR):
,	DESCRIPTION		
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition Roof secondary strucs. (dormers e Windows (types, materials, etc.)	Exterior Plan Irr ock 2. 2. 2. 1 shingles 2.	egular 3 3 3 3 3 3 2	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (reco	ed rafter tails, concrete win rd outbuildings, major landscape features; use contin		
Non-historic church and u	tility shed		
DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALU	ATION	DHR USE ONLY
KEEPER – Det	rs to meet criteria for NR listing: □yes □no ermined eligible: □yes □no Evaluation: □a □b □c □d (see N	Date	Init

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 CH02788

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) S ELEV: single door w/ paneling and sidelight, beneath the principal roof
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): Dexcellent Segood I fair I deteriorated I ruinous Narrative Description of Resource A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated two-car garage on the E end of
the S ELEV. The former residence is currently utilized as a parsonage for the Port Charlotte Bethanie French SDA Church. Archaeological Remains
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Iibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐ Joccupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A 2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s Maintaining organization File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information (address/phone/fax/e-mail) Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital <u>AND</u> hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



















Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02789
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Pocordor #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

te Name(s) (address if none)24437	
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction	
HISTORY	
onstruction Year: 1971	
the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?	
DESCRIPTION	
yle No style Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 tterior Fabric(s) 1. Aluminum 2. 3. 3. 3. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	
wning, metal, single, paired, grouped, 1-, 3-, 4-stacked	
stinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Inimal eave overhang w/ gable roof projection, window/door trim, brick planter, decorative concrete block foundation trim Incillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Itary Lu Mobile Home Park (8CH02782) and contributing mobile home (8CH02790); non-historic stillity shed	
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: SHPO – Date Init. KEEPER – Determined eligible: SHPO — Date Date Date SHPO —	

☐Owner Objection

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1 2
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. Continuous
Foundation Material(s): 1. Obscured 2. Concrete Block
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
N ELEV: single metal door w/ inset 6-stacked awning unit, beneath a shed roof
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
N/ENTRANCE: open, partial width, beneath a shed roof w/ metal porch supports and screening
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent ☑good ☐fair ☐deteriorated ☐ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story mobile home w/ no style that has a metal frame, shed roof carport installed on the S ELEV.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps
□FL State Archives/photo collection □ city directory □ □ occupant/owner interview □ plat maps
☑property appraiser / tax records ☐newspaper files ☐neighbor interview ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS) □historic photos □interior inspection □HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? □ yes ☑ no □ insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes
The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and
has no known significant historic associations. The resource is a contributing resource within the Mary Lu MHP (8CH02782) building complex resource group.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1. 5.
2. 4. 6.
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
Document time
2) Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.















Page 1

 ○ Original Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02790
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Cate	te Name(s) (address if none) 24437 Harborview Road #2 urvey Project Name CRAS Harborview Road Re-Evaluation ational Register Category (please check one) Subuilding structure district site object wnership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state for					Sur			
		LOC	CATION	& MAF	PPING				
Cross Streets (nearest / USGS 7.5 Map Name City / Town (within 3 mile Township 40S F Tax Parcel # 4023 Subdivision Name Ma UTM Coordinates: Zor	between) PUNTA GORDA es) Port Charle Range 23E Se 80243902 ery Lu MHP ne 116 117 E	Street Name Harborview otte In ction 30 1/4 Easting 3 9 6 3	U City Limits? section:	SGS Date _ □yes □I NW □SW La B ing 2 9 I	Street To Road 1957 For Sunk V SE Index of Street To	Plat or Ot nown C	ther Map County _ Irregular	-name: Lot	2
Other Coordinates: X Name of Public Tract						k Datum			
Manie of Fublic Tract	(e.g., park)								
			HIST	ORY					
Other Use	no unknown D	ate:ate:	F Criginal Nature Nature	rom (year) rom (year) rom (year) address Roofir	: 197 : : ng, win	dows,	To (year To (year To (year sidin): CURR):): g, encl. po:	rch
Is the Resource Affect	ed by a Local Pres	ervation Ordinance				escribe _			
			DESCR	IPTIO	V				
Style No style Exterior Fabric(s) 1.2 Roof Type(s) 1.2 Roof Material(s) 1.3 Roof secondary s Windows (types, material	Gable Sheet metal:s Strucs.(dormersetc.)	tanding seam	2. Compos	sition r	roll		_ 3		f Stories1
Awning, metal, metal, single,	single, grou	ped (3), 2-,	3-stacke	d; SHS,	metal	, sing	le, 1,	/1, 2/2; Sli	iding,
Distinguishing Architecture Minimal eave or concrete block	verhang w/ ga	ble roof proj		nd angu	lar wa	ll, br	ick pl	lanter, deco	orative
Ancillary Features / O									
Mary Lu Mobile utility shed	Home Park (8	CH02782) and	contribu	ting mo	bile h	ome (8	CH0278	39); non-his	storic
DHR U	ISE ONLY	01	FFICIAL E	VALUAT	ION			DHR USE C	NLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to KEEPER – Determi	meet criteria for NR		s □no [insufficie	nt info	Date Date		Init

☐Owner Objection

☐d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b ☐c

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No0_ Chimney Material(s): 1 2
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. Continuous
Foundation Material(s): 1. Obscured 2. Concrete Block
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
W ELEV: single metal door w/ inset 10+ stacked jalousie unit, beneath a shed roof
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story mobile home w/ no style that has a metal frame, shed roof carport installed on the S ELEV. The shed roof porch on the N ELEV has been enclosed w/ stucco siding.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐library research ☐building permits ☐Sanborn maps
□FL State Archives/photo collection □city directory □occupant/owner interview □plat maps
☑property appraiser / tax records ☐newspaper files ☐neighbor interview ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS) □historic photos □interior inspection □HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? ☐ yes ☐ insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and
has no known significant historic associations. The resource is a contributing resource within
the Mary Lu MHP (8CH02782) building complex resource group.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1 3 5 5 6.
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.















☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02791
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 24450 Harborview R Survey Project Name CRAS Harborview Road Re- National Register Category (please check one) ■ building Ownership: □private-profit □private-nonprofit □private-individual	Evaluation ☐ structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object	• • •
Street Number Direction Street Name	USGS Date 1957 Plat or Other City Limits? □yes □no ⊠unknown Co	rregular-name.
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 3 9 6 2 Other Coordinates: X: Y: Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	[9] / Northing [2] 9] 8] 3] 8] 0] 3] Coordinate System & Datum _	
Original Use Current Use Other Other Use Retirement Home Moves: Jyes No Junknown Date: Alterations: Nyes No Junknown Date: Additions: Nyes No Junknown Date: Additions: Nyes No Junknown Date: Architect (last name first): Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Harborview Acres, Inc. (1988)	ear listed or earlier	To (year): UNK To (year): CURR indows, encl. garage
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinanc	e?yesnoxunknownDescribe DESCRIPTION	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Brick Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) SHS, vinyl, single, paired, 1/1	Exterior Plan Irregular 2. Stucco 2. Flat 2. Built-up	3
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails		
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major lands	scape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)	
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NF KEEPER – Determined eligible:	PFFICIAL EVALUATION R listing: yes no insufficient info yes no C Id see National Register Bulletin 1	DHR USE ONLY Date Init 15 n 2

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 CH02791

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Masonry 2
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
S ELEV: single door w/ paneling, recessed beneath the principal roof
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent ☑good ☐fair ☐deteriorated ☐ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ large flat roof additions on the N ELEV. An integrated one-car garage has been enclosed w/ stucco siding on the E end of the S ELEV.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Complete
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps
□FL State Archives/photo collection □ city directory □ occupant/owner interview □ plat maps
☑property appraiser / tax records ☐newspaper files ☐neighbor interview ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS) □historic photos □interior inspection □HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and
has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1. 5.
2
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documer File or accession #'s P20107A
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

















USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 30



☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02792
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Pocordor #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Cat	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Le CRAS Harborview Road Re-Evaluation ategory (please check one)				
Street Num	ber <u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	OCATION &	Street Type	Suffix Direction	
	Coconut		Street		
USGS 7.5 Map Name	/ between) PUNTA GORDA	USG	S Date 1957 Plat o	r Other Map	
City / Town (within 3 mil	es) Port Charlotte	In City Limits? □	lyes □no ⊠unknown	r Other Map County _Charlotte	
Township 40S	Range 23E Section 30	¼ section: □NW	/ SW SE N	E Irregular-name:	
Subdivision Name	30235001		Landgrant Block	Lot	
UTM Coordinates: Zo	ne ∐16 🖾17 Easting[3]9[6]	<u> </u>	2 9 8 3 7 0 2		
Other Coordinates: X	(: Y:	C od	ordinate System & Datu	um	
Name of Public Tract	(e.g., park)				
		HISTO	ORY		
Construction Year	1973	lvear listed or earl	ier 🗖vear listed or	later	
	dence, private			To (year): CURR	
Other Use	Z. Data	From	n (year):	To (year):	
Moves:	Ino □unknown Date:	Original add	Roofing (in prog	gress). windows	
Additions: yes		Nature			
	t):	E	Builder (last name first): _		
	specially original owner, dates, profession, et		7 / 001	1) 2 7 1 (0005) 5 1	
		$(2013) \cdot \Delta$.1); P. Hart (2005); S. Mason	
(2000); A. War			¥ W		
	rd (1997); E. Ward (1981)	; C. Bradsha		De	
	rd (1997); E. Ward (1981)	; C. Bradsha	o ⊠unknown Describ	oe	
	rd (1997); E. Ward (1981)	; C. Bradsha	Describe Nation Describe Nati	Number of Stories 1	
Is the Resource Affect Style Masonry Verent Exterior Fabric(s) 1	etd (1997); E. Ward (1981) eted by a Local Preservation Ordinal ernacular Stucco	; C. Bradshance? yes no	Describe Describe PTION □ Irregular	Number of Stories 1	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1Roof Type(s) 1	etd (1997); E. Ward (1981) eted by a Local Preservation Ordinal ernacular Stucco Hip	; C. Bradshance? yes no	Describe Describe PTION □ Irregular	Number of Stories1	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1.	etd (1997); E. Ward (1981) eted by a Local Preservation Ordinal ernacular Stucco Hip Other	; C. Bradshance? yes no	Describe Describe PTION Irregular	Number of Stories1	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1.	etd (1997); E. Ward (1981) eted by a Local Preservation Ordinal ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	; C. Bradshance? yes no	Describe Describe PTION □ Irregular	Number of Stories1	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal,	et (1997); E. Ward (1981) sted by a Local Preservation Ordinal ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	; C. Bradsha nce? yes nc DESCRII Exterior Plan 2. 2. 2. 2.	PTION Irregular 2.	Number of Stories1	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1. Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal, units; SHS, me	eta (1997); E. Ward (1981) sted by a Local Preservation Ordinal ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1	; C. Bradshance? Syes Sheet Script Plan 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	PTION Irregular 2.	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. Tar paper	
Style Masonry Veresterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1. Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal, units; SHS, me	ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (domers etc.) 1. single, 2-stacked; Pictetal, single, 1/1	; C. Bradshance? Syes She Script Scri	PTION Irregular 2 single, central	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 3. Tar paper fixed pane flanked w/ sliding	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal, units; SHS, me Overhanging ea Ancillary Features / C	ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1	cure, vinyl, ments) C. Bradsha DESCRIF Exterior Plan 2. 2. 2. cure, vinyl,	PTION Irregular 2 single, central windowsills, sh	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. Tar paper fixed pane flanked w/ sliding	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal, units; SHS, me Overhanging ea Ancillary Features / C	ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. single, 2-stacked; Pictetal, single, 1/1 ectural Features (exterior or interior ornal eves w/ boxed rafter tail	cure, vinyl, ments) C. Bradsha DESCRIF Exterior Plan 2. 2. 2. cure, vinyl,	PTION Irregular 2 single, central windowsills, sh	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. Tar paper fixed pane flanked w/ sliding	
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal, units; SHS, me Overhanging ea Ancillary Features / C	ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1	cure, vinyl, ments) C. Bradsha DESCRIF Exterior Plan 2. 2. 2. cure, vinyl,	PTION Irregular 2 single, central windowsills, sh	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. Tar paper fixed pane flanked w/ sliding	
Style Masonry Veresterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal, units; SHS, me Distinguishing Archite Overhanging ea Ancillary Features / C Non-historic di	ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1	cure, vinyl, ments) C. Bradsha DESCRIF Exterior Plan 2. 2. 2. cure, vinyl,	Describe Des	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. Tar paper fixed pane flanked w/ sliding	
Style Masonry Veresterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary Windows (types, material Awning, metal, units; SHS, me Distinguishing Archite Overhanging ea Ancillary Features / C Non-historic di	ernacular Stucco Hip Other strucs. (dormers etc.) 1	c. Bradshamce? yes no pescription pescri	Describe Des	Number of Stories 1 3	

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No0_ Chimney Material(s): 1
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
N ELEV: single door w/ paneling and inset oval light
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated one-car carport on the E end of the N ELEV. The roofing material is currently in the process of being replaced.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments


- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE














USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 30





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02793
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

National Register Category (please	rborview Road Re-Evaluation checkone) ⊠building □structure	n. □district □site □object	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey # (DHR only) deral □Native American □foreign □unknown
Address: 24541 Cross Streets (nearest / between) USGS 7.5 Map Name PUNTA GO City / Town (within 3 miles) Port C Township 40S Range 23E Tax Parcel # 402330235005 Subdivision Name UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 X Other Coordinates: X:	DRDA US harlotte In City Limits? Section 30 1/4 section: IN The Easting 3 9 6 5 7 3 Northing in the control of	Street Type Road GGS Date 1957 Plat or Other Dyes Do Munknown County W DSW DSE NE Irre Landgrant Block ing 2 9 8 3 7 0 1 coordinate System & Datum	gular-name:
	HIST	ORY	
Original Use Residence, pr Current Use Other Use Moves: Jyes Xno Junknov Alterations: Xyes Ino Junknov Additions: Jyes Xno Junknov Architect (last name first): Ownership History (especially original Jackie Wolfe & Robert B Mary B. Hartzell	☐approximately ☐year listed or earlivate Frame	arlier	(year):(year): cl. carport thn & Betty Holicki (1998);
Is the Resource Affected by a Loca	al Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes ☐		
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition	2. 2. Shed on shingles 2. Sheet rs etc.) 1.	Irregular	Number of Stories 1
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (non-historic detached of DHR USE ONLY NR List Date SHPO - App	ecord outbuildings, major landscape features; ugarage OFFICIAL EV Deers to meet criteria for NR listing: Uyes	se continuation sheet if needed.) VALUATION □no □insufficient info	DHR USE ONLY Date Init
	Determined eligible: ☐yes for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b ☐c ☐d	☐no (see National Register Bulletin 15	Date , p. 2)

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 CH02793

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details)
N ELEV: single door w/ paneling, inset oval light, and metal frame storm door
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
N ELEV: incised, partial width, beneath the principal roof w/ metal porch supports and screening
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an enclosed carport on the W end of the N ELEV. The carport has been enclosed w/ screening to form a porch.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Ibrary research ☐building permits ☐Sanborn maps ☐plat maps ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐newspaper files ☐neighbor interview ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐historic photos ☐interior inspection ☐HABS/HAER record search ☑other methods (describe) ☐USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1 3 5 5 6.
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A 2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments


- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE














USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 30



☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02794
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address Survey Project Name National Register Cat Ownership: private-pri	CRAS Harbory egory (please check of	riew Road Re- ne) 🗷 building	Evaluation structure	district s	site	S urvey i	# (DHR only)	
		LO	CATION &	& MAPPI	NG			
Address: Street Num 4 0 7 5 Cross Streets (nearest	/ between)	Street Name Drance		St	reet Type treet	Suffix Di		
USGS 7.5 Map Name City / Town (within 3 mil Township 40S Tax Parcel # 4023	es) Port Charl Range <u>23E</u> S e	otte Ir	City Limits? [section: □N	⊒yes □no 匝 W □SW □	⊴unknown]SE □NE ant	Irregular-nar	me:	
Subdivision NameUTM Coordinates: Zo Other Coordinates: X Name of Public Tract	ne □16 ⊠17 (:	Easting 3 9 6 6	1 5 Northir	Block g 2 9 8 3 pordinate Syst	700		L ot	
			HIST	ORY				
Construction Year: Original Use Reside Current Use Other Use Moves: Jyes Alterations: Jyes Additions: Jyes Architect (last name first Ownership History (es Donald Stewart Kelly (1990); Is the Resource Affect	dence, privat no unknown no unknown no u	Date: Date: Date: Date: Linda Williar (1985); J	ear listed or ea From From From From From From From From	rlier Year): om (year): om (year): ddress Roofing, Builder (last na	windows ame first):	To (year): To (year): To (year): Markham (
	_	_	DESCRI	PTION	_	_	_	_
Style Masonry Ve Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary	Stucco Hip Composition s	hingles	Exterior Plan 2. 2. Flat	Irregula		3 3		Stories 1
Windows (types, material SHS, vinyl, si pane flanked w	nls, etc.) ngle, 1/1; Sl	iding, vinyl	, single,			yl, singl	e, centra	l fixed
Distinguishing Archite Overhanging ea				windowsi	lls, shu	tters		
Ancillary Features / C	Outbuildings (record o	utbuildings, major land	scape features; us	e continuation sh	eet if needed.)			
DHR U	JSE ONLY	C	FFICIAL EV	ALUATION	1	D	HR USE O	NLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to KEEPER – Determ	o meet criteria for Ni ined eligible:	R listing: □yes □yes		ufficient info	Date Date		Init

☐Owner Objection

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 CH02794

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1.
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
E ELEV: single door w/ paneling and inset rectangular light, beneath the principal roof
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
E/ENTRANCE: incised, partial width, beneath the principal roof w/ arched stucco stupports; W ELEV: open, partial width, beneath a flat roof w/ metal supports and screening
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated one-car garage on the S end of the E ELEV.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps
□FL State Archives/photo collection □city directory □occupant/owner interview □plat maps
☑property appraiser / tax records ☐newspaper files ☐neighbor interview ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS) □historic photos □interior inspection □HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Jyes Insumment information Insumment
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and
has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1. 5.
2. 4. 6.
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE














USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 30



☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02795
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Cat	egory (please check one) ⊠ building ☐ structure [Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey # (DHR only) district
USGS 7.5 Map Name City / Town (within 3 mi) Township 40S Tax Parcel # 4023 Subdivision Name UTM Coordinates: Zo Other Coordinates: X	Guava between USo USo PUNTA GORDA	Street Type Street Street GS Date 1957 Plat or Other Map Jyes Ino Munknown County Charlotte W ISW ISE NE Irregular-name: Landgrant Block Lot ordinate System & Datum
	HIST	ORY
Original Use Current Use Other Use Moves: yes Alterations: yes Additions: yes Architect (last name first Ownership History (es Ayers	From From From From From From From From	om (year): 1962 To (year): CURR om (year): To (year): To (year): om (year): To (year): To (year):
	DESCRI	
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1. Roof secondary Windows (types, material sliding, vinyl 10-light sliding) Distinguishing Archite Overhanging ea Ancillary Features / Company 1.	rnacular Stucco Gable Composition shingles Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Gable extension ls, etc.) , single, 6/6, 10/10; Picture, viny ng units ctural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) ves w/ boxed rafter tails, concrete utbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; us	Irregular lywood 3. 3. 3. 2. 71, single, central fixed pane flanked w/ windowsills e continuation sheet if needed.)
DHR (JSE ONLY OFFICIAL EV	ALUATION DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: SHPO	

☐Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: \square a \square b \square c \square d (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 2)

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 CH02795

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No0_ Chimney Material(s): 1
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): Dexcellent
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A 2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s File or accession #'s File or accession #'s Page 1000 Files or accession #'s File Or acces
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments


- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE













USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 29



☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02796
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Cat	egory (please check one)	Evaluation ☐ structure ☐ district	☐ site ☐ object	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey # (DHR only) deral □Native American □foreign □unknown
	LOC	CATION & MAP	PPING	
		,	Street Type Road	Suffix Direction Map
Township 40S	Range _ 23E _ Section 29 _ 1/4 s	section: NW SW	/ □SE □NE Irre	gular-name:
UTM Coordinates: Zo Other Coordinates: >	ne ☐ 16 ☒ 17 E asting ③ 9 7 0	8 6 Northing 2 9 8 Coordinate	lock 3 3 8 4 2 System & Datum	Lot
		HISTORY		
Original Use Current Use Other Use Moves: yes Alterations: yes Additions: yes Architect (last name first Ownership History (es	dence, private ch/Temple/Synagogue no	ar listed or earlier From (year): From (year): From (year): Original address Nature Roofin Nature N ELEV Builder (I	1973 To 1995 To To ast name first): dro & Mercedes	(year): CURR (year): dows, encl. garage
Is the Resource Affect	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinance	? □yes □no ⊠unk DESCRIPTION		
Style Masonry Ve		Exterior Plan Irregu	ılar	Number of Stories 1
			gables 3	·
	nls,etc.) , single, 1/1; Picture, vi tal, single, paired, 1/1	nyl, single, ce	ntral fixed pa	ne flanked w/ sliding
	<pre>ctural Features (exterior or interior ornamen ves w/ boxed rafter tails, sh tile</pre>		osed beams, ar	ched courtyard and wing
	outbuildings (record outbuildings, major lands losque, tennis courts	cape features; use continuation	on sheet if needed.)	
DHR (JSE ONLY OF	FICIAL EVALUAT	ION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR		insufficient info	Date Init

☐Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b ☐c

d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No0_ Chimney Material(s): 1
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
S ELEV: obscured from public right-of-way by courtyard wall & metal gates
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Forcit Descriptions (types, locations, root types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent ☑good ☐fair ☐deteriorated ☐ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ a large addition on the N ELEV and enclosed garage on the S ELEV. The former residence is now utilized as a community/classroom building for the adjacent non-historic mosque.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) □library research □building permits □Sanborn maps
□FL State Archives/photo collection □city directory □occupant/owner interview □plat maps
☑property appraiser / tax records ☐newspaper files ☐neighbor interview ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS) □historic photos □interior inspection □HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Tyes Ino Insufficient information Insufficient information Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1. 5.
2. 4. 6.
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A
Decimant time.
2) Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE


















USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 29



☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02797
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name National Register Cat	egory (please check one) building	□ structure □ district □ site □ district □ di	S urvey # (DHR only)
USGS 7.5 Map Name City / Town (within 3 mi) Township 40S Tax Parcel # 4023 Subdivision Name 51 UTM Coordinates: Zo Other Coordinates: X	Direction Street Name Purdy	4 section:	Suffix Direction or Other Map or County _Charlotte NE Irregular-name: Lot116-117
		HISTORY	
Original Use Residence Current Use Other Use Moves: yes Alterations: yes Additions: yes Architect (last name first Ownership History (established Morgan (established Architect) (last name first Ownership History (established Architect) (last name first ownership) (last na	dence, private no	From (year): From (year): From (year): Original address Nature Roofing, siding Gable roof Builder (last name first):) ardy (2000); Joseph & Sand	To (year): To (year): To (year):
is the Resource Allec	ited by a Local Freservation Ordinani	-	
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1. Roof secondary Windows (types, material SHS, metal, si	Intersecting gables Composition shingles strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2. Wood/Plywood 2222	Number of Stories 1 3. Stone 33
Overhanging early vents, stone a	ves w/ boxed rafter tails		rectangular & circular gable
Zatoliary i Catalos / C	retodinalingo (record outbulldings, major latit	noupo reaturos, uso continuation sheet il needi	
DHR	JSE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date		R listing: yes no insufficient in	

☐Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: \square a \square b \square c \square d (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 2)

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. 0
Main Entrance (stylistic details) W ELEV: single door w/ paneling and inset oval light
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruinous Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ a large scale gable roof addition spanning from west to east on the E ELEV. An integrated one-car garage is located on the N end of the W ELEV.
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐library research ☐building permits ☐Sanborn maps ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐city directory ☐occupant/owner interview ☐plat maps ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐newspaper files ☐neighbor interview ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐historic photos ☐interior inspection ☐HABS/HAER record search ☑other methods (describe) ☐USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1 5 5
2 4 6
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #s P20107A
2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE













USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 29



Page 1

☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8	CH02798
Field Date	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-22-2023
Recorder #	

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) _195	
LOCATION & MAPPING Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction Address: 195 Danforth Drive Cross Streets (nearest / between)	
USGS 7.5 Map Name PUNTA GORDA USGS Date 1957 Plat or Other Map City / Town (within 3 miles) Port Charlotte In City Limits? Sw Society Section 29 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:	_ _ _
Fax Parcel # 402329203001 Landgrant Subdivision Name_Suncoast Block Lot 1 UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17 Easting ☐3 9 7 7 0 3 Northing ☐2 9 8 3 9 0 0 Northing ☐2 9 8 3 9 0 0 Description Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum Coordinate System & Datum Datum	_
HISTORY	
Construction Year:1972	
s the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?	
DESCRIPTION	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Brick 3. Wood/Plywood Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3. Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:3V crimp 2. Sheet metal:standing seam 3. Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Sheet metal:standing seam 2. Sheet metal:standing seam	
SHS, vinyl, paired, 1/1; Awning, metal, single, paired, 2-,3-stacked; Sliding, vinyl, single, 1/1	
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails, brick veneer accents, brick windowsills, brick wing wall	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)	\neg
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:	

☐Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: \Box a \Box b \Box c \Box d (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 2)

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 CH02798

DESCRIPTION (continued)				
Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) N ELEV: single door w/ paneling				
N ELEV: Single door w/ paneling				
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)				
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent ☑good ☐fair ☐deteriorated ☐ruinous Narrative Description of Resource				
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated carport on the W end of the N ELEV. The carport has been partially enclosed w/ wood siding.				
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed				
RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)				
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) □Ibrary research □building permits □Sanborn maps □plat maps ☑property appraiser / tax records □newspaper files □neighbor interview □Public Lands Survey (DEP) □cultural resource survey (CRAS) □historic photos □interior inspection □HABS/HAER record search ☑other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM) Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/				
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE				
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?				
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1 5 5.				
2 4 6				
DOCUMENTATION				
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A 2) Document type Maintaining organization File or accession #'s				
RECORDER INFORMATION				
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net				

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- **❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- **3** PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS







AERIAL MAP





USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 29



Page 1



RESOURCE GROUP FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site #8(CH02799
Field Date_	2-20-2023
Form Date	2-24-2023
Recorder#	

Consult the Guide to the Resource Group Form for additional instructions

NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs). National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated with the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group: Historic district (NR category "district"): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites Archaeological district (NR category "district"): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures Mixed district (NR category "district"): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings) Building complex (NR category usually "building(s)"): multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association Designed historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.) Rural historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.) Linear resource (NR category usually "structure"): Linear resources are a special type of structure or historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.				
Project NameCRAS National Register Cate Linear Resource Type	egory (please check one): 🗵 building(s) 🗆 structure 🗆	Idistrict □site □oler (describe):	FMSF Survey #bject	
	LOCATION & M.	APPING		
County or Counties (d Name of Public Tract 1) Township 40S 2) Township 3) Township 4) Township USGS 7.5' Map(s) 1)	Harborview In Current City Limit of not abbreviate Charlotte Charlotte	□SW □SE □NE □SW □SE □NE □SW □SE □NE □SW □SE □NE USGS Date 1957 USGS Date	Irregular-name:	
Plat, Aerial, or Other M Landgrant Verbal Description of Located within	Map (map's name, originating office with location) Boundaries (description does not replace required map) Charlotte County Parcel #402330251008; ce River to the south, an unnamed creek	roughly bounded	d by Harborview Road to the	
DUD.	JOE ONLY	ATION	DUD HOE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: KEEPER – Determined eligible: gen production of the production of th	□insufficient info	Date Init	

Owner Objection

NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b □c □d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

HISTORY & DESCRIPTION						
onstruction Year:1950_	_					
rchitect/Designer: Builder:	-					
larrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; attach supplementary sheets if needed)						
See continuation sheet.						
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)						
☐ Sanborn maps ☐ Description ☐ City directory ☐ Occupant/owner interview ☐ Description ☐ City directory ☐ Occupant/owner interview ☐ Description ☐ Desc	-					
http://palmm.fcla.edu/						
	_					
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE otentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes yes						
rea(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)	┙					
3. 5. 6	_					
4 6	_					
DOCUMENTATION						
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type All materials at one location Document description Files, photos, research, document File or accession #'s P20107A	-					
Document type Maintaining organization	_					
2) Document description File or accession #'s	_					
RECORDER INFORMATION						
Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc.	-					

Required Attachments

- **1** PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5' MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
- **❷** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
- **3 TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES -** Include name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or other location information if no address.
- **4** PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETSCAPE OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources) When submitting images, they must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

The Harbor View on the Bay Mobile Home Park is a building complex resource group located at 24325 Harborview Road in Section 30 of Township 40 South, Range 23 East (USGS 1957). Harbor View on the Bay is a post-World War II era mobile home park that was established by ca. 1950 (News-Press 1950). There are approximately 128 non-contributing resources within the mobile home park. It was beyond the scope of work for this CRAS to identify all resources within the entire resource group. No representative mobile homes or permanent structures within the APE were accessible from the public right-of-way as the mobile home park is gated.

An analysis of historic aerial imagery reveals that the Harbor View on the Bay MHP – known as Harbor View Park until ca. 2021 – was originally located along the shore of Charlotte Harbor/the Peace River (USDA 1952) (Photo 1). The mobile home park was comprised of a large cul-de-sac with multiple buildings located along the shoreline and was accessed by a north-south roadway connecting to Harborview Road to the north. A fishing pier was present at this time. An additional road was constructed to the north of the cul-de-sac by ca. 1970 (USDA 1970). The mobile home park was significantly expanded in ca. 1974 with the opening of additional lots to the north of the original southern portion (USDA 1974) (Photo 2). By ca. 1985, the northern portion of the resource group had been fully developed and the original southern portion of the property was reconfigured to the current design with Snook Avenue taking the place of the original cul-de-sac (FDOT 1985). Today, the Harbor View on the Bay Mobile Home Park is comprised of a main road named Manatee Loop with two east-west cross streets - Tarpon Street and Snook Avenue and an inner north-south street, Redfish Way. Two small cul-de-sacs, named Sawfish Way and Sea Trout Way, are located along the eastern side of Manatee Loop. The resource group is bounded roughly by Harborview Road to the north, an unnamed creek to the east, single-family residential properties to the west, and the Peace River to the south and located within Charlotte County parcel # 402330251008 (Polk 2023). The mobile homes are predominantly oriented east-west or north-south with the shortest end of the mobile home facing the street. The mobile homes located on cul-de-sacs are slightly angled toward the road. Most appear to have an attached carport with a concrete driveway. Following the completion of the park's expansion, alterations appear to be limited to the development of the remaining vacant lots. Several mobile homes along Redfish Way were demolished and/or removed between ca. 2004 and ca. 2018 (Google Earth 2023). Community amenities are limited to an activities clubhouse containing an arts and crafts area, library, and game room, a shuffleboard court adjacent to the clubhouse, and a fishing pier projecting into the Peace River (Harbor View on the Bay n.d.). These are not accessible from the public right-of-way.

TRAILER PARK AND MOBILE HOME PARK (MHP) HISTORIC CONTEXT

The first two decades of the 20th century saw the emergence of affordable automobiles and the rapid growth of personal mobility. During this time, the increasingly popular travel trailer was being towed behind vehicles which allowed for inexpensive family vacations anywhere in the country. This mode of travel became an excellent way to save money, see different sites, and spend time with the family. The state of Florida became one destination with the sunny, mild climate, especially during the winter months. As these excursions became more popular, so too did the need for accommodations of trailers. By 1925, Florida had taken an early lead with 178 autocamps located throughout the state (Hatton 1987). In 1938, Florida was one of the most densely populated states that consisted of trailer camps in the United States (Wallis 1991).

The trailer park movement in Florida was more closely attributed to tourism; however, the national movement of mobile home living was more closely associated with War World II and the Great Depression. During World War II, trailer living became a semi-permanent residence for workers and following the War, trailer living became a more permanent residence among families as America experienced an immediate need for housing, more importantly, affordable housing (McAlester 2013). Year-round living in mobile homes grew to dominate the trailer industry after World War II with the subsequent housing shortage (Wallis 1991). The evolution of the trailer park layout transformed over time from a campground-like

RESOURCE GROUP FORM

setting to a more permanent mobile home planned community design. Many site plans for Mobile Home Parks incorporated diagonal lots that allowed for a greater sense of "frontality with the street" (Wallis 1991). Social activities also became an essential function of the trailer park environment.




Photo 1. 1952 aerial photograph depicting the Harbor View on the Bay MHP in its original configuration (orange box) (USDA 1952).



Photo 2. 1974 aerial photograph depicting the Harbor View on the Bay in the early stages of expansion (USDA 1974). Orange line denotes approximate resource group boundary. Red box indicates location of original cul-de-sac which is now Snook Avenue.

The Harbor View on the Bay MHP has been significantly altered over the years through large-scale expansion and redesign of the original plan. The building complex resource group is limited to a basic layout with few community amenities and a clubhouse. The Harbor View on the Bay Mobile Home Park is a common mobile home park found throughout Florida and it is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction, and background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. As a result, 8CH02799 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

REFERENCES

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

1985 Aerial Photograph. 12-20-85, PD-3309-11-12. *Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS)*. Aerial Photography Archive, Tallahassee.

Google Earth

2023 Google Earth Imagery.

Harbor View on the Bay

n.d. "Lifestyle." Harbor View on the Bay. Accessed February 27, 2023. https://www.harborviewonthebay.com/lifestyle/.

Hatton, Hap

1987 Tropical Splendor: An Architectural History of Florida. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.

McAlester, Virginia Savage

2013 A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America's Domestic Architecture. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.

News-Press

"Three Small Negro Boys are Drowned at Punta Gorda." *News-Press*, July 11, 1950. Accessed February 27, 2023. https://www.newspapers.com/.

Polk, Paul L.

2023 Charlotte County Property Appraiser. Accessed February 24, 2023. https://www.ccappraiser.com/default.aspx.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1952 Aerial Photograph. 1-9-52, DMV-2H-17. PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1970 Aerial Photograph. 2-14-70, DMV-1LL-41. PALMM, Gainesville.
- 1974 Aerial Photograph. 2-26-74, 12015-474-147. PALMM, Gainesville.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1957 Punta Gorda, Fla. Photorevised 1972.

Wallis, Allan D.

1991 Wheel Estate: The Rise and Decline of Mobile Homes. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.



PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Punta Gorda Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Section 30



APPENDIX D: Building Demolition Letter



Florida's First Choice in Cultural Resource Management

February 22, 2023

Mr. Vincent Birdsong Supervisor, Florida Master Site File Division of Historical Resources 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

RE: Historic Resource Status

Dear Mr. Birdsong:

This letter is to inform you that background research and a recent field survey conducted in February 2023 has discovered that the following two historic resources are no longer extant since they were last recorded:

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Year Built	Style
8CH01451	24211 Harborview Road	ca. 1940	Frame Vernacular
8CH01453	24189 Harborview Road	ca. 1929	Frame Vernacular

Sincerely,

Savannah Y. Finch Architectural Historian **APPENDIX E: Survey Log**

Ent D (FMSF only)	
	3

Survey Log Sheet

Survey # (FMSF only)

Florida Master Site File Version 5.0 3/19


Consult Guide to the Survey Log Sheet for detailed instructions.

	Manuscript l	nformation		
Survey Project (name and project phase)				
CRAS Addendum Harborview Road (CR	776) from Melbou	rne Street to I-7	5, Charlotte County	7
D (Title)				
Report Title (exactly as on title page) Cultural Resource Assessment Surv			unc) post object form	. M = 715
Street to I-75, Charlotte County,			776) PD&E Study Iron	1 Melbourne
Report Authors (as on title page) 1. ACI		3.		
2		4.		
Publication Year <u>2023</u> Number	r of Pages in Report (do	not include site forms)	43	
Publication Information (Give series, number in	series, publisher and city. Fo	r article or chapter, cite pa	ge numbers. Use the style of A	American Antiquity.)
P20107A; ACI Florida, Sarasota				
Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author	or) Names Almy, Ma	rion		
Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization Arc			City Sarasota	
Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or o				
1 3				
2. 4.		6	8	
Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, o				
Name	-	=	Transportation - District 1	
Address/Phone/E-mail 801 North Broa				
Recorder of Log Sheet Kimberly M. Irk			ate Log Sheet Completed	3-11-2023
Is this survey or project a continuation of a			<u> </u>	
to this curvey or project a continuation or a	proviodo project.	TOO. PICHOL		
	Project Are	a Mapping		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Counties (select every county in which field survey		•	_	
1. Charlotte	3		b	
2	4		6	
USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest	Revision (attach additiona	I sheet if necessary)		
1. Name PUNTA GORDA	V 1050			Year
2. Name				
3. Name		C N		V
	Field Dates and Proje	ct Area Description		
Fieldwork Dates: Start 2-20-2023 En	1 2 7 2022 Tate	J Aroa Curvoyad Isii.	hootores	(0, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00,
Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveye		ii Aita Suiveyeu (IIII IN (one)hectares	60.00acres
If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width:		foot Longth	kilometers	2.00 miles
in Contidor (till ill one for each) Whatii:	meters 200	feet L ength:	KHOHIETELS	2.00 miles

Page 2 Survey Log Sheet Survey #____

Research and Field Methods					
Types of Survey (select all that apply):	⊠archaeological	⊠architectural	⊠historical/archiva	l □underwater	
	damage assessment	monitoring report	other(describe):		
Scope/Intensity/Procedures					
Background research, surfatests (current survey); 10 taken; report prepared					
Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building) Site File property search	y as apply to the project as a v □library research- <i>local public</i> □library-special collection ☑Public Lands Survey (maps at D □local informant(s)	⊠local prope ⊠newspaper	files So earch Sw	her historic maps	
Archaeological Methods (select as n □ Check here if NO archaeological meth □ surface collection, controlled □ surface collection, uncontrolled □ shovel test-1/4"screen □ shovel test-1/8" screen □ shovel test 1/16"screen □ shovel test-unscreened □ other (describe): □ Historical/Architectural Methods (some controlled) □ Check here if NO historical/architectural building permits	ods were used. shovel test-other screen size water screen posthole tests auger tests coring test excavation (at least 1x	e	ock excavation (at least 2x oil resistivity agnetometer de scan sonar ound penetrating radar (GP DAR	□other remote sensing ☑pedestrian survey □unknown	
commercial permits interior documentation other (describe):	⊠windshield survey ⊠local property records	□0	ccupant interview ccupation permits	⊠tax records □unknown	
Survey Results					
Resource Significance Evaluated? Count of Previously Recorded Res List Previously Recorded Site ID#s	ourceso		ewly Recorded Resou nal pages if necessary)	urces18	
List Newly Recorded Site ID#s (att	tach additional pages if necess	sary)			
Site Forms Used: □Site File P	Paper Forms ⊠Site File ED: Attach Map (e PDF Forms of Survey or	Project Area E	Boundary	
SHPO USE ONLY		HPO USE ONLY		SHPO USE ONLY	
Origin of Report: 872 Public Lar		Camplianca Ravi	Academic	□Contract □Avocational	

SHPO USE ONLY	SHPO USE ONLY	SHPO USE ONLY
Origin of Report: □872 □Public Lands □UW	□1A32 # □A	cademic Contract Avocational
☐Grant Project #	Compliance Review: CRAT #	
Type of Document: □Archaeological Survey □His	torical/Architectural Survey	Tower CRAS Monitoring Report
□Overview □Excavation Repo	rt Multi-Site Excavation Report Structure Deta	iled Report Library, Hist. or Archival Doc
☐Desktop Analysis ☐MPS	□MRA □TG □Other:	
Document Destination: Plottable Projects	Plotability:	



Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum

Township 40 South, Range 22 East and Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Sections 30, 29, 20, and 21 USGS Punta Gorda, 1957 Charlotte County, Florida

Harborview Road (CR 776)

From Melbourne Street to I-75 Charlotte County, Florida FPID No: 434965-2-52-01

TECHNICAL REPORT COVERSHEET

CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY ADDENDUM FOR PROPOSED POND SITES

Florida Department of Transportation

District One

Harborview Road PD&E Re-evaluation

Limits of Project: From Melbourne Street to I-75

Charlotte County, Florida

Financial Management Number: 434965-2-52-01

ETDM Number: 5351

Date: October 2022

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022 and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRO	ODUCTION	1
2.	PROJI	ECT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ENVI	RONMENTAL SETTING	4
4.	HISTO	ORIC AND PREHISTORIC OVERVIEWS	8
5.	BACK	GROUND RESEARCH AND CONSIDERATIONS	10
6.	SURV	EY METHODS	12
7.	SURV	EY RESULTS	13
8.	CONC	CLUSIONS	18
9.	BIBLI	OGRAPHY	18
LIST	OF F	FIGURES	
Figuı	re 1.	Location of four proposed pond sites	3
Figui		Soil types within the proposed pond site.	5
Figu		Soil types within the proposed pond site.	
Figu		Soil types within the proposed pond site.	
Figui		1952 aerial photograph of the proposed pond sites	
Figu		1970 aerial photograph of the proposed pond sites	9
Figu	re /.	Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources within one-half mile of the APE.	11
Figur	~ 8	Correct location of the Hickory Bluff Cemetery (8CH01906) in relation to the	11
i igui	 0.	inaccurate previously recorded GIS data.	. 12
Figui	e 9.	Location of shovel tests and historic resources within the APE.	
_		Location of shovel tests and historic resources within the APE.	
_		Location of shovel tests and historic resources within the APE	
LIST	OF T	TABLES	
Table	e 1.	Archaeological and historical data.	14
LIST	OF F	PHOTOS	
Photo		Looking west at Pond 1-2B	
Photo		Looking west at Pond 3C	
Photo	_	Looking east at Pond 4B.	
Photo		Looking east at Pond 5C.	
Photo		Soil stratigraphy of Pond 3C.	
Photo		Soil stratigraphy of Pond 4B.	
Photo	0 /.	Soil stratigraphy of Pond 5C	14

APPENDICES

Appendix A: SHPO Concurrence Letter Appendix B: Survey Log

1. INTRODUCTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate the proposed widening, from two-lanes to four-lanes of Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75 in Charlotte County (Figure 1). In 2018, ACI submitted a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) of Harborview Road and a Technical Memorandum for proposed pond sites, between Melbourne Street between I-75 (ACI 2018a, 2018b). The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with the survey findings that resulted in no historic properties affected (Appendix A; SHPO File No. 2017-2462; Parsons 2018). The focus of this study was four proposed pond sites that will be part of the proposed widening. The study was conducted to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related federal and state laws, rules, and regulations and part of on-going improvements to the Burnt Store Road PD&E study.

The purpose of this CRAS addendum was to locate and identify any cultural resources within the Area of Potential Effects (APE), and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). As defined in 36 CFR Part § 800.16(d), the APE is the "geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist." The archaeological APE is defined as the area contained within the footprint of each proposed pond site and historical/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and a 100-foot buffer. The archaeological and historical/architectural field surveys were conducted in September 2022.

All work was conducted to comply with Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966* (Public Law 89-665, as amended), as implemented by 36 CFR 800 (*Protection of Historic Properties*, effective August 2004), as well as Chapter 267 and 373, *Florida Statutes (FS)* and Chapter 1A-46, *Florida Administrative Code (FAC)*. All work was performed in accordance with the standards outlined in the *Cultural Resources Management Standards & Operational Manual* (Florida Division of Historical Resources [FDHR] 2003) and the *Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Manual* (FDOT 2020). The purpose of this analysis was to identify the presence of resources listed in or considered eligible for listing in the NRHP per the criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4 and if applicable, to apply the Criteria of Adverse Effects, as set forth in 36 CFR Part 800.5(a)(1) to the project. Principal Investigators meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards* (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture.

As a result of the archaeological background research, no previously recorded historic or prehistoric archaeological sites were identified within any of the proposed pond sites. However, two previously recorded sites, 8CH00502 and 8CH00499, were recorded within a mile of the APE. 8CH00502 is a prehistoric midden located along the north shore of the Peace River about 1200 feet (ft) south of the APE. Similarly, 8CH00499, the Northside Midden, is recorded about 800 ft south of the western terminus of the project APE. A review of relevant site locational information for environmentally similar areas within Charlotte County and the surrounding region indicated areas of moderate to low potential for the occurrence of prehistoric sites within the APE. The background research indicated that prehistoric sites, if present, would most likely be small shell middens or artifact scatters. As a result of field survey, no archaeological sites were identified within the APE.

The historical/architectural background research included a review of the previous Harborview Road CRAS and pond memo, the Florida Master Site File (FMSF), and the NRHP. The research indicated that no historic resources had been previously recorded within the proposed pond sites; however, four previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites within the APE. This includes three buildings (8CH01338, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) located adjacent to proposed Pond 1-2B and the historic linear

resource, Harborview Road (8CH02053), located adjacent to proposed pond sites 1-2B, 3C, 4B and 5C. These four resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP in 2018 during the CRAS of Harborview Road and a survey for proposed pond sites, between Melbourne Street between I-75 (ACI 2018a; Survey No. 25342; ACI 2018b; Survey No. 25344). A review of relevant historic United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Charlotte County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for no new historic resources 46 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Polk 2022). This was confirmed during the field reconnaissance survey. The four previously recorded historic resources were not updated since no significant changes were observed during the field survey.

Based on the results of the background research and field investigations, no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, eligible, or that appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP are located within the APE. Therefore, it is the professional opinion of ACI that the proposed undertaking will result in no historic properties affected.




Figure 1. Location of four proposed pond sites.

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The FDOT District One is proposing the widening of Harborview Road, from two lanes to four lanes, to improve connectivity between Melbourne Street and I-75, provide multimodal accommodations, enhance safety, and improve emergency evacuation. Included as part of these improvements are four proposed pond sites.

3. **ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING**

The APE is located in Township 40 South, Range 22 East, Section 25 and Township 40 South, Range 23 East, Sections 30, 29, 20, and 21 on the north side of the Peace River between Melbourne Street and I-75. Geologically, the project area lies within the Gulf Coastal Lowlands physiographic province (Puri and Vernon 1964). The Lowlands, for the most part, consist of level to nearly level plains and are characterized by poor drainage (United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] 1984:1). The topography of this province includes coastal ridges, sand dune ridges, estuaries, lagoon, and barrier islands. All these features are typical of the Peace River area and Charlotte Harbor. The project elevations vary between 5 and 10 ft above mean sea level (amsl).

Soils within the project corridor are part of the Oldsmar-Myakka soil association. This association includes nearly level and poorly drained soils found on flatwoods vegetated in South Florida slash pine, sawpalmetto, and pineland threeawn (USDA 1984). These flatwoods soils typically consist of one to three feet of organic stained sand. The specific soil types within the APE are shown on Figures 2 - 4.

Today, only some of the natural vegetation exists within the APE but much has been removed as the result of the general development of the area (**Photos 1-4**).



Photo 1. Looking west at Pond 1-2B.



Photo 3. Looking east at Pond 4B.



Photo 2. Looking west at Pond 3C.



Photo 4. Looking east at Pond 5C.





Figure 3. Soil types within the proposed pond site.



Figure 4. Soil types within the proposed pond site.

4. HISTORIC AND PREHISTORIC OVERVIEWS

In-depth historic and prehistoric overviews were included in the 2018 PD&E, Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida and are not repeated here (ACI 2018a). The CRAS document was submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Parsons 2018; FDHR Project File No. 2017-2462). The following historic context overview is an updated, condensed history for Charlotte County and the project area.

From 1900 through the 1920s, Charlotte County experienced some growth due to Florida's recognition as a recreation and tourist haven, reclamation projects, and the beginning of the Florida road systems around 1916 (Historic Property Associates [HPA] 1989). In 1921, Charlotte County was formed out of DeSoto County, and Punta Gorda became the county seat (Fernald and Purdum 1996). The construction of US Highway 41, or the Tamiami Trail, played a significant role in this development. Prior to its inception in 1915, portions of the Tamiami Trail existed in the form of county roads. When the Florida State Road Department began joining these disparate roadways, traffic increased, and southwest Florida's tourist industry was born. At its completion in 1928, the Tamiami Trail connected Tampa to Miami via Bradenton, Sarasota, Venice, and Englewood (Scupholm 1997).

During the Depression Era, Charlotte County experienced a decrease in population. In 1930, only 4013 people were living in the county. Many of these residents lived in farm settlements at Sancassa, Charlotte Harbor, Cleveland, and Burdock, and commercial fishing villages such as Placida and McCall. Throughout the 1940s, Charlotte County's population remained stable, increasing slightly. By 1990, Charlotte County's population had risen to 110,975 (U.S. Census bureau [USCB] 1995). Charlotte County is still experiencing growth because of increased tourism, and residential development, though it is slower than the rest of the state. The 2010 census lists Charlotte County as 29th in population with 159,978, an increase of 13.0% over the past decade (USCB 2012).

The construction of suburbs and malls changed the character of Florida's cities creating a string of development along coastal areas. In southwest Florida, development was concentrated along the coast with the completion of I-75 generating a spurt of activity that has continued today. Since 1960, this area, along with the rest of Florida, has benefited from an influx of retirees and tourists, making Florida one of the fastest growing states in the nation. During the 1970s, Charlotte County became one of Florida's fastest growing counties. A review of the aerial photographs available from Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) shows development had begun in this area between the 1950s and the mid-1970s (USDA 1952, 1970) (**Figures 5 and 6**). In the 1950s the area was sparsely inhabited, but included limited residential development near the Peace River, south of the project. Within 20 years, however, residential development dominated the landscape and included homes, as well as trailer parks.



Figure 5. 1952 aerial photograph of the proposed pond sites.



Figure 6. 1970 aerial photograph of the proposed pond sites.

5. BACKGROUND RESEARCH AND CONSIDERATIONS

Prior to initiating the archaeological and historical survey of the APE, ACI reviewed the CRAS for Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to I-75 (ACI 2018a) and the preliminary pond memo (ACI 2018b), which indicated that no NRHP listed or determined eligible cultural resources had been identified within the project APE. A review of the Punta Gorda USGS quadrangle map and the FMSF showed that of one shell midden type site (8CH00502) had been recorded about 1200 ft south of the project APE, and another, 8CH00499, was recorded about 800 ft south of the western terminus of the corridor (**Figure 7**). Both recorded resources are located well outside the project APE and will not be affected by the proposed undertaking.

Archaeological surveys in the general area include the 1995 Charlotte Harbor Mounds Survey, conducted as part of a grant awarded to the Charlotte Harbor Environmental Center (CHEC 1996). Several previously recorded sites were relocated during the survey and included the Acline Mound, the Whidden Brand Midden, and the Aqui Esta Mound. In addition, 24 other archaeological sites were recorded by the survey, but none in the vicinity of the project. Other CRAS reports in the area include transportation projects (ACI 1999, 2004b, 2005; Jones 1975, 1977), developments (ACI 1991, 2004a; Austin 2005a, 2005b; Pickles et al. 2005), and waterlines (ACI 2009; Burns 2009), as well as a survey southwest of the corridor (ACI 2013) for a wastewater extension project. No recorded archaeological sites are proximate to the project APE. The archaeological APE was considered to have a moderate to low potential for the discovery of prehistoric sites.

The historical/architectural background research included a review of the previous Harborview Road CRAS and pond memo, the FMSF, and the NRHP. The research indicated that no historic resources had been previously recorded within the proposed pond sites; however, four previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded within the APE, adjacent to all four proposed pond sites (Figure 7). This includes three buildings (8CH01338, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) located adjacent to proposed Pond 1-2B and the historic linear resource, Harborview Road (8CH02053), located adjacent to proposed pond sites 1-2B, 3C, 4B and 5C. The linear resource and a ca. 1950 Frame Vernacular style building (8CH01338) located at 24094 Harborview Road were updated in 2018 during the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida (ACI 2018a; Survey No. 25342). Two ca. 1961 Masonry Vernacular style buildings (8CH02741 & 8CH02742) located at 24090 & 24092 Harborview Road, respectively were recorded in 2018 during the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida (ACI 2018b; Survey No. 25344). At which time, the resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP. These four resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO in 2018.

In addition, the previously recorded Hickory Bluff Cemetery (8CH01906) appears on Figure 7 as being within the APE, adjacent to proposed Pond 3C; however, during the background research, it was determined that the boundary for the Hickory Bluff Cemetery (8CH01906) was not accurately plotted within the FMSF geographic information system (GIS) data. The boundaries to the Hickory Bluff Cemetery are clearly depicted by the map and GPS coordinates presented in Scot Shively's report, *Hickory Bluff Cemetery: A Charlotte County Pioneer African American Cemetery* (Shively 2016). Furthermore, a historic marker is located on the west side of Rowland Drive marking the area of the cemetery, which is approximately 300-feet southwest of the proposed pond site. **Figure 8** depicts the inaccurate GIS plotting of the cemetery in relation to the correct location. The cemetery was first recorded in 2008 during the *Phase II of the Charlotte County Resource Survey* and has not been evaluated by the SHPO.




Figure 7. Environmental setting and location of previously recorded cultural resources within one-half mile of the APE.



Figure 8. Correct location of the Hickory Bluff Cemetery (8CH01906) in relation to the inaccurate previously recorded GIS data.

A review of relevant historic United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Charlotte County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for no new historic resources 46 years of age or older (constructed in 1976 or earlier) within the APE (Polk 2022).

6. SURVEY METHODS

The FDHR's Module Three, Guidelines for Use by Historic Professionals, indicates that the first stage of archaeological field survey is a reconnaissance of the project area to "ground truth," or ascertain the validity of the predictive model (FDHR 2003). During this part of the survey, the researcher assesses whether the initial predictive model needs adjustment based on disturbance or conditions such as constructed features (i.e., parking lots, buildings, etc.), underground utilities, landscape alterations (i.e., ditches and swales, mined land, dredged and filled land, agricultural fields), or other constraints that may affect the archaeological potential. Additionally, these Guidelines indicate that non-systematic "judgmental" testing may be appropriate in urbanized environments where pavement, utilities, and constructed features make systematic testing unfeasible; in geographically restricted areas such as proposed pond sites; or within project areas that have limited high and moderate probability zones, but where a larger subsurface testing sample may be desired. While predictive models are useful in determining preliminary testing strategies in a broad context, it is understood that testing intervals may be altered due to conditions encountered by the field crew at the time of survey.

Archaeological field methodology consisted of a visual examination of the APE followed by systematic shovel testing. Systematic shovel tests were placed at 25 and 50-meter (m) intervals and judgmentally and most were dug to 100 centimeters (cm). Though not warranted, sampling employed moderate to high interval testing due to each pond's diminutive size. All soil removed from the test pits (TP) was screened through a 6.4-millimeter (mm) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were recorded with Trimble Juno 5D Series/Terrasync mobile mapping application and following the recording of relevant data such as stratigraphic profile, all shovel tests were refilled.

Historic/architectural field methodology consisted of a field survey of the APE to determine if any historic resources (i.e., bridges, roads, cemeteries) that are 46 years of age or older (built in or prior to 1976) are located within the APE. The field reconnaissance survey focused on the assessment of existing conditions and if historic resources were identified, then photographs would have been taken and enough information would have been gathered for the completion of FMSF forms. Also, informant interviews would have been conducted, if possible, with knowledgeable persons to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible associations with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

Laboratory Procedures and Curation: In the event that cultural materials were recovered, they would be initially cleaned and sorted by artifact class and subjected to a limited technological analysis. However, no artifacts were found as a result of this survey.

All project related information will be housed at Archaeological Consultants, Inc., in Sarasota (Project file No. P20107), pending transfer to a FDOT-designated repository for permanent storage and curation.

Inadvertent/Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Remains: In the unlikely event that human remains are encountered during the course of project development, the procedures outlined in Chapter 872, FS will be followed. All activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will be suspended, and the FDOT, District One, Environmental Administrator will be contacted. A professional archaeologist will also be contacted to evaluate the importance of the discovery. The area will be examined by the archaeologist, who, in consultation with staff of the FDOT and SHPO will determine if the discovery is significant or potentially significant. In the event the discovery is found to be not significant, the work may immediately resume. If, on the other hand, the discovery is found to be significant or potentially significant, then project development activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will continue to be suspended until such time as a mitigation plan, acceptable to SHPO, is developed and implemented, after which project development activities may then resume.

7. SURVEY RESULTS

Archaeological: Field survey resulted in a visual reconnaissance and the excavation of 14 shovel tests, plus seven previous shovel tests in Pond 1-2B and one previous shovel test located in Pond 5-C from ACI's 2018 survey (ACI 2018b) placed within the APE, systematically and judgmentally. The distribution of the shovel test pits is noted in **Table 1** and **Figures 9 - 11**. Systematic shovel tests were placed at 25 and 50 m intervals and judgmentally and most were dug to 100 cm. Though not warranted, sampling employed moderate to high interval testing due to each pond's diminutive size. All soil removed from each TP was screened through a 6.4 mm mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were recorded with Trimble Juno 5D Series/Terrasync mobile mapping application and following the recording of relevant data such as stratigraphic profile, all shovel tests were refilled. A

reasonable and good faith effort was made per the regulations laid out in 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1) (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.) to survey all areas of the project APE.

Table 1.	Archaeol	logical	and	historical	data.
----------	----------	---------	-----	------------	-------

Pond	ZAPs*	Comments	
1 -2B	Moderate	Prehistoric Archaeological : No previously recorded sites; southern 3/4s is an existing pond; north portion on slight elevation above Charlotte Harbor	
	Low Historical Archaeological: No previously recorded sites.		previous
3C	Moderate Prehistoric Archaeological: No previously recorded sites; partially wooded residential lot		4
	Low	Historical Archaeological: No previously recorded sites.	
4B	Moderate Prehistoric Archaeological: No previously recorded sites; disturbed cabbage palm/oak hammock		6
	Low	Historical Archaeological: No previously recorded sites.	
5C	Low	Prehistoric Archaeological : No previously recorded sites; disturbed with exotic vegetation and on County Utilities property.	2 + 1 previous
	Low	Historical Archaeological: No previously recorded sites.	previous

^{*} ZAPs = Zones of Archaeological and Historical Probability

Shown below are sample soil stratigraphy from each pond site; **Photos 5-7** show various soil stratigraphies:

- Pond 1-2B: 0-20 cm of dark gray muck sand; 20-50 cm of gray muck sand; water at 20-30 cm
- **Pond 3C:** 0-20 cm of dark gray sand; 20-50 cm of gray sand; 50-60 cm of dk brown sand; 60-100 cm of light brown sand
- Pond 4B: 0-20 cm of gray sand; 20-100 cm of light gray muck sand; water at 40-50 cm
- Pond 5C: 0-20 cm of gray sand; 20-100 cm of light gray muck sand; water at 10-20 cm



Photo 5. Soil stratigraphy of Pond 3C.



Photo 6. Soil stratigraphy of Pond 4B.



Photo 7. Soil stratigraphy of Pond 5C.

As a result of this archaeological testing, no sites were found, and no additional archaeological testing is recommended.

Historical: As a result of the historical survey, no historic resources were identified within any of the proposed pond sites; however, four previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites within the APE (**Figures 9 – 11**). This includes three buildings (8CH01338, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) located adjacent to proposed Pond site 1-2B and the historic linear resource, Harborview Road (8CH02053), located adjacent to proposed pond sites 1-2B, 3C, 4B and 5C. These four resources were recorded/updated in 2018, at which time they were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. Therefore, the resources were not updated as part of this survey as no significant changes were observed. As a result of the field reconnaissance survey, no new historic resources were identified within the APE.



Figure 9. Location of shovel tests and historic resources within the APE.




Figure 10. Location of shovel tests and historic resources within the APE.



Figure 11. Location of shovel tests and historic resources within the APE.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Archaeological survey consisted of a visual examination of the APE followed by subsurface shovel testing within the APE. No artifacts were recovered from any shovel tests within the APE. As a result of the field survey, no new historic resources were identified within the APE; however, four previously recorded historic resources (8CH01338, 8CH02053, 8CH02741, and 8CH02742) had been previously recorded adjacent to the four proposed pond sites within the APE. These four resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP in 2018 during the CRAS of Harborview Road and a survey for proposed pond sites, between Melbourne Street between I-75 (ACI 2018a; Survey No. 25342; ACI 2018b; Survey No. 25344). Given the results of background research and field survey, no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, eligible, or that appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP are located within the APE. Therefore, it is the professional opinion of ACI that the proposed undertaking will result in no historic properties affected.

9. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

n.d. Meeting the "Reasonable and Good Faith" Identification Standard in Section 106 Review. Accessed at ttp://www.achp.gov/docs/reasonable_good_faith_identification.pdf.

Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI)

- 1991 A Cultural Resource Assessment of the Harborview DRI Parcel, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 1999 A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Interstate 75 over the Peace River from Exit 29, US 17 (SR 35) to Exit 30, CR 766 (Harborview Road), Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2004a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Devonshire Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2004b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Piper Road Corridor Study, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2005 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey I-75 PD&E Study from SR 78 to North of Kings Highway, Lee and Charlotte Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2009 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey, Regional Integrated Loop System Loop Phase IA Interconnect Project, Charlotte County, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2013 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey North Shore Drive Wastwater Extension Project, Charlotte County Florida, ACI, Sarasota.
- 2018a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Sutdy from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. Draft, ACI, Sarasota.
- 2018b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memoandum Proposed Pond Sites Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida.

Austin, Robert J.

- 2005a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Harborview East Development Property, Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.
- 2005b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Proposed Harborview West Development Property, Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.
- 2008 Survey of Historical Resources, Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.

Burns, Jason M.

2009 Submerged Cultural Resources Assessment Survey of the Peace River Crossing in Charlotte County, Florida. SEARCH, Jonesville.

Charlotte Harbor Environmental Center

1996 Charlotte Harbor Mound Survey. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Fernald, Edward A. and Elizabeth D. Purdum, Eds.

1996 Atlas of Florida. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

2020 Project Development and Environmental Manual Part 2, Chapter 8, "Archaeological and Historical Resources." FDOT, Tallahassee.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (DHR)

n.d. Florida Master Site File Form Information.

2003 *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual.* Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Historic Property Associates (HPA)

1989 Historic Properties Survey of Charlotte County, Florida. Historic Property Associates, Inc., St. Augustine.

Jones, B. Calvin

Annual Progress Report of the Cooperative Agreement for the Archaeological Salvage Program Between the Florida Department of Transportation and the Division of Archives, History, and Records Management, Florida Department of State. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Annual Progress Report of the Cooperative Agreement for the Archaeological Salvage Program between the Florida Department of Transportation and the Division of Archives History and Records Management, Florida Department of State. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Parsons, Timothy

2018 SHPO Concurrence Letter, DHR Project File No.:2017-2462: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study from Melbourne Street to I-75, Charlotte County, Florida. FDHR, Tallahassee.

Pickles, Keith J., Lucy B. Wayne, and Martin F. Dickinson

2005 Cultural Resources Survey and Assessment, Bella Via, Charlotte County, Florida. SouthArc, Inc., Gainesville.

Polk, Paul L.

2022 Records Search. Charlotte County Property Appraiser, Port Charlotte.

Puri, Harbans S. and Robert O. Vernon

Summary of the Geology of Florida and Guidebook to the Classic Exposures. Florida Geological Survey Special Publication No. 5, Tallahassee.

Scupholm, Carrie

1997 The Tamiami Trail: Connecting the East and West Coasts of the Sunshine State. *The Society for Commercial Archeology Journal* 15(2): 20-24.

Shively, Scot

2016 Hickory Bluff Cemetery: A Charlotte County Pioneer African American Cemetery.
Accessed October 2022,
https://www.charlottecountyfl.gov/core/fileparse.php/397/urlt/Hickory-Bluff-Cemetery-History-Final.pdf

United States Census bureau (USCB)

- 1995 Population of Counties by Decennial Census. United States Census Bureau, Population Division. www.census.gov/population/cencounts/fl190090.txt.
- 2012 Florida Quick Facts. http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/12000.html.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1952 Aerial Photograph 1-09-52 DMV-2H-17 and 1-11-52 DMV-2H-66.
- 1970 Aerial Photograph 12-14-70 DMV-1LL42.
- 1984 Soil Survey of Charlotte County. United States Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1957 Punta Gorda, Florida. Photorevised 1987.

APPENDIX A: SHPO Concurrence Letters



Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR 801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, FL 33830 MIKE DEW SECRETARY

October 24, 2018

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director Florida Division of Historical Resources Department of State, R.A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250 r'cd 12/18/18

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

Harborview Road (CR 776) PD&E Study From Melbourne Street to I-75 Charlotte County, Florida Financial Management Number: 434965-1-22-01

Dear Dr. Parsons:

A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was performed within the area of potential effect (APE) along Harborview Road (CR 776) from Melbourne Street to west of I-75 in Charlotte County, Florida, including six proposed pond sites and one Historic Basin Storage (HBS) site for the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) during their Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study which will serve to evaluate the proposed widening of Harborview Road. Thus, the purpose of this PD&E study is to evaluate engineering and environmental data and document information that will aid Charlotte County, FDOT, and the Office of Environmental Management (OEM) in determining the type, preliminary design and location of the proposed improvements. The proposed action involves widening Harborview Road to improve connectivity between US 41 and I-75, provide multimodal accommodations, enhance safety, and improve emergency evacuation. The proposed typical section for Harborview Road will include sidewalks and bicycle lanes to accommodate pedestrian and bicycle traffic along the corridor. The project length is approximately 2.3 miles.

Based on the scale and nature of the proposed undertaking, the archaeological APE was defined as the existing roadway right-of-way (ROW) for the corridor and the footprint of the proposed ponds and HBS site. The historic/architectural APE includes the archaeological APE and property parcels immediately adjacent to the roadway and immediately adjacent to the proposed pond sites and HBS site.

This CRAS was conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. The investigations were carried out in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT's PD&E Manual, FDOT's Cultural Resources Manual, and the standards contained in the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operations Manual (FDHR 2003). In

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director Harborview Road, Charlotte, Florida FPID No.: 434965-1-22-01 October 24, 2018 Page 3 of 3

	The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) finds the attached Cultural Res Assessment Survey Report complete and sufficient and concurs/ do concur with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/Project File Number Or, the SHPO finds the attached document concurs insufficient information.	es not FDHR
	SHPO Comments:	
5	Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director State Historic Preservation Officer Florida Division of Historical Resources	

APPENDIX B: Survey Log

Survey Log Sheet

Survey # (FMSF only)

Florida Master Site File Version 5.0 3/19

Consult Guide to the Survey Log Sheet for detailed instructions.

	Manuscri	pt Information		
Survey Project (name and project phase)				
CRAS Addendum Proposed Pond Site	es from Melbourne	Street to I-75,		se I
_				
Report Title (exactly as on title page)				
Cultural Resource Assessment Sur Study from Melbourne Street to I				
beday from nerbodine before to 1				
Report Authors (as on title page) 1. ACT	Report Authors (as on title page) 1. ACI 3.			
			4	
Publication Year 2022 Number	er of Pages in Report	(do not include site forms	24	
Publication Information (Give series, number in	series, publisher and city	. For article or chapter, ci	te page numbers. Use the style of	American Antiquity.)
P20107; ACI Florida, Sarasota				
Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as aut	hor) Names Almy,	Marion		
Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization Ar			City Sarasota	
Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or				
•				
1. 3. 2. 4.		6.	8	
Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit,				
Name	-	-	ept of Transportation - District 1	
Address/Phone/E-mail 801 North Broad				
Recorder of Log Sheet Lee Hutchinson			Date Log Sheet Completed	9-11-2022
Is this survey or project a continuation of a		□No ⊠Yes Pr	evious survey #s (FMSF only)	
is this survey or project a continuation or t	r provious project.		Evidus survey #5 (Fivior diliy)	
	Project <i>l</i>	Area Mapping		
Counties (select every county in which field surve	•	•	-	
1. Charlotte	3		5	
2	4		6	
USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Lates	t Revision (attach addit	ional sheet if necessary)		
1. Name PUNTA GORDA	Year 1958	4. Name		Year
2. Name				
3. Name	Year	C Name		V
	Field Dates and P	roject Area Descript	tion	
F.11 . I.B				
		Total Area Surveyed (fill in one)hectares	acres
Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Survey			al. 19	••
If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width:	meters	feet L engt	th:kilometers _	miles

Page 2 Survey Log Sheet Survey #____

		rch and Field Metho	ds		
Types of Survey (select all that apply):	⊠archaeological	⊠architectural	⊠historical/archival	□underwater	
	damage assessment	☐monitoring report	other(describe):		
Scope/Intensity/Procedures					
Background research, surf within APE; 14 shovel tes screen; historic survey;	ts at 25m, 50m, and	d judgmental ; 5			
Preliminary Methods (select as many	y as apply to the project as a	whole)			
☐ Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building) ☑ Site File property search	□ library research- <i>local public</i> □ library-special collection ☑ Public Lands Survey (maps at □ local informant(s)	⊠newspaper file DEP) ⊠literature sear	⊠local property or tax records ⊠other historic maps □LIDAR ⊠newspaper files ⊠soils maps or data □other remote sensir ⊠literature search ⊠windshield survey □Sanborn Insurance maps ⊠aerial photography		
Archaeological Mathada (
Archaeological Methods (select as a Check here if NO archaeological methods usurface collection, controlled surface collection, uncontrolled shovel test-1/4"screen shovel test-1/8" screen shovel test 1/16"screen shovel test-unscreened other (describe):		ze bloc soil mag side grou	k excavation (at least 2x2 m) resistivity netometer scan sonar nd penetrating radar (GPR) IR	□metal detector □other remote sensing ☑pedestrian survey □unknown	
THE A STREET AND A STREET AND A STREET					
Historical/Architectural Methods		e project as a whole)			
Check here if NO historical/architectu			LL ! !	SS and divining an area	
□ building permits □ commercial permits	□demolition permits ☑windshield survey		hbor interview Ipant interview	⊠subdivision maps ⊠tax records	
interior documentation Other (describe):	⊠local property records		pation permits	unknown	
		Survey Results			
		Julvey liesuits			
Resource Significance Evaluated? Yes O Count of Previously Recorded Resources O Count of Newly Recorded Resources O List Previously Recorded Site ID#s with Site File Forms Completed (attach additional pages if necessary)					
List Newly Recorded Site ID#s (attach additional pages if necessary)					
Site Forms Used: ☐ Site File Paper Forms ☐ Site File PDF Forms					
REQUIRED: Attach Map of Survey or Project Area Boundary					
SHPO USE ONLY		SHPO USE ONLY		SHPO USE ONLY	
Origin of Report: □872 □Public La		□Compliance Review			
Type of Document: Archaeological S	xcavation Report Multi-Sit	ral Survey Marine Sur e Excavation Report S	vey Cell Tower CRAS	Monitoring Report ibrary, Hist. or Archival Doc	

Document Destination: Plottable Projects



Harborview Road

Township 40 South, Range 22 East, Section 25 USGS Punta Gorda 1987 Charlotte County, Florida

CRAS Addendum HARBORVIEW ROAD (CR 776) PD&E STUDY FROM MELBOURNE STREET TO I-75 CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA

FPID No: 434965-1-22-01