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## SECTION 1. PROJECT SUMMARY

This preliminary engineering report contains detailed engineering information that fulfills the purpose and need for the State Road (SR) 70 from Lorraine Road to County Road (CR) 675/Waterbury Road project in Manatee County.

### 1.1 Project Description and Project Location Map

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) study to evaluate the proposed widening of 6.1 miles of SR 70 from Lorraine Road (MP 9.478) to CR 675/Waterbury Road (MP 15.567) in Manatee County, as depicted in Figure 1.1.

This study evaluates the need for capacity improvements and provides engineering and environmental documentation and analysis to establish the optimal type and location of improvements to SR 70. The results of the study will aid Manatee County, FDOT District One, and the FDOT Office of Environmental Management (OEM) in determining the type, preliminary design and location of the proposed improvements.

The project was evaluated through FDOT's Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) process as project \#14263. An ETDM Programming Screen Summary Report containing comments from the Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) was published on April 3, 2018. The ETAT evaluated the project's effects on natural, physical, cultural, social and economic resources.

Upon completion, this study will meet all requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) as administered by the Florida Department of Transportation - Office of Environmental Management (OEM) and the requirements of other federal and state laws so as to qualify the proposed project for federal-aid funding.

Figure 1.1: Project Location Map


### 1.2 Purpose and Need

The purpose of this project is to improve traffic operational conditions along the SR 70 corridor from Lorraine Road to CR 675/Waterbury Road to accommodate projected travel demand, specifically increased commuter and freight traffic. Traffic flow within the corridor is of particular concern given the high percentage of heavy trucks mixed with non-truck traffic. The unique acceleration and deceleration characteristics of the trucks cause vehicular travel delay and, ultimately, impact the movement of commuter and freight traffic on the two-lane undivided roadway.

Two Developments of Regional Impact (Cypress Banks and Northwest Sector) surround the western project terminus. Of the five Planned Unit Developments that are present, two are located at the western project terminus and three surround the eastern portion of the project corridor (two of these three are Panther Trace and Concession). Del Webb Lakewood Ranch is also present south of SR 70 near Uihlein Road. The corridor further abuts a master planned community, Lakewood Ranch, to the west. Lakewood Ranch is also identified by Manatee County as one of four major growth and focus areas of the county. Growth along the project corridor is anticipated to occur most heavily within the area surrounding the western half of the corridor as the area will continue to support residential and mixed use community activities with commercial uses concentrated at the intersection of SR 70 and Lorraine Road.

Due to the fact that it provides regional access to agriculture and ranching operations, industrial/commercial areas, and freight distribution facilities throughout central Florida, particularly with its connections to several major transportation facilities, SR 70 has been designated as part of the SIS network. Accordingly, the project segment of SR 70 currently carries significant truck traffic.

This project is anticipated to improve traffic operations and preserve operational capacity along SR 70 to address increased travel demand as a result of projected growth along the corridor and higher volumes of heavy trucks on the corridor due to agricultural and ranching activities in the area. The proposed project is also anticipated to improve safety characteristics of the facility, which are particularly exacerbated by the high truck percentages, by enhancing overall traffic operations.

### 1.3 Commitments

Based on the field and literature reviews outlined in this report, federal- or state-listed protected species have the potential to occur within the project study area. In order to assure that the proposed project will not adversely impacts these species, the FDOT will make the following commitments:

- Impacts to suitable foraging habitat for the federally-protected wood stork will be mitigated through the purchase of credits from a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service-approved mitigation bank pursuant to Section 373.4137, F.S. or as otherwise agreed to by the FDOT and the appropriate regulatory agencies.
- The USFWS Standard Protection Measures for the Eastern Indigo Snake will be implemented to assure that the Eastern indigo snake will not be adversely impacted by the project.
- The FDOT is committed to the construction of feasible and reasonable noise abatement measures at noise-impacted locations contingent on the following:

1) Detailed noise analysis during the final design process support the need, feasibility, and reasonableness of providing abatement;
2) Cost analysis indicates that the cost of the noise barrier(s) will not exceed the cost reasonable criterion;
3) Community input supporting types, heights, and locations of the noise barrier(s) is provided to the District Office; and
4) Safety and engineering aspects as related to the roadway user and the adjacent property owner have been reviewed and any conflicts or issues resolved.

### 1.4 Description of the Recommended Alternative

Within the limits of this study, FDOT is evaluating one project build alternative and three project segments. The proposed action of this alternative, is to increase capacity of the existing two-lane undivided roadway by widening it to a four or six-lane divided roadway to accomplish the purpose and need described in the previous section. The three segments are separated for analysis so this study can best address the local transportation needs. Segment A extends from Lorraine Road to east of Greenbrook Boulevard, Segment B extends from east of Greenbrook Boulevard to Bourneside Boulevard, and Segment C extends from Bourneside Boulevard to the eastern project limit at CR 675.

The typical section of the recommended alternative varies from Segment A to Segment C. The proposed build improvements for each of the three project segments includes the following:

Segment A: The design speed for this segment is 45 mph . The proposed design provides a highspeed curbed roadway with three 11 -foot travel lanes in each direction, seven-foot paved outside shoulders (accommodating buffered bicycle lanes), a 22 -foot wide median, a closed drainage system with curbs and gutters, and 8 -foot sidewalks in both directions as depicted in Figure 1.2.. The proposed improvements in this segment are anticipated to be accomplished within the existing 200 foot right-of-way.

Segment B: The design speed for this segment is 50 mph . The proposed design provides a highspeed curbed roadway with two 12 -foot travel lanes in each direction, five-foot paved outside shoulders, a closed drainage system with curbs and gutters, and 8-foot sidewalks in both directions. The proposed roadway includes a 54 -foot wide median designed to accommodate a six-lane section in the future when traffic needs merit an expansion. The future widening will be accomplished by adding a 12 -foot travel lane in each direction on the inside as depicted in Figure 1.3. The proposed improvements in this segment are anticipated to be accomplished primarily within the existing 200 -foot right-of-way; minimal right-of-way will be needed to construct proposed roundabouts at Uihlein Road, Del Webb Boulevard, and Bourneside Boulevard.

Segment C: The design speed for this segment is 50 mph . The proposed design provides a highspeed curbed roadway with two 12 -foot travel lanes in each direction, five-foot paved outside shoulders, a 30 -foot median, and a closed drainage system with curbs and gutters as depicted in Figure 1.4. The proposed improvements in this segment are anticipated to be accomplished primarily within the existing 200 foot right-of-way; minimal right-of-way will be needed to construct proposed roundabouts at 197th Street East/Lindrick Lane, 213th Street East, 225th Street East/Panther Ridge Trail, and CR 675.

Figure 1.2: Segment A Proposed Typical Section


Figure 1.3: Segment B Proposed Typical Section


Figure 1.4: Segment C Proposed Typical Section


The evaluation matrix is based on environmental effects, right-of-way (ROW) needs, project costs, and engineering factors. It also quantifies considerations such as potential business and residential relocations, impacts to environmental resources, and the area of ROW needed for the roadway improvements and stormwater facilities. The potential for the proposed widening to impact archaeological/historic sites, noise sensitive sites, and threatened and endangered species were also included in the matrix. The bottom portion of the matrix details cost estimates for wetland mitigation, ROW acquisition, construction, design, and constructing engineering and inspection. These estimates were based on 2018 unit costs. Construction costs were estimated using the FDOT Long Range Estimates (LRE) provided in Appendix A. The evaluation matrix can be found in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Evaluation Matrix

| Evaluation Criteria | No-Build Alternative | Build Alternative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centerline Length of Improvement (Feet) | N/A | 32,161 |
| Centerline Length of Improvement (miles) | N/A | 6.1 |
| Business Impacts |  |  |
| Number of business relocations | 0 | 0 |
| Residential Impacts |  |  |
| Number of residential relocations | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental Effects |  |  |
| Archaeological/Historical sites (potential) | None (0) | Low |
| Public parks, recreation areas, or wildlife refuges (acres) | None (0) | None (0) |
| Noise (impacted receptors) | 3 | 0 |
| Wetland (acres) | 0.0 | 9.57 |
| Floodplains (acres) | 0.0 | 42.20 |
| Threatened and endangered species (potential) | Low | Low |
| Contamination sites (high / medium) | 0 | 5/2 |
| Right-of-Way Needs |  |  |
| Right-of-way to be acquired for roadway (acres) | 0.0 | 0.77 |
| Right-of-way to be acquired for stormwater facilities (acres) | 0.0 | 66.19 |
| Right-of-way to be acquired for floodplain compensation (acres) | 0.0 | 44.30 |
| Total right-of-way acres | 0.0 | 111.26 |
| Estimated Total Project Costs (2017 Cost) |  |  |
| Wetland mitigation | \$0 | \$1,131,605 |
| Utility relocation costs | \$0 | \$0 |
| Right-of-way acquisition for roadway | \$0 | \$915,000 |
| Right-of-way acquisition for stormwater facilities | \$0 | \$1,515,000 |
| Right-of-way acquisition for floodplain compensation | \$0 | \$7,780,000 |
| Total Right-of-Way Cost | \$0 | \$10,210,000 |
| Construction cost for roadway | \$0 | \$54,854,188 |
| Construction cost for stormwater facilities | \$0 | \$5,222,466 |
| Construction cost for floodplain compensation | \$0 | \$7,256,758 |
| Total Construction Cost | \$0 | \$67,333,412 |
| Design | \$0 | \$4,713,339 |
| Construction Engineering \& Inspection (15\% of the Total Construction Cost) | \$0 | \$6,733,341 |
| Preliminary Estimate of Engineering Cost | \$0 | \$11,446,680 |
| Preliminary Estimate of Total Project Cost | \$0 | \$90,121,697 |

. Mitigation Cost is based on mitigation bank credit cost and an estimate of wetland function and value loss associated with wetland impacts.
2. Right-of-Way cost estimates were prepared by FDOT in 2019
3. Constriction costs were prepared by FDOT in 2019
4. Utility costs are for the relocation of utilities not permitted within existing FDOT right-of-way.

## SECTION 2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing roadway conditions described in this section were completed based on topographic survey data, a review of as-built SR 70 drawings, the FDOT Straight Line Diagrams of Road Inventory, information provided by Manatee County, and field reviews.

### 2.1 Functional Classification and Access Management Classification

According to the Straight Line Diagrams of Road Inventory, SR 70 is designated as a "Rural Other Principal Arterial" highway within the project limits. It is also designated as a Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) highway and evacuation route. The context classification for the project limits of SR 70 is C3R - Suburban Residential as defined in the approved Context Classification Memo. The access management classification for this project is Class 3. For this classification, the State Highway Access Management Classification System and Standards (Rule 14-97) allows for full median openings and signalized intersections spaced at 2,640 feet, and directional median openings spaced at 1,320 feet.

### 2.2 Land Use

The project limits are located within Manatee County. The existing land uses adjacent to SR 70 consist primarily of residential uses with multiple housing subdivisions along the project corridor including Greenbrook Village, Lakewood National, Polo Run, Del Webb, and Preserve at Panther Trace. Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFMD) holds and monitors a conservation easement on south side of SR 70 within the project limits. The vacant land east of the Greenbrook subdivision is privately owned and used as a mitigation bank and referred to as the Braden River Mitigation Bank. It is a 349 -acre mitigation bank. There are some agricultural lands north and south of the project corridor.

Based on 2010 U.S. Census Bureau data and projections developed for Manatee County as part of the Sarasota/Manatee Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), population within Manatee County is projected to grow from 322,833 in 2010 to 469,100 in 2040. Growth along the project corridor is anticipated to occur most heavily within the area surrounding the western half of the corridor. That area will continue to support residential and mixed use community activities with commercial uses concentrated at the intersection of SR 70 and Lorraine Road. Although the area surrounding the eastern portion of the project corridor is intended to support agricultural uses, the Manatee County Zoning Map shows land designated for Planned Development Residential and Planned Development Agricultural south of SR 70; land north of SR 70 remains designated for agricultural activities.

### 2.3 Typical Section and Right-of-Way

The existing SR 70 corridor consists of a two-lane undivided roadway with 12-foot travel lanes (one in each direction) and 12 -foot shoulders ( 5 feet paved) (Figure 2.1). Within the study limits the existing right-of-way width is approximately 200 feet throughout the majority of the project
corridor and approximately 250 feet near the intersection of SR 70 and CR 675/Waterbury Road. Table 2.1 summarizes the existing right-of-way for the project limits with stationing and offsets based on the baseline shown on plan sheets.

Figure 2.1: Existing Typical Roadway Section


Table 2.1: Existing Right-of-Way

| Baseline <br> Station | Offset from Centerline of Survey |  | Total (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right |  |
| $519+39$ | 68 | 132 | 230 |
| $828+71$ | 98 | 132 | 247 |
| $847+00$ | 115 | 132 | 263 |
| $854+51$ | 115 | 148 | 218 |
| $861+88$ | 70 | 148 | 202 |
| $894+62$ | 70 | 132 | 2 |

### 2.4 Pavement Conditions

SR 70 between Lorraine Road and CR 675 was resurfaced in 2014. The FDOT Pavement Condition Report shows cracking and ride ratings of 9.0 and 8.0 respectively for 2019. The existing pavement is generally in good condition with no signs of base failure. The pavement and base are anticipated to be in acceptable condition for reuse with milling and resurfacing as part of the Recommended Alternative for Segment C, but should be evaluated further during final design.

### 2.5 Horizontal and Vertical Alignment

The existing SR 70 alignment within the project limits is comprised of three horizontal curves and two deflections. The degree of curvature for the existing horizontal curves ranges from $1^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$
to $3^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ as shown in Table 2.2. The existing alignment deflections are $0^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 00.1 "$ and $0^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$ $25.97^{\prime \prime}$ and also shown in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: Horizonal Alignment

| Centerline <br> PI Station | Back | Bearing | Degree of <br> Curvature | Radius (ft) | Length (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~S} 89^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 59.38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 54^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 24.93^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ |  | $1,909.86$ | 1,146 |
| $642+77.50$ | $\mathrm{~S} 54^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 24.93^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 69^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 31.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $2^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | $2,864.79$ | 748 |
| $823+69.14$ | $\mathrm{~S} 69^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 57.98^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 62^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 54.26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $1^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | $5,729.58$ | 735 |
| $852+02.55$ | $\mathrm{~S} 62^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 54.26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 62^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 54.32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | - | - | - |
| $862+71.36$ | $\mathrm{~S} 62^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 54.32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 62^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 20.33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | - | - | - |

The lowest point along the existing SR 70 alignment within the project limits is at 41 feet (NAVD 88) at the beginning of the project. The vertical alignment gradually climbs at an average grade of $0.2 \%$ to elevation 47 feet at Sta. $561+70$ near the Greenbrook Blvd intersection. The vertical alignment descends to 38 feet around the Braden River crossing between Sta $579+90$ and Sta 585+26. The vertical alignment climbs at an average grade of $0.15 \%$ to 86 feet at the end project limit at CR 675 .

### 2.6 Intersection Layout

There are a total of five major roadways that intersect SR 70 within the project limits. Major roadways were distinguished by turning movement volumes. The five major roadways are listed in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3: Major Cross Streets

| Highlands County |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cross Street | Station | Configuration | Traffic Control |
| Lorraine Road | $527+40$ | Four-Way Intersection | Signalized |
| Greenbrook Blvd. | $562+30$ | Four-Way Intersection | Two-Way Stop |
| Uihlein Road | $599+00$ | T-Intersection | One-Way Stop |
| Del Webb Blvd. | $645+00$ | T-Intersection | One-Way Stop |
| CR 675 | $850+40$ | Four-Way Intersection | Two-Way Stop |

### 2.7 Multimodal Accommodations

There are two segments of existing sidewalk within the project limits of SR 70. The first segment is 675 feet long and located on the south side of SR 70 east of the Lorraine Road intersection. The second sidewalk segment is 0.9 miles long and located on the south side of SR 70 east and west of Lindrick Lane. There are existing five-foot paved shoulders which function as undesignated bicycle lanes along SR 70 throughout the project limits.

There are no existing transit facilities within the project limits.

### 2.8 Drainage System Inventory

### 2.8.1 Floodways/Floodplains

The project site is located on the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Community-Panel Numbers 12081C0345E, 12081C0365E, and 12081C0370E (dated March 14, 2014) in Manatee County. The project crosses the Braden River, which is designated as Zone A north of SR 70 and Zone AE and a FEMA floodway south of SR 70. Zone AE are areas of the 100-year floodplain where the base flood elevation has been determined. The floodway elevation is 36 feet (NAVD 88) on the south side of SR 70. The proposed alignment also impacts several areas designated as Zone A, which are areas of the 100year floodplain where the base elevation has not been determined.

The Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) has modeled the Braden River watershed to revise the 100-year floodplain elevations in Manatee County and to update the FEMA Federal Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). The Braden River Watershed model was approved by the SWFWMD Governing Board on May 21, 2013. It is the most accurate available information and will be used to determine floodplain elevations within the project area west of Lorraine Road to west of CR 675. The remaining area of the project is located within the Cow Pen Slough Watershed. Sarasota County is presently in the process of updating the watershed model for this basin.

SR 70 is a designated hurricane evacuation route. It was agreed during a drainage and pond site coordination meeting with FDOT on February 19, 2018 to place the roadway edge of pavement above the 100 year floodplain elevation. The existing and proposed roadway profiles were raised in the area of the Braden River to meet these conditions.

### 2.8.2 Existing Drainage Conditions

The project is located within the Braden River and Cow Pen Slough watersheds and within the jurisdiction of the SWFWMD. The existing drainage patterns were determined using United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, existing plans, and LiDAR contours where available. The existing drainage basins can be found in Table 2.4. This project contains "open" drainage basins and flow is generally from north to south. The roadway drains through roadside ditches to twelve cross drains and two bridge culverts within the project limits There are no SWFWMD permitted stormwater management facilities within the project limits. The two bridge culverts within the project are located at the Braden River. Bridge 130113 is a triple 12 ' $\times 5$ ' box culvert at MP 10.526 (Sta. 182+87), and Bridge 130114 is a quadruple 10 ' x 7 ' box culvert at MP 10.976 (Sta. 206+57). Both culverts were built in the year 1970. The existing cross drain summary can be found in Table 2.5. The project has no known flooding issues.

Table 2.4: Existing Drainage Basins

| Basin Name | From Station | To Station |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $127+85$ | $162+30$ |
| B | $162+30$ | $192+30$ |
| C | $192+30$ | $239+71$ |
| D | $239+71$ | $269+10$ |
| E | $269+10$ | $289+50$ |
| F | $289+50$ | $310+00$ |
| G | $310+00$ | $324+55$ |
| H | $324+55$ | $378+55$ |
| I | $378+55$ | $421+81$ |

Table 2.5: Existing Crossdrain Summary

| No. | MP | Station | Size | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S-200 | 9.706 | 140+15 | 48" | 1976 |
| S-210 | 10.460 | 179+59 | 36" | 1970 |
| BR 130113 | 10.526 | 182+87 | 3-12'x5' | 1970 |
| S-230 | 10.667 | 190+49 | $24^{\prime \prime}$ | 1976 |
| BR 130114 | 10.976 | 206+57 | 4-10'x7' | 1970 |
| S-250 | 11.923 | 256+80 | 2-30" | 1976 |
| S-260 | 12.052 | $263+55$ | 2-24" | 1976 |
| S-270 | 12.252 | 274+24 | 2-42" | 1976 |
| S-280 | 12.629 | 294+08 | 2-42" | 1976 |
| S-290 | 13.028 | $315+05$ | 30" | 1976 |
| S-300 | 13.589 | 344+57 | 2-7'-7' | 1976 |
| S-310 | 13.851 | 358+49 | 24" | 1976 |
| S-320 | 14.764 | $406+56$ | 36" | 1976 |
| S-330 | 15.172 | $428+38$ | $2-7 \times \times{ }^{\prime}$ | 1976 |

### 2.9 Existing Traffic Conditions

This section provides a brief summary of the existing traffic conditions information that can be found in the SR 70 Design Traffic Technical Memorandum (DTTM) (2018). An analysis was performed as a part of this study for the existing year (2016) and future years- opening year (2023) and design year (2043). A more detailed analysis of the traffic data collected in support of this project is provided in the SR 70 DTTM.

### 2.9.1 Existing Year (2016) Traffic Volumes

A seventy-two (72)-hour classification count was conducted at one location on SR 70 just east of Lorraine Road. Twenty-four (24)-hour bi-directional volume counts were conducted at the following eighteen (18) locations in April, 2016:

- SR 70 west of Lorraine Road
- Lorraine Road north of SR 70
- Lorraine Road south of SR 70
- SR 70 east of Greenbrook Blvd.
- Greenbrook Blvd. north of SR 70
- Greenbrook Blvd. south of SR 70
- Lindrick Ln. north of SR 70
- Lindrick Ln. south of SR 70
- CR 675/Waterbury Rd north of SR 70
- SR 70 between Lindrick Ln. and $213^{\text {th }}$ St. E.
- $213^{\text {th }}$ St. E. south of SR 70
- SR 70 between $213^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and TreeUmph!
- TreeUmph! north of SR 70
- $225^{\text {th }}$ St. E. north of SR 70
- $225^{\text {th }}$ St. E. south of SR 70
- SR 70 between $225^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and CR 675/Waterbury Rd.
- Meadow Dove Ln. south of SR 70
- SR 70 east of CR 675/Waterbury Rd.

Four (4)-hour intersection turning movement counts for AM and PM peak hours were conducted at following seven (7) intersections:

- SR 70 at Lorraine Road
- SR 70 at Greenbrook Blvd
- SR 70 at Lindrick Ln.
- SR 70 at $213^{\text {th }}$ St. E.
- SR 70 at TreeUmph!.
- SR 70 at $225^{\text {th }}$ St. E
- SR 70 at CR 675/Waterbury Rd.

The 24 -hour truck percentage has been calculated at $14.2 \%$ for the SR 70 corridor. The weekday turning movement counts were collected for the intersections between the peak hours of 7:00-9:00 AM and 4:00-6:00 PM. The traffic count data (72-hour volume and classification) collected were adjusted utilizing the FDOT axle and seasonal adjustment factors for Manatee County to provide 2016 annual average conditions and peak hour traffic volumes.

As shown in Figure 2.2, the 2016 AADT volumes on the SR 70 mainline between Lorraine Road and CR 675 range from 22,000 vehicles per day (vpd) to 10,000 vpd. Figure 2.3 shows the existing a.m. and p.m. peak hour turning movement volumes for the study corridor.

### 2.9.2 Existing Year (2016) Level of Service

A summary of the LOS analysis for the study intersections is included in Table 2.6. This table shows that during the year 2016 AM peak hour conditions, the signalized intersection on SR 70 at Lorraine Road was found to operate below the standard FDOT LOS D. Additionally, the minor street approaches for the unsignalized intersections at Greenbrook Blvd/Post Blvd at SR 70 were found to operate below the standard C during both the AM and PM peak hours.

Table 2.6: Existing Year (2016) Intersection LOS Analysis Summary

| No. | Study Intersection |  | Control <br> Type | FDOT <br> Adopted <br> LOS | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Delay (s) | LOS |  |  |
| 1 | Lorraine Road | Signal | D | 68.7 | E | 33.1 | C |  |
| 2 | Greenbrook Blvd/Post Blvd | Stop | C | $9.0 / 27.9$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{D}$ | $8.9 / 25.7$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{D}$ |  |
| 3 | Lindrick Ln./197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $213^{\text {th }}$ Street E. | Street E. | C | $8.9 / 19.8$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{C}$ | $8.7 / 21.4$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 5 | Tree Umph! Park | Stop | C | $7.7 / 17.6$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{C}$ | $8.7 / 17.5$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 6 | $225^{\text {th }}$ Street E. | Stop | C | $8.8 / 12.5$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ | $7.9 / 0.0$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| 7 | Meadow Dove Ln./CR 675 | Stop | C | $8.6 / 16.1$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{C}$ | $8.4 / 14.6$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ |  |

Notes:

1. HCM 2010 based outputs are presented in this table for both the signalized and unsignalized intersections
2. Overall intersection delay and LOS results are reported for the signalized intersection
3. In case of unsignalized intersections, major street/minor street worst case results (movement delay and LOS) are reported
4. Result shown in color exceeds the adopted LOS standards



### 2.10 Crash Data

Based on a review of the five-year crash data, a total of 146 crashes occurred within the study limits. The most recent five-year crash history available at the beginning of this PD\&E was for the period from January 1, 2011 to December $31^{\text {st }}$, 2015. This data was obtained using Signal Four Analytics for SR 70 from Lorraine Road to CR 675.

### 2.10.1 Crash Summary by Year and Conditions

On average, about 29 crashes occurred per year within the study limits. Three of them were fatal crashes and 53 were crashes that resulted in injury. The three fatal crashes did not occur at any intersection but rather along roadway segments within the project limits. Two of the three fatal crashes occurred between Greenbrook Boulevard and $197^{\text {th }}$ Street East, and the other fatal crash occurred along the roadway segment between Lindrick Lane and $213^{\text {th }}$ Street East. The causes of these fatalities were: rollover, opposing sideswipe, and the third crash type is unknown.

A total of 45 crashes were reported to have occurred during dark conditions (at night, dawn, or dusk) while 24 crashes were reported to have occurred in wet conditions. Other details for these crashes may be found in Appendix G of the DTTM. Table 2.7 summarizes the crashes by severity and conditions.

Table 2.7: Crash Summary by Severity and Conditions (2011-2015)

| Year | Total No. <br> of Crashes | No. of <br> Fatality <br> Crashes | No. of <br> Injury <br> Crashes | Dark <br> Conditions <br> Crashes | Wet Conditions <br> Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 22 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 20 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 29 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 34 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 41 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 6 |
| 5-Year <br> Total | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| Average | 29.2 | 0.6 | 10.6 | 9 | 4.8 |
| Percent | - | $2.05 \%$ | $36.30 \%$ | $30.82 \%$ | $16.44 \%$ |

### 2.10.2 Crash Summary by Intersection

The crash data below is summarized by intersection. As shown in Table 2.8, the intersection of SR 70 and Lorraine Road (signalized) experienced the highest number of crashes ( 51 total crashes) among the analyzed intersections within the study corridor. This intersection alone is responsible
for $35 \%$ of the entire study corridor's crashes. The other intersection along SR 70 with more than 15 crashes for the last five (5) years is Greenbrook Blvd/Post Blvd at 29 crashes. The Greenbrook Blvd/Post Blvd intersection is stop controlled.

Uihein Road, Polo Club Lane, Del Webb Boulevard, and Bourneside Boulevard are all new roads and do not have crash data available. No fatal crashes were reported to have occurred at any intersection within the project limits. Table $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ shows the number of crashes by intersection for years 2011 through 2015. None of the seven analyzed intersections have lighting present.

Table 2.8: Crash Summary by Intersection (2011-2015)

| Intersection | Total | Fatal | Injury | Property <br> Damage <br> Only | Night | Wet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lorraine Road (signal) | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 0 | 19 | 32 | 9 | 9 |
| Post Blvd/Greenbrook Blvd (stop) | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 0 | 17 | 12 | 1 | 4 |
| $197^{\text {th }}$ Street E/Lindrick Lane (stop) | $\mathbf{6}$ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| $213^{\text {th }}$ Street E (stop) | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Tree UMPH Adventure Park (stop) | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $225^{\text {th }}$ Street E/Panther Ridge Trail (stop) | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CR 675/Waterbury Rd (stop) | $\mathbf{7}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

### 2.10.3 Crash Types

Table 2.9 summarizes the types of crashes that occurred within the project limits between the years 2011 through 2015. According to the summary, rear-end crashes account for the majority of the crashes at about $32 \%$ of the total amount of crashes within the study corridor. $16 \%$ of the total crashes were left-turn type crashes followed by animal crashes at $14 \%$ of total, and angle type crashes at $12 \%$ of the total. No bicycle or pedestrian related crashes were reported in the last five years within the project limits.

Table 2.9: Crash Summary for Project Limits

| Crash Type | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Per Year | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angle | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 3.6 | $12.33 \%$ |
| Animal | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 20 | 4.0 | $13.70 \%$ |
| Rear End | 7 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 46 | 9.2 | $31.51 \%$ |
| Head On | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 | $1.37 \%$ |
| Left Turn | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 23 | 4.6 | $15.75 \%$ |
| Sideswipe | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 2.2 | $7.53 \%$ |
| Right Turn | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 | $1.37 \%$ |
| Off Road | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 2.8 | $9.59 \%$ |
| Other | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.6 | $2.05 \%$ |
| Rollover | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 1.4 | $4.80 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

### 2.11 Utilities

Eleven utility companies were identified along the project corridor from a Sunshine 811 design tickets. The utilities include; AT\&T Transmission, Braden River Utilities, Bright House Networks, Frontier Communications, Lakewood Ranch Community Development Districts, Level 3 Communications (Now CenturyLink), Manatee County Utility Operations, Myakka Communications, Peace River Electric Cooperation, TECO Peoples Gas, and Uniti Fiber.

The utility owners were contacted to identify the locations and types of utilities within the project limits. Plan sheets were sent to the utility companies with a request to identify the locations and types of utility conflicts within the existing facility and the planned facility. The utility information used in the Utility Assessment was obtained from field reviews, as-build plan information from previous projects in the area, as well as information provided by the utility companies. The list of existing utility owners within the project corridor and their facilities can be found in Appendix B.

One utility company, Myakka Communications, indicated that they do not have conflicts with the proposed improvements within the study limits. Braden River Utilities and Lakewood Ranch Development Districts were unresponsive to the requests for facility information within the limits of the PD\&E study.

### 2.12 Railroads

There are no existing railroads within the project corridor.

### 2.13 Lighting

There is no existing lighting within the project corridor.

### 2.14 Soil Classifications \& Geotechnical Investigations

The Soil Survey of Manatee County classifies the majority of soils within the project area as Myakka fine sand (30), Floridana-Immokalee-Okeelanta association (26) and Palmetto sand (38) (see Table 2.10). Myakka fine sand (30) is a poorly drained soil with a seasonal high water table (SHWT) at a depth of six to eighteen inches below the ground surface and is classified as Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) Type A/D. Floridana-Immokalee-Okeelanta association (26) is a very poorly drained soil with a SHWT two feet above the ground surface to one foot below and is classified as HSG Type C/D. Palmetto sand (38) is poorly drained soil with a SHWT at the ground surface to a depth of one foot below and is classified as HSG Type A/D.

A geotechnical field study was conducted using hand auger borings, Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings, subsurface sampling for the $P D \& E$ Pond Siting Report. Hand auger borings were performed in each of the proposed alternative pond sites to determine the depth to groundwater and estimate the SHWT. The hand auger borings were performed manually twisting and advancing a bucket auger into the ground. As the hand auger was slowly advanced, representative samples were obtained for classification of the soils.

### 2.15 Structures

There are two existing bridge culverts within the project which are located at the Braden River. Bridge 130113 is a triple $12^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ box culvert at MP 10.526 (Sta. 182+87), and Bridge 130114 is a quadruple 10'x7' box culvert at MP 10.976 (Sta. 206+57). Both structures were built in 1970.

### 2.16 Existing Intelligent Transportation Systems

There are no existing Intelligent Transportation Systems within the project limits.

### 2.17 Existing Environmental Features

This project was screened through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) process as ETDM Project Number 14263. An ETDM Programming Screen Summary Report containing comments from the Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) was published on November 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2016$.

The Premier Sports Campus at Lakewood Ranch, recently acquired by Manatee County, is an existing recreation/park complex located along SR 70 at the Greenbrook Blvd/Post Blvd intersection. This existing park resource is considered under Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966.

The historical resources survey of the project area revealed an absence of historic buildings or structures within the Area of Potential Effect (APE). However, one linear resource, SR 70, was located within the APE and recorded. Additionally, portions of three other linear resources including a segment of abandoned East \& West Coast Railway railbed, the Lakewood Ranch Canal \#2, and the Lakewood Ranch Canal \#3, are located within the APE. Portions of the railbed have been determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). However, the portions of the railbed within the APE have been determined not to be eligible. The Lakewood Ranch Canal \#2 and Lakewood Ranch Canal \#3 were also determined not to be eligible. Portions of SR 70 have been recorded within Manatee County but also determined not to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

## SECTION 3. DESIGN CONTROLS AND CRITERIA

The design criteria for the proposed improvements to SR 70 adhere to the FDOT Design Manual (FDM), January 2018. The design year for the proposed improvements is 2043. The design criteria used for this PD\&E study are listed in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2

Table 3.1 Design Criteria used for Segment A

*The SIS minimum design speed is 50 mph . SIS Minimum Design Speed may be reduced to 45 mph for curbed roadways within C3 Context Classification.

Table 3.2 Design Criteria used for Segment B and Segment C

| Design Element |  |  |  | Value | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Roadway Type |  |  |  | Manual) |
|  |  |  |  | C3R - <br> Suburban <br> Residential | Table 200.4.1 |
|  | Design Speed |  |  | 50 | Table 201.4.1 |
|  | Lane Widths (ft) |  |  | 12 | Table 210.2.1 |
|  | Minimum Median Width (ft) |  |  | 30 | Table 210.3.1 |
|  | Shoulder Width | Outside | Full (ft) | 10 | Table 210.4.1 |
|  |  |  | Paved (ft) | 5 | Table 210.4.1 |
|  |  | Inside | Full (ft) | 8 | Table 210.4.1 |
|  |  |  | Paved (ft) | 0 | Table 210.4.1 |
|  | Border Width [curbed/flush shoulder] (ft) |  |  | 29/40 | Table 210.7.1 |
|  | Recoverable Terrain (ft) |  |  | 24 | Table 215.2.1 |
|  | Min. Stopping Sight Distance (ft) |  |  | 360 | Table 210.11.1 |
|  | Max. Deflection Without Curve |  |  | $0^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | Ch. 210.8.1 |
|  | Length of Curve | Desirable (ft) |  | 750 | Table 210.8.1 |
|  |  | Minimum |  | 400 | Table 210.8.1 |
|  | Max. Superelevation (\%) |  |  | 10 | Table 210.9.1 |
|  | Max Curvature ( $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{NC}$ ) |  |  | $0^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | Table 210.9.1 |
|  | Max. Curvature (e max $=0.10$ ) |  |  | $8^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | Table 210.9.1 |
|  | Max. Grade (Flat Terrain) (\%) |  |  | 6 | Table 210.10.1 |
|  | Max. Change in Grade without Vertical Curve (\%) |  |  | 0.6 | Table 210.10.2 |
|  | Base Course Clearance Above Water Elevation (ft) |  |  | 3 | Ch. 210.10.3 (2) |
|  | Crest Curve | K Value |  | 136 | Table 210.10.3 |
|  |  | Min. Len | (ft) | 300 | Table 210.10.4 |
|  | Sag Curve | K Value |  | 96 | Table 210.10.3 |
|  |  | Min. Len | (ft) | 200 | Table 210.10.4 |

## SECTION 4. ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS

The purpose of the alternatives analysis process is to identify technical and environmentally sound alternatives that meet the needs of the project, are cost-effective, and are acceptable to the community. This section describes the alternatives being considered and the results of these alternatives.

### 4.1 Corridor Analysis

The purpose of the corridor analysis process is to identify viable corridors in which technically and environmentally sound alignment alternatives can be developed. Constructing a new roadway in a corridor outside of the existing SR 70 corridor would result in significant environmental impacts and costs associated with those impacts. Based on the analysis of the project limits, the existing SR 70 corridor is the only viable corridor for the proposed improvements.

### 4.2 Alternative Analysis

### 4.2.1 No Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative assumes that SR 70 will remain as a two-lane undivided roadway through the design year 2045, with only routine maintenance being performed during this time. The traffic analysis conducted for the No-Build Alternative indicates that SR 70 will operate at Level of Service (LOS) E for the AM and PM design hour conditions by 2025. This is below the targeted LOS C for this type of a facility.

However, the No-Build Alternative remains a viable alternative throughout the study process. The following are advantages and disadvantages associated with the No-Build Alternative:

## Advantages of the No-Build Alternative

- No additional right-of-way needed to acquire
- No design, right-of-way of construction costs
- No delays to motorists or inconveniences to property owners due to construction
- No construction impacts to the adjacent natural, physical and social environment


## Disadvantages of the No-Build Alternative

- Increased potential for crashes due to congestion
- Increased traffic congestion and user costs associated with increased delays and reduced level of service at intersections
- Increased emergency vehicle response times
- Increased evacuation travel times
- Incompatibility with the adopted Manatee County Comprehensive Plan
- Increased vehicle emission pollutants due to higher levels of traffic congestion


### 4.2.2 Transportation System Management and Operations (TSM\&O Alternative)

The objective of Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSM\&O) is to identify strategies that reduce existing traffic congestion and prevent its occurrence in areas that are currently congested. These strategies are designed to modify travel behavior and increase system efficiency without costly infrastructure improvements.

TSM\&O options generally include traffic signal and intersection improvements, access management, and transit improvements. The additional capacity required to meet the projected traffic volumes along SR 70 cannot be provided solely through the implementation of TSM\&O improvements. Access Management recommendations are discussed in Section 6.7. Intersection improvements were assessed using FDOT's Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) process. The evaluation recommended implementing roundabouts at the Del Webb Blvd, Bourneside Blvd, and CR 675 intersections. The intersection control evaluation also recommended implementing a continuous green t-intersection at Uihlein Road Boulevard. The intersection improvements were evaluated in conjunction with the build alternative. These improvements were presented to the public at the Public Hearing and will be further evaluated during the design phase.

### 4.2.3 Multimodal Alternatives

The surrounding project corridor consists of predominantly of low-density residential with some commercial, agricultural and conservation lands. Manatee County Area Transit does not provide (bus) service along SR 70 within the project limits. The project segment of SR 70 is a Strategic Intermodal System component with a high volume of truck traffic that will benefit from the improvements to safety and LOS that will be accomplished by widening the roadway.

The proposed typical section for all three segments of this project has an eight-foot sidewalk in both directions.

### 4.2.4 Build Alternatives

### 4.2.4.1 Segments

Within the limits of this study, FDOT is evaluating one project build alternative for three project segments based on forecasted traffic volumes. Segment A extends from Lorraine Road to east of Greenbrook Boulevard, Segment B extends from east of Greenbrook Boulevard to Bourneside Boulevard, and Segment C extends from Bourneside Boulevard to the eastern project limit at CR 675. Table 4.1 defines the limits of the three segments.

Table 4.1: Evaluation Segments

| Segment | Begin Segment | End Segment | Begin Station | End Station | Segment <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{mi})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lorraine Road | Greenbrook Blvd | $127+56.59$ | $168+40.40$ | .773 |
| 2 | Greenbrook Blvd | Bourneside Blvd | $168+40.40$ | $278+50.05$ | 2.085 |
| 3 | Bourneside Blvd | CR 675 | $278+50.05$ | $450+38.97$ | 3.267 |

### 4.2.4.2 Typical Sections

Proposed build improvements for each of the three project segments include the follows:

## Segment A

The proposed typical section for Segment A will provide a high-speed curbed roadway design with three 11-foot travel lanes in each direction, seven-foot outside buffered bike lanes, a closed drainage system with curbs and gutters, and eight-foot sidewalks in both directions (Figure 4.1). The divided roadway is separated by a 30 -foot raised median with adjacent Type E curb and gutter. The proposed improvements in this segment are anticipated to be accomplished within the existing 200 foot right-of-way.

The high speed curbed typical section was proposed in Segment A to balance the local residential traffic with the truck traffic that utilizes the SR 70 SIS facility within the project's suburban residential context.

Several configurations of six-lane high speed curbed typical sections were considered. A high speed curbed typical section was initially shown during the public workshop with six 12-foot through travel lanes and five-foot bicycle lanes. The typical section included a 30 -foot raised median with curb and gutter offset 6.5 feet from the inside edge of travel. Stormwater was conveyed along outside curb and gutter to a closed storm sewer system. Six-foot sidewalks were provided on each side of the roadway near the right-of-way line.

Following the public workshop additional configurations of high speed curbed typical sections were evaluated. Variations in median curb offset, bicycle lane width, travel lane widths, and sidewalk widths were considered to control operating speed and enhance the multi-modal safety along the corridor.

A change to flush outside shoulders with stormwater conveyed along an open drainage system was also considered. It was determined that a high speed curbed typical section with flush shoulders and open ditches could not be provided within the existing right-of-way. The flush shoulders and open drainage resulted in significantly higher right-of-way impacts and compensatory utility impacts to the adjacent Peace River electric transmission lines.

## Segment B

The proposed typical section for Segment B will provide a high-speed curbed roadway design with two 12-foot lanes in each direction, five-foot paved outside shoulders, curb and gutter draining to a closed storm sewer system, and eight-foot sidewalks in both directions (Figure 4.2). The divided roadway is separated by a 54 -foot wide raised median adjacent Type E curb and gutter. The 54-foot median design can accommodate expansion to a six-lane section in the future, when traffic needs merit an expansion, by adding a 12 -foot lane in each direction on the inside. The proposed improvements in this segment are anticipated to be accomplished primarily within the existing 200foot right-of-way; minimal right-of-way will be needed to construct proposed roundabouts at Uihlein Road, Del Webb Boulevard, and Bourneside Boulevard.

Similar to Segment A, the high speed curbed typical section was proposed in Segment B to balance local residential traffic with the truck traffic that utilizes the SR 70 SIS facility within the project's suburban residential context.

Several configurations of high speed, curbed, four-lane (expandable to six-lane), typical sections were considered. A high speed curbed typical section was initially shown during the public workshop with four twelve-foot through travel lanes and five-foot bicycle lanes. The typical section included a 54-foot raised median with curb and gutter offset 4 feet from the inside edge of travel. The median was designed to accommodate one future 12 -foot travel lane in each direction. Stormwater was conveyed along outside curb and gutter to a closed storm sewer system. Six-foot sidewalks were provided on each side of the roadway near the right-of-way line.

Following the public workshop additional configurations of high speed curbed typical sections were evaluated. Variations in median curb offset, bicycle lane width, travel lane widths, and sidewalk widths were considered to control operating speed and enhance the multi-modal safety along the corridor.

The use of flush outside shoulders with stormwater conveyed along an open drainage system was also considered. Similar to Segment A, it was also determined that there is insufficient existing right-of-way width to accommodate flush outside shoulders and open ditches after the roadway is widened to six-lanes in the future.

The benefits of accommodating future expandability to six-lanes by widening the roadway within the 54 -foot median were compared to the benefits of expansion by future widening on the outside of the roadway after initially constructing the roadway with a more narrow 30 -foot median. Future outside widening provided a lower upfront cost to construct the initial four-lane roadway because there was sufficient border width to accommodate less costly flush outside shoulders and open ditches. However, future outside widening then required reconstruction of driveways and replacement of shoulders and ditches with curb and gutter and a closed storm sewer system. As a result, it was determined that future inside widening within the median provided a lower total construction cost.

## Segment C

The proposed typical section for Segment C will provide a high-speed curbed roadway design with two 12-foot lanes in each direction, ten-foot outside shoulders (five feet paved), an open drainage system, and eight-foot sidewalks in both directions (Figure 4.3). The divided roadway is separated by a 30 -foot raised median with adjacent Type E curb and gutter. The proposed improvements in this segment are anticipated to be accomplished primarily within the existing 200 foot right-of-way; minimal right-of-way will be needed to construct proposed roundabouts at 197th Street East/Lindrick Lane, 213th Street East, 225th Street East/Panther Ridge Trail, and CR 675.

The high speed curbed typical section was proposed to balance local residential traffic with the truck traffic that utilizes the SR 70 SIS facility within the project's suburban residential context.

Several configurations of four-lane high speed curbed typical sections were considered. A high speed curbed typical section was initially shown during the public workshop with four twelve-foot through travel lanes and five-foot bicycle lanes. The typical section included a 30 -foot raised median with curb and gutter offset 4 feet from the inside edge of travel. Ten-foot (five foot paved) flush outside shoulders drained to open ditches. Six-foot sidewalks were provided on each side of the roadway near the right-of-way line.

Other configurations of high speed curbed typical sections were evaluated after the public workshop. Changes in median curb offset, bicycle lane width, travel lane widths, and sidewalk widths were considered to control operating speed and enhance the multi-modal safety along the corridor.

Figure 4.1 Segment A Proposed Typical Roadway Section


Figure 4.2 Segment B Proposed Typical Roadway Section


Figure 4.3 Segment C Proposed Typical Roadway Section


### 4.2.5 Alternatives Evaluation Matrix

The evaluation matrix is based on environmental effects, ROW needs, project costs, and engineering factors. The evaluation matrix can be found in Table 4.2. The matrix quantifies considerations such as potential business and residential relocations, impacts to environmental resources, and the acres of ROW needed for roadway improvements and stormwater facilities. The matrix also quantifies potential impacts to archaeological/historical sites, noise sensitive sites, and threatened and endangered species due to the road widening.

The bottom portion of the evaluation matrix details cost of estimates for wetland mitigation, ROW acquisition, construction, design, and construction engineering and inspection. These estimates were based on the year 2018 unit costs.

Table 4.2: Alternatives Evaluation Matrix

| Evaluation Criteria | No-Build Alternative | Build Alternative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centerline Length of Improvement (Feet) | N/A | 32,161 |
| Centerline Length of Improvement (miles) | N/A | 6.1 |
| Business Impacts |  |  |
| Number of business relocations | 0 | 0 |
| Residential Impacts |  |  |
| Number of residential relocations | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental Effects |  |  |
| Archaeological/Historical sites (potential) | None (0) | Low |
| Public parks, recreation areas, or wildlife refuges (acres) | None (0) | None (0) |
| Noise (impacted receptors) | 3 | 0 |
| Wetland (acres) | 0.0 | 9.57 |
| Floodplains (acres) | 0.0 | 42.20 |
| Threatened and endangered species (potential) | Low | Low |
| Contamination sites (high / medium) | 0 | 5/2 |
| Right-of-Way Needs |  |  |
| Right-of-way to be acquired for roadway (acres) | 0.0 | 0.77 |
| Right-of-way to be acquired for stormwater facilities (acres) | 0.0 | 66.19 |
| Right-of-way to be acquired for floodplain compensation (acres) | 0.0 | 44.30 |
| Total right-of-way acres | 0.0 | 111.26 |
| Estimated Total Project Costs (2017 Cost) |  |  |
| Wetland mitigation | \$0 | \$1,131,605 |
| Utility relocation costs | \$0 | \$0 |
| Right-of-way acquisition for roadway | \$0 | \$915,000 |
| Right-of-way acquisition for stormwater facilities | \$0 | \$1,515,000 |
| Right-of-way acquisition for floodplain compensation | \$0 | \$7,780,000 |
| Total Right-of-Way Cost | \$0 | \$10,210,000 |
| Construction cost for roadway | \$0 | \$54,854,188 |
| Construction cost for stormwater facilities | \$0 | \$5,222,466 |
| Construction cost for floodplain compensation | \$0 | \$7,256,758 |
| Total Construction Cost | \$0 | \$67,333,412 |
| Design | \$0 | \$4,713,339 |
| Construction Engineering \& Inspection (15\% of the Total Construction Cost) | \$0 | \$6,733,341 |
| Preliminary Estimate of Engineering Cost | \$0 | \$11,446,680 |
| Preliminary Estimate of Total Project Cost | \$0 | \$90,121,697 |

## SECTION 5. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

A comprehensive Public Involvement Program (PIP) (February 2017) was developed for this project. The PIP outlines the strategies used to address public involvement and outreach over the course of the study. At the conclusion of the study, a Comments and Coordination Report will be prepared to fully document the public stakeholder involvement associated with this project

### 5.1 Local Agency/Group Meetings

There are many local, regional, state, and federal agencies identified that have an interest in this project due to jurisdictional review or expressed interest. These agencies have been identified and will be contacted directly by the FDOT through the Advance Notification (AN) process at the outset of the project in accordance with the PD\&E Manual, Part 1, Chapter 3, Preliminary Environmental Discussion and Advance Notification. As other concerned public agencies are identified throughout the study, they also will be listed and contacted by the FDOT.

A SR 70 Pond Siting coordination meeting with the Lakewood Ranch master developer, Schroader Manatee Ranch (SMR), took place April 16, 2018. The purpose of this meeting was to discuss potential stormwater pond and floodplain compensation sites affecting stormwater management facility (SMF) properties by the proposed widening. Aerials overlaid with sketches of the preliminary pond sites were presented. The location of SMF 1A and SMF 2A were limited. It was brought up in this meeting that the proposed location of SMF 1A has been sold to Publix. The recommended solution was to utilize the two existing ponds that are already hydraulically connected via a pipe, flanking Post Blvd/Greenbrook Blvd north of SR 70. Another recommendation from this meeting was considering using a joint-use pond at the location where SMF-D-J is being proposed. The pond site locations were updated following this meeting.

A pond coordination follow-up meeting was conducted October 1, 2018 in Lakewood Ranch. The purpose of this meeting was to discuss the updates in land available for pond sites. During the meeting SMR expressed that it is willing to work with FDOT on pond sites that can be identified on land that SMR controls. There are multiple vacant parcels that could be acquired for a pond location. FDOT will make a decision by March 2019 which parcel, if any, to acquire for the pond site as funds for acquisition become available in the summer of 2019 and the transaction would be complete in 2020.

A public meeting was held with the Del Webb Home Owners Association (HOA) on April 25, 2019 where in excess of 100 residents gathered to obtain information about the project and to ask questions. Many residents showed support of the proposed roundabouts, but still posed a concern of how trucks would maneuver through them. There were concerns about the noise level of the truck traffic and if the project improvements would increase the truck traffic along SR 70. Residents brought up issues with the existing traffic signal and existing speed limits that would need to be addressed with Manatee County's Traffic Operations Department.

### 5.2 Public Information Workshop/Alternatives Public Meeting

A public information workshop was held December 18, 2018 from 5pm to 7pm at the Risen Savior Lutheran Church, $1460559^{\text {th }}$ Avenue East in Bradenton, Florida. A total of 71 attendees signed in at the registration table. Members of the public were provided a project newsletter and a comment form. A looping slide show provided an overview of the project and played for attendees throughout the evening. Display boards illustrating the alternatives and other project information were available for review.

The public was also able to submit their comments either by phone or online until January $4^{\text {th }}$, 2019 to the email address or phone number provided on the comment forms. A total of 22 written comments were received at the workshop. Some concerns received through the comments were in regards to the anticipated increased noise due to the road widening, incorporating a safe passage for equestrians to safely cross SR 70 to access equestrian paths on either side of the roadway, adding turns lane at various intersections, adding additional signs for bike lanes, and minimizing environmental and wildlife impacts. Additional concerns that can be found in the comment forms in the Comments and Coordination Report.

### 5.3 Public Hearing

This section will be updated after the Public Hearing is completed.

## SECTION 6. RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE

Based on the evaluation of the Build Alternative and No Build Alternatives described in Section 4, the Build Alternative is the Recommended Alternative. Concept plans illustrating the Recommended Alternative can be found in Appendix C.

### 6.1 Typical Sections

The proposed roadway typical sections for the Recommended Alternative are described in Section 4.2.4. The typical section package is included in Appendix D.

### 6.2 Design Year Traffic Volumes

The traffic analysis findings conducted during the PD\&E Study are documented in the Design Traffic Technical Memorandum-Future Traffic Reevaluation (DTTM) (October 2018) and prepared under separate cover.

Design year (2045) AADT volumes were developed for this study using the District 1 Regional Planning Model (D1RPM) provided by FDOT. The roadway network included in this travel demand model included the Northeast sector development- a multi-project, approximately 2,700 acre, mixed-use development that was approved in December, 2017 with a proposed build out year of 2032. Based on this information, the 2040 model volumes were grown by $0.66 \%$ (BEBR estimated annual low growth rate for Manatee County) to calculate the design year 2045 AADTs for SR 70. The same assumption was made for the side streets including Lorraine Rd, Greenbrook Blvd/Post Blvd, Uihlein Rd, Bourneside Blvd, and CR 675, which will be influenced by the Northeast Sector traffic. Figure 6.4 provides the opening year 2025, mid-design year 2035 and design year 2045 AADT volumes based on the recommended growth rate for the Build scenario. Figure 6.5 provides the design year 2045 design hour volumes for the Build Alternative.



Preliminary Engineering Report

### 6.3 Horizonal and Vertical Alignment

The horizontal alignment for the Recommended Alternative contains three horizontal curves and three deflection points. The horizontal alignment for the Recommended Alternative is described in Table 6.1. Plan sheets illustrating the Recommended Alternative are included in Appendix C.

Table 6.1: Horizontal Alignment- Recommended Alternative

| Centerline PI <br> Station | Bearing |  | Degree of <br> Curvature | Radius (ft) | Length (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Back | Ahead |  |  |  |
| $162+94.35$ | $\mathrm{~S} 89^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 59.38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 54^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 24.93^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $3^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 04.04 "$ | $1,877.86$ | 1,127 |
| $242+50.61$ | $\mathrm{~S} 54^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 24.93^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 69^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 31.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $1^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 40.46^{\prime \prime}$ | $2,896.79$ | 757 |
| $290+18.45$ | $\mathrm{~S} 69^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 31.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 70^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 31.83^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | - | - | - |
| $294+00.40$ | $\mathrm{~S} 70^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 31.83^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 69^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 31.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | - | - | - |
| $359+87.56$ | $\mathrm{~S} 69^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 31.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 69^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 57.98^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | - | - | - |
| $423+41.26$ | $\mathrm{~S} 69^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 57.98^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{S} 62^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 54.26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $1^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 17.04 "$ | $5,702.58$ | 732 |

The Recommended Alternative generally follows the existing SR 70 horizontal and vertical alignment. However, the Recommended Alternative is typically about one foot higher than the existing roadway to allow for additional base clearance over the seasonal high groundwater level. Additionally, within Segment 1 and Segment 2, the Recommended Alternative provides minimum vertical grades over $0.3 \%$ to allow stormwater to be conveyed along the proposed gutters.

### 6.4 Design Year Level of Service and Intersection Geometrics

Figure 6.6 shows the recommended intersection lane geometry for the Build Alternative. The roadway segment Level of Service (LOS) analysis was performed for the Build Alternative for both AM and PM design hours for SR 70 using the later HIGHPLAN 2012 software. A summary of the HIGHPLAN 2012 analysis is shown in Table 6.2. The results show that under the Build alternative, the entire study corridor operates within target LOS through the design year 2045 during both the AM and PM design hour conditions.

Table 6.2: Build Arterial LOS Analysis Summary

| SR 70 Segment | Year | Number of Lanes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FDOT } \\ \text { Target } \\ \text { LOS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uihlein Rd to <br> Bourneside Blvd | 2025 | 4 | C | B | B |
|  | 2035 |  |  | C | C |
|  | 2045 |  |  | C | C |
| Bourneside Blvd <br> to Lindrick $\mathrm{Ln} / 197^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{E}$ | 2025 | 4 | C | B | B |
|  | 2035 |  |  | B | B |
|  | 2045 |  |  | B | B |
| Lindrick Ln/197 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St E to CR 675/Meadow Dove Ln | 2025 | 4 | C | B | B |
|  | 2035 |  |  | B | B |
|  | 2045 |  |  | B | B |

### 6.5 Variations and Exceptions

The design criteria for this project is provided in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2. For SIS facilities with a C3R context classification a minimum design speed of 50 mph is required. However, within the C3R context classification, if curbed roadways are proposed the design speed may be reduced to 45 mph . As designed, the proposed high-speed curbed typical sections proposed for Segments A meets the FDM criteria with a 45 mph design speed. No variation for design speed is required.

A design variation for two-foot traffic separator is required to accommodate dual left turn lanes where warranted within the proposed 30 -foot median. A design variation for offset to median curb is required throughout the project. It was determined by the District Design Engineer in a Context Committee meeting on January 11, 2019 that no offset to median curb was preferable to the 4 -foot and 6.5 -foot FDM criteria for four and six lane roadways respectively. The adjacent curb was preferred as a means to calm traffic and reduce the operating speed of the roadway.


### 6.6 Intersection and Interchange Concepts

The Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) was used for this PD\&E study to evaluate an optimal geometric and control solution for intersections within the SR 70 project corridor. The ICE is the process followed by the Department. Based on the ICE results, roundabouts were recommended at the Del Webb Blvd, Bourneside Blvd, and CR 675 intersections along SR 70. A continuous Green Tee control strategy was recommended at the Uihlein Road and SR 70 intersection.

### 6.7 Access Management

SR 70 in Manatee County is designated as Access Class 3 from Lorraine Road (MP 9.478) to County Road (CR) 675/Waterbury Road (MP 15.567). This classification allows for full median openings and signalized intersections spaced at 2,640 feet, and directional median openings spaced at 1,320 feet. The proposed median openings have been designed to provide a balance between access to adjacent properties and safety based on Access Class 3 standards. This criteria is compliant with the Department's State Highway Access Management Classification System and Standards (Rule 14-97).

Table 6.3 below provides the final recommended access management plan for the proposed median openings for the future SR 70 and has been incorporated into the Recommended Alternative concept plans included in Appendix C.

Table 6.3: Final Recommended Access Management Plan for Future SR 70

|  |  | Adjacent <br> Spacing |  | Full <br> Spacing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Median <br> Opening \# | Type | Location <br> $[$ Station $]$ | Back <br> $[\mathrm{ft}]$ | Ahead <br> $[\mathrm{ft}]$ | Back <br> $[\mathrm{ft}]$ | Ahead <br> $[\mathrm{ft}]$ | Comments |
| - | Directional | $115+40$ |  | 1,217 |  | - | Outside Project: Exist. Opening; private <br> drive (ag land); 1-way inbound (EB-to- <br> NB) |
| 1 | Full | $127+57$ | 1,217 | 3,464 | 12,757 | 3,464 | Lorraine Rd (existing signalized) |
| 2 | Full | $162+21$ | 3,464 | 3,639 | 3,464 | 3,639 | Greenbrook Blvd (proposed signalized) |
| 3 | Full | $198+60$ | 3,639 | 2,460 | 3,639 | 4,589 | Uihein Road (proposed replacement) |
| 4 | Directional | $223+20$ | 2,460 | 2,129 | - | - | Lennar Subdivision Entrance 1 |
| 5 | Full | $244+49$ | 2,129 | 3,371 | 4,589 | 3,371 | Del Webb Blvd |
| 6 | Full | $278+20$ | 3,371 | 4,620 | 3,371 | 4,620 | Bourneside Blvd |
| 7 | Full | $324+40$ | 4,620 | 5,450 | 4,620 | 5,450 | Lindrick Lane |
| 8 | Full | $378+90$ | 5,450 | 1,830 | 5,450 | 4,290 | 213 th Street E |
| 9 | Directional | $397+20$ | 1,830 | 2,460 | - | - | TreeUmph! |
| 10 | Full | $421+80$ | 2,460 | 2,810 | 4,290 | 2,810 | 225 th Street E (Panther Ridge) |
| 11 | Full | $449+90$ | 2,810 |  | 2,810 |  | CR 675 |

### 6.8 Drainage

A Location Hydraulic Report (LHR) and a Pond Siting Report (PSR) were completed under separate cover. These studies were prepared as part of the PD\&E study.

### 6.8.1 Location Hydraulics

The purpose of the LHR (Location Hydraulics Report) is to address base floodplain encroachments resulting from the roadway improvements evaluated in the PD\&E Study. The intent is to avoid or minimize highway encroachment with the 100-year floodplains and to avoid supporting land use development incompatible with floodplain values. The Recommended Alternative will result in an estimated 18.83 acres-ft of potential floodplain impacts, which increased to 22.6 acres- ft with a $20 \%$ contingency (added as a conservative measure to address uncertainty in the early stages of concept development). The proposed stormwater ponds locations were selected to minimize impacts to the FEMA floodplain. All floodplain impacts are compensated for using either offsite floodplain compensation ponds and/or a longer bridge at the Braden River, as well as providing right-of-way ditches for floodplain compensation with the existing right-of-way. The final sizes and locations of
the floodplain compensation sites will be determined during the design phase of this project. Table 6.4 shows the proposed cross drains.

The proposed cross drains and floodplain compensation areas will perform hydraulically in a manner equal to or greater than the existing condition, and backwater surface elevations are not expected to increase. As a result, there will be no significant change in flood risk, and there will not be a significant change in the potential for interruption or termination of emergency service or in emergency evacuation routes. Therefore, it has been determined that this encroachment is not significant.

Table 6.4: Proposed Crossdrain Summary

| Number | MP | Sta. | Size | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S-200 | 9.706 | $140+15$ | $2-42^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-210 | 10.460 | $179+60$ | $2-30^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| BR 130113 (S-220) | 10.526 | $182+87$ | $4-10^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ | Replace |
| S-230 | 10.667 | $190+49$ | $30^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| BR 130114 (S-240) | 10.976 | $206+58$ | $4-12^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$ | Replace |
| S-250 | 11.924 | $257+01$ | $2-36^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-260 | 12.052 | $263+55$ | $36^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-270 | 12.254 | $274+02$ | $3-42^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-280 | 12.629 | $294+09$ | $2-48^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-290 | 13.028 | $315+05$ | $30^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-300 | 13.595 | $344+85$ | $2-10^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ | Replace |
| S-310 | 13.851 | $358+49$ | $24^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-320 | 14.764 | $406+56$ | $2-30^{\prime \prime}$ | Replace |
| S-330 | 15.172 | $428+46$ | $2-8^{\prime} \times 77^{\prime}$ | Replace |

### 6.8.2 Stormwater Management

The purpose of the Pond Siting Report is to discuss the stormwater management plan for the project. The report identifies alternative pond locations, discusses right-of-way requirements, and documents possible environmental impacts associated with the alternative pond sites. All ponds are located outside the FDOT right-of-way and right-of-way acquisition is required. Basins were combined where possible to minimize the additional right-of-way needed. The report identifies two alternative pond sites for each basin and a regional pond site alternative. The preferred alternative is the regional pond site, which is a 64.4 acre wet detention pond.

Stormwater runoff from SR 70 will be collected and conveyed to crossdrains by curb and gutter and roadside ditches. Attenuation will be provided prior to discharging to the crossdrains. Stormwater management will be provided using the regional pond. The regional pond will use pollutant loading analysis to show a net improvement by converting the existing agricultural land use to a pond. The size of the ponds were estimated using a variety of sources including, not limited to, the FDOT Drainage Manual, FDOT Drainage Design Guide, and SWFWMD ERP Applicants Handbook, Volume I \& II.

### 6.9 Right-of-Way and Relocations

Within the study limits, the existing right-of-way width is approximately 200 feet throughout the majority of the project corridor and approximately 250 feet near the intersection of SR 70 and CR 675. The project has been divided into three segments. Segment A extends from Lorraine Road to east of Greenbrook Boulevard, Segment B extends from east of Greenbrook Boulevard to Bourneside Boulevard, and Segment C extends from Bourneside Boulevard to the eastern project limit at CR 675. The proposed improvements for Segment A are anticipated to be accomplished within the existing 200 -foot right of. The proposed improvements for Segment B are also expected to be accomplished primarily within the existing 200 -foot right-of-way, but minimal right-of-way will be needed to construct proposed roundabouts at Uihlein Road, Del Webb Blvd, and Bourneside Blvd. Segment C is also expected to accomplish primarily within existing right-of-way. Minimal right-of-way will need to be acquired if roundabouts are proposed to be constructed at $197^{\text {th }} /$ Lindrick Lane, $213^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E./Panther Ridge Trail, and CR 675.

Additional right-of-way will be acquired for the regional pond which will be a 64.4 acre partial take of an overall 560.7 acre agricultural parcel. There is no right-of-way take that will result in any residential or business relocations. The proposed right-of-way are shown on the concept plans included in Appendix C.

### 6.10 Utility Impacts

As a result of the data collected and design tickets from Sunshine 811, eleven utility companies were identified that operate utilities within the project corridor. These utilities are shown in Appendix B. Myakka Communications indicated they do not have any conflicts within the limits of the PD\&E study. Braden River Utilities and Lakewood Ranch Development Districts were unresponsive to the utility requests sent out.

Depending on the type of approved improvements being made as a result of the PD\&E study, any work being done to the roadway would involve adjustments being made to several of the utilities, mostly Manatee County Utility Operations and TECO Peoples Gas, with potential conflicts involving road and sidewalk, light poles, power poles, among other conflicts which are all prevalent throughout the project and would require relocation for construction. Relocations within the limits of the project would be at the expense of the utility owners because their facilities are within the FDOT right-ofway permit.

### 6.11 Structures

It was determined that bridge culvert 130113 at MP 10.526 (Sta. 182+87) and bridge culvert 130114 at MP 10.976 (Sta. 206 +57 ) should be replaced as flat slab bridges instead of box culverts to lower cost, reduce floodplain impacts, and minimize maintenance efforts,. A 75 -foot long bridge will be constructed to replace existing bridge culvert 130113. Similarly, a 98 -foot long bridge will be constructed to replace bridge culvert 130114.

### 6.12 Railroad Assessment

There are no existing or proposed railroads within the project corridor.

### 6.13 Lighting

No lighting is proposed within the project limits.

### 6.14 Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)

No ITS is proposed within the project limits.

### 6.15 Environmental Impacts

This project was screened through the ETDM process as ETDM Number 14263, and the Programming Screen Summary Report was published on April 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}, 2018$. The approved Class of Action was determined to be a Type 2 Categorical Exclusion. The Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) evaluated the project's effects on natural, physical, cultural, social, and economic resources. Upon completion, this study will meet all requirements of NEPA as administered by the Florida Department of Transportation-Office of Environmental Management (OEM) and the requirements of other federal and state laws so as to qualify the proposed project for federal-aid funding.

Of the 21 examined issues, none received a Degree of Effect (DOE) determination of " 5 " for Dispute Resolution. The only category that received a DOE of "4-Substantial" was Wetlands and Surface Waters. However, six categories received a DOE of "3-Moderate" including: Social, Farmlands, Historic and Archaeological Sites, Water Quality and Quantity, Floodplains, and Wildlife and Habitat. The public and officials (elected and appointed) have been kept informed about the project through the use of meetings, newsletters, and a project website.

### 6.15.1 Cultural Resources (Archeological, Historical including Section 106)

As discussed in Section 2.18, the historical resources survey of the project area revealed an absence of historic buildings or structures within the Area of Potential Effect (APE). One linear resource, SR 70, is located within the APE and recorded. Additionally, portions of three other linear resources are located within the APE. Portions of SR 70 have been recorded within Manatee County but also determined not to be eligible for listing in the NRHP. The Florida Master Site File has been updated for the segment of SR 70 located within the current APE. Based on the data prepared under separate cover in the CRAS (March 2019) it was determined there are no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, determined eligible, or that appear to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places within the APE. The Florida State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) found the CRAS complete and sufficient and provides concurrence May 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$.

### 6.15.2 Wetlands

A Natural Resources Evaluation (NRE) (April, 2019) report was prepared under separate cover for this project. The purpose of the evaluation, in part, was to assure the protection, preservation, and enhancement of wetlands to the fullest extent practicable.

Field reviews identified a total of 16 wetlands and 21 surface water habitats within the project area.
There is only one build alternative for this project, and this alternative is the preferred alternative. Impacts resulting from the Preferred Alternative totaled 9.57 acres and include 5.64 acres of wetlands and 3.94 acres of surface waters. Although unavoidable wetland impacts will occur as a result of the proposed build alternative, these wetlands are located adjacent to, and/or within the existing right-ofway and were previously disturbed by agricultural and residential development, roadway construction, maintenance activities, and the invasion of nuisance and exotic species.

The Uniform Mitigation Assessment Methodology (UMAM) analysis was performed on proposed wetland impact areas. Functional loss was calculated for the preferred alternative. Construction of the preferred alternative results in a loss of 5.46 functional units. The exact type of mitigation used to offset wetland impacts from the proposed SR 70 roadway improvements will be coordinated with the USACE and the SFWMD during the permitting phase(s) of this project.

### 6.15.3 Floodplains

Floodplain compensation sites will be required for the floodplain impacts located along the project corridor. These sites were sized using the 100-year elevations from the SWFWMD Braden River Watershed model. Impacts to the 100-year floodplain will occur in three ways:

1. Transverse impacts from the cross drain extensions
2. Longitudinal impacts resulting from the road widening in areas of 100 -year floodplain.
3. Impacts due to stormwater management facilities located adjacent to wetland and storage areas.

The floodplain compensation sites are shown in Table 6.5.
Table 6.5: Floodplain Compensation Sites

| Compensation Site | STA Start | STA End | Size (acres) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | $172+49$ | $185+17$ | 20.0 |
| 2A | $180+60$ | $197+55$ | 20.0 |
| 1B | $279+49$ | $289+30$ | 12.9 |
| 2B | $289+97$ | $306+08$ | 12.8 |
| 1C | $340+16$ | $355+11$ | 11.4 |
| 2C | $337+78$ | $351+02$ | 10.6 |

### 6.15.4 Threatened and Endangered Species

An NRE (April, 2019) report was prepared under a separate cover to document and evaluate the effects of the Recommended Alternative on protected species within the project corridor.

Environmental scientists familiar with Florida natural communities conducted field reviews of the project area in April and June 2017, October 2018, and January 2019. For the purposes of this study, the project study area includes the existing and proposed right-of-way of the preferred project alignment, and proposed stormwater management pond sites. Field reviews consisted of pedestrian transects throughout the natural habitat types located within the study area. The purpose of the reviews was to verify and/or refine preliminary habitat boundaries and classification codes established through in-office literature reviews and aerial photo interpretation. During field investigations, upland and wetland communities within the study area were visually inspected. Attention was given to identifying dominant plant species composition for each community. Additional attention was given to identifying potential wildlife and signs of wildlife usage in each wetland and upland community within the study area. An effect determination was made for each of these federal- and state- species. A summary of the determinations is shown in Tables 6.6-6.8

Table 6.6: Federal Protected Species Effect Determinations

| Project Impact Determination | Federal Listed Species |
| :--- | :--- |
| "No effect" | Crested caracara (Caracara cheriway) |
|  | Florida grasshopper sparrow (Ammodramus savannarum <br> floridanus) |
|  | Florida scrub jay (Aphelocoma coerulescens) |
|  | American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) |
|  | Eastern indigo snake (Drymarchon couperi) |
|  | Wood stork (Mycteria americana) |

Table 6.7: State Protected Species Effect Determinations

| Project Impact Determination | State Listed Species |
| :---: | :---: |
| "No effect anticipated" | Many-flowered grasspink (Calopogon multiflorus) |
|  | Tampa vervain (Glandularia tampensis) |
|  | Lowland loosestrife (Lythrum flagellare) |
|  | Florida spiny-pod (Matelea floridana) |
|  | Giant orchid (Pteroglossaspis ecristata) |
|  | Toothed maiden fern (Thelypteris serrata) |
|  | Broad-leaved nodding-caps (Triphora amazonica) |
| "No adverse effect anticipated" | Gopher tortoise (Gopherus polyphemus) |
|  | Florida sandhill crane (Grus canadensis pratensis) |
|  | Southeastern American kestrel (Falco sparverius paulus) |
|  | Little blue heron (Egretta caerulea) |
|  | Roseate spoonbill (Platalea ajaja) |
|  | Tricolored heron (Egretta tricolor) |

Table 6.8: Other Protected Species Effect Determinations

| Project Impact Determination | Additional Protected Species |
| :--- | :--- |
| "May affect, but is not likely to <br> adversely affect" | Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) |
| "No adverse effect anticipated" | Florida black bear (Ursus americanus floridanus) |
|  | Southern fox squirrel (Sciurus niger niger) |

### 6.15.5 Contamination

A Level 1 contamination evaluation was completed for the study and a Contamination Evaluation Report (CSER) (March, 2019) was prepared under separate cover to document potential contamination concern along the project corridor.

Based on the methodologies performed as part of this study, 20 potential contamination sites were identified as having the potential for hazardous material or petroleum impacts. Of these 20 sites, four received initial risk rating of Medium or High. Two sites were rated as Medium and they operate as gasoline stations with either discharges that have not been remediated or ongoing fueling operations and must be reviewed again prior to the commencement of construction activities. Two other sites were rated as High and both these sites are box bridge structures (Bridge \#130113 and \#130114) that have the potential for asbestos or metal based coating. The presence of these materials would require special handling, management, and removal during bridge demolition.

For the Medium and High rated sites, Level II testing is recommended to verify or determine the extent of impacts. For the Medium rated sites, soil and groundwater samples are recommended to evaluate petroleum contamination within the existing or proposed right-of-way. For the High rated bridge structures, a comprehensive survey for asbestos containing materials (ACMs) and metal based coatings (MBCs) should be performed to determine the presence and location of any regulated levels of ACMs and MBCs.

### 6.15.6 Noise

A Noise Study Report (NSR) (April, 2019) was prepared under separate cover for this project.
A total of ninety-six receptors were evaluated. The receptors were evaluated for eighty-eight residences, three active sports areas, two medical facilities, a place of worship, a recreational area, and a convenience store/gas station. The results of the analysis show that the existing year 2018 exterior traffic noise levels range from 48.6 to $64.5 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$, and the interior traffic noise levels at the two medical facilities are predicted to be 40.6 and $40.9 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$. In the future year 2045 for the build alternative, exterior traffic noise levels are predicted to range from 52.3 to $65.0 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$, and the interior levels at the medical facilities are predicted to be 47.4 and $47.7 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$.

Based on these results, highway traffic noise levels do not exceed the Noise Abatement Category (NAC) in the future with the proposed project improvements at any of the evaluated receptors. Compared to existing conditions, the proposed improvements are not expected to increase traffic noise levels more than $7.4 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ at any receptor. As such, the project would not substantially increase highway traffic noise. Based on the PD\&E Study, there are no highway traffic noise impacted land uses within the project that requires abatement consideration. Implementing the proposed roadway improvements is not expected to have a significant noise or vibration impact on these sites because it is anticipated that application of the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction will minimize or eliminate the potential for such impacts.

### 6.15.7 Section $4(f)$

The Premier Sports Campus at Lakewood Ranch, recently acquired by Manatee County, is an existing recreation/park complex located along SR 70 at the Greenbrook Blvd/Post Blvd intersection. This existing park resource is considered under Section 4(f) the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966. No right-of way will be acquired from this location. A Section 4(f) no use determination was made for the Premier Sports Campus at Lakewood Ranch. The preferred alternative is anticipated to have no impact on the Premier Sports Campus or any other public resources protected under Section 4(f).

### 6.15.8 Construction Impacts

Construction activities for the proposed project may cause minor short-term air quality, noise, water quality, traffic congestion, and visual impacts for residents and travelers within the immediate vicinity of the project.

The air quality effect will be temporary and primarily in the form of emissions from dieselpowered construction equipment and dust from embankment and haul road areas. The air pollution associated with the creation of airborne particles will be effectively controlled through the use of watering or the application of other controlled materials in accordance with FDOT's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.

Noise and vibrations effects will come from heavy equipment movement and construction activities. It will be minimized by adherence to noise control measures also found in the FDOT's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction. Specific noise level issues that might arise during the construction will be addressed by the Construction Engineer in cooperation with the appropriate environmental scientist.

Water quality impacts resulting from erosion and sedimentation will be controlled in accordance with FDOT's Standard Specification for Road and Bridge Construction, "Prevention, Control, and Abatement of Erosion and Water Pollution," and through the use of best management practices (BMP).

Short-term construction related wetland impacts will be minimized by adhering to FDOT's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction. These specifications include measures known as BPMs, which include the use of siltation barriers, dewatering structures, and containment devices that will be implemented for controlling turbid water discharges outside of the construction limits.

Maintenance of traffic and sequence of construction will be planned and scheduled to reduce and minimize traffic delays throughout the project time frame. Signage will be utilized to provide pertinent information to the traveling public. Local news media will be notified in advance of road closings and other construction related activities that would excessively inconvenience the community so that motorists, residents, and business owners can make other accommodations as needed. All provisions of FDOT's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction will

| Natural Resources Evaluation | SR 70 from Lorraine to CR675/Waterbury Road |
| :--- | ---: |
| July, 2019 | FPID 414506-1-22-01 |

be followed. A sign will be displayed on-site providing the name, address, and telephone number of a FDOT contact person to assist the public in obtaining immediate answers to questions and logging complaints about project activity.

Access to the local properties, businesses, and residences will be maintained to the extend practical through controlled construction scheduling and the implementation of the project's specific Traffic Control Plan(s) and FDOT's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.

For those residents living along the project, some construction materials stored for the project may be visually displeasing; however, it is a temporary condition and should pose no substantial problem.

### 6.15.9 Summary of Permits and Mitigation

Both the USACE and SFWMD regulate impacts to wetlands within the project area. Other agencies, including the USFWS, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the FWC, review and comment on wetland permit applications. The FWC also issues permits for gopher tortoise relocation activities and nest take. In addition, the FDEP regulates stormwater discharges from construction sites. The complexity of the permitting process will depend on the degree of the impact to jurisdictional areas. It is anticipated that the permits shown in Table 6.9 will be required for this project:

Table 6.9: Required Permits

| Permit | Issuing Agency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Section 404 Dredge and Fill Permit | USACE |
| Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) | SFWMD |
| National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) | FDEP |
| Gopher Tortoise Conservation Permit (as necessary) | FWC |
| Listed Species Incidental Take Permit (as necessary) | FWC |

Wetland impacts which will result from the construction of this project will be mitigated pursuant to Section 373.4137 , F.S., to satisfy all mitigation requirements of Part IV of Chapter 373, F.S., and 33 U.S.C. §1344. Compensatory mitigation for this project will be completed through the use of mitigation banks and any other mitigation options that satisfy state and federal requirements.

### 6.16 Recommended Alternative Matrix

The Recommended Alternative has been evaluated for its impact on social and cultural makeup of the surrounding area, impacts to the environment, and its ability to meet the purpose and need of this project. The Recommended Alternative Matrix shows the impacts and costs associated with the recommended alternative as well as the No-build alternative. Because this study has only one alternative, the recommended alternative is the same as the Alternative Evaluation Matrix found in Table 4.2.

## SECTION 7. LIST OF TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS

The purpose of the PD\&E study is to evaluate engineering and environmental data and record information that will help the Florida Department of Transportation Office of Environmental Management (OEM) in determining the type, preliminary design, and location of the proposed improvements. The study was conducted to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related federal and state laws, rules, and regulations. The technical reports that have been completed during this study can be find below in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1: List of Technical Reports

| Technical Reports | Dated |
| :--- | :---: |
| Public Hearing Transcript | Pending |
| Advance Notification Package | August, 2016 |
| Public Involvement Plan | February, 2017 |
| Comments and Coordination Report | Pending |
| Engineering | March, 2019 |
| Geotechnical Technical Memorandum | October, 2018 |
| Project Traffic Report | January, 2019 |
| Location Hydraulic Report | January, 2019 |
| Pond Siting Report | May, 2019 |
| Typical Section Package | May, 2019 |
| Utility Assessment Package |  |
| Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) |  |
| Environmental | Pending |
| Type 2 Categorical Exclusion | March, 2019 |
| Contamination Screening Evaluation Report | April, 2019 |
| Cultural Resource Assessment Survey | April, 2019 |
| Natural Resources Evaluation | April, 2019 |
| Noise Study Report | April, 2019 |
| Water Quality Impact Evaluation | April, 2019 |
| Farmlands Evaluation | April, 2019 |
| Air Quality Technical Memorandum | April, 2019 |
| Sociocultural Data Report | April, 2018 |
| ETDM Programming Screen Summary Report |  |

## APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

## LONG RANGE ESTIMATE (LRE)

Date: 4/9/2019 1:09:22 PM
FDOT Long Range Estimating System - Production
R3: Project Details by Sequence Report

Project: 414506-2-52-01
Letting Date:
07/2022
Description: SR 70 FROM LORRAINE RD TO CR 675/WATERBURY ROAD
District: 01
County: 13 MANATEE
Market Area:
10
Contract
Class: 7
Lump Sum Project: N
Design/Build: N

Project Manager: JMK-MJB-DCT

Version 12
Project
\$67,333,412.10
Grand Total
Description: April 2019 Unit Cost Update with markups per PM from Version 11-4/4/19

Sequence: 1 RSD - Resurfacing, Divided
Net 0.167 MI
Description: Mill and resurface existing 6 lane section from sta. 119+39 to sta 128+23.00 segment west of the Lorraine Road intersection

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate

Value
8
48.00 / 48.00

220
80 80

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit <br> Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $327-70-5$ | MILLING EXIST ASPH |  | $9,427.97 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 3.75$ |
|  | PAVT, 2" AVG DEPTH | $\$ 35,354.89$ |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC | $1,037.08 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 123,391.78$ |
|  | CONC, TRAFFIC C |  |  |  |
| $337-7-25$ | ASPH CONC FC,INC BIT,FC- | 377.12 TN | $\$ 149.67$ | $\$ 56,443.55$ |

## Pavement Marking Subcomponent

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Include Thermo/Tape/Other | Y |
| Pavement Type | Asphalt |
| Solid Stripe No. of Paint | 1 |
| Applications | 4 |
| Solid Stripe No. of Stripes | 1 |
| Skip Stripe No. of Paint |  |
| Applications | 6 |
| Skip Stripe No. of Stripes |  |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 706-3 | RETRO- <br> REFLECTIVE/RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS | 158.00 EA | \$3.10 | \$489.80 |
| 710-11-101 | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.67 GM | \$1,190.19 | \$797.43 |
| 710-11-131 | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 1.00 GM | \$420.88 | \$420.88 |
| 711-15-101 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SOLID, $6^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.67 GM | \$4,730.20 | \$3,169.23 |
| 711-15-131 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SKIP, 6" | 1.00 GM | \$1,066.52 | \$1,066.52 |
| 711-15-201 | THERMOPLASTIC, STDOP,YELLOW, SOLID, 6" | 0.67 GM | \$4,736.17 | \$3,173.23 |
|  | Roadway Component Total |  |  | \$224,307.31 |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf
Width L/R
Paved Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate

Value
$12.00 / 12.00$
2.67 / 2.67
$5.00 / 5.00$
110

| Total Width (T) / 8" Overlap (O) | T |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rumble Strips $\ddot{i ̈}_{6}^{1 ⁄ 2 N}$ No. of Sides | 0 |

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit <br> Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $327-70-1$ | MILLING EXIST ASPH | 982.08 SY | $\$ 3.29$ | $\$ 3,231.04$ |
|  | PAVT, 1" AVG DEPTH |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC | 54.01 TN | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 6,426.11$ |
|  | CONC, TRAFFIC C |  |  |  |
| $337-7-25$ | ASPH CONC FC,INC BIT,FC- | 39.28 TN | $\$ 149.67$ | $\$ 5,879.04$ |
| $570-1-1$ | 5,PG76-22 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 524.43 SY | $\$ 2.44$ |
| $31,279.61$ |  |  |  |  |

## Erosion Control

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit <br> Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 16.74 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 144.47$ |
|  | BARRIER |  |  |  |
| $104-12$ | STAKED TURBIDITY | 16.74 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 91.40$ |
| $107-1$ | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC | 1.22 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 59.98$ |
| $107-2$ | LITTER REMOVAL | 1.22 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 86.28$ |
|  | MOWING |  |  | $\$ 17,197.93$ |
|  | Shoulder Component Total |  |  |  |

MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width
Total Median Shoulder Width L/R
Paved Median Shoulder Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate
Total Width (T) / 8" Overlap (O)
Rumble Strips $\ddot{i ̈}_{i}^{1 / 2}$ No. of Sides

110
Value
30.00
0.00
4.00 / 4.00
4.00 / 4.00

80

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit <br> Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $327-70-5$ | MILLING EXIST ASPH | 785.66 SY | $\$ 3.75$ | $\$ 2,946.23$ |
|  | PAVT, 2" AVG DEPTH |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC | 43.21 TN | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 5,141.13$ |
|  | CONC, TRAFFIC C |  |  |  |
| $337-7-25$ | ASPH CONC FC,INC BIT,FC- | 31.43 TN | $\$ 149.67$ | $\$ 4,704.13$ |
|  | 5,PG76-22 |  |  | $\$ 12,791.49$ |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700-1-11 | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, <12 SF | 4.00 AS | \$328.45 | \$1,313.80 |
| 700-1-12 | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, 12-20 SF | 4.00 AS | \$1,382.97 | \$5,531.88 |
| 700-1-50 | SINGLE POST SIGN, RELOCATE | 1.00 AS | \$188.08 | \$188.08 |
| 700-1-60 | SINGLE POST SIGN, REMOVE | 3.00 AS | \$27.11 | \$81.33 |
| 700-2-14 | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, 31-50 SF | 1.00 AS | \$4,683.31 | \$4,683.31 |
| 700-2-60 | MULTI- POST SIGN, REMOVE | 1.00 AS | \$869.53 | \$869.53 |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | \$12,667.93 |

Sequence 1 Total

Sequence: 2 NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban

Net 0.912 MI Length: 4,817 LF Description: ${ }_{\text {inter }} 6$ lane, from sta $128+23.00$ to $176+40.00$ - from the Lorraine Road intersection to East of Greenbrook Blvd with type E curb on the outside.

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Standard Clearing and Grubbing | $95.00 / 105.00$ |
| Limits L/R |  |
| Incidental Clearing and Grubbing | 0.00 |
| Area |  |

Alignment Number 1

Distance 0.912
Top of Structural Course For Begin Section
Top of Structural Course For End Section
Horizontal Elevation For Begin
Section
Horizontal Elevation For End
Section
Front Slope L/R
6 to 1 / 6 to 1
Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R $4.00 \% / 4.00 \%$

Roadway Cross Slope L/R

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 22.11 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 221,100.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $113,050.39 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 920,230.17$ |
|  | Earthwork Component Total |  | $\$ 1,141,330.17$ |  |

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
40.00 / 40.00

Structural Spread Rate 330
Friction Course Spread Rate80

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION | $48,340.71 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 354,820.81$ |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | $42,817.28 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 580,174.14$ |
|  | GROUP 09 |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C | $7,064.85 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 840,575.85$ |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC <br> C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | $1,712.69 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 217,631.52$ |

## X-Items

## Pay item Description

710-11- PAINTED PAVT
201 MARK,STD,YELLOW,SOLID,6"

Quantity Unit Unit Price
3.54 GM $\$ 1,071.22$

Extended<br>Amount<br>\$3,792.12

## Turnouts/Crossovers Subcomponent

Description
Asphalt Adjustment
Stabilization Code
Base Code
Friction Course Code

Value
15.00

Y
Y
Y

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE <br> GROUP 09 |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC <br> C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 |

## Pavement Marking Subcomponent

Description
Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type

Value
Y
Asphalt

| Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7,251.11 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 53,223.15$ |
| $6,422.59 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 87,026.09$ |
| $1,059.73 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 126,086.68$ |
| 256.90 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 32,644.28$ |

Solid Stripe No. of Paint
Applications
Solid Stripe No. of Stripes 4
Skip Stripe No. of Paint 1
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes
4

## Pay Items

Pay item Description

|  |  |  |  | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $706-3$ | RETRO-REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 616.00 EA | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 1,909.60$ |
| $710-11-$ | PAINTED PAVT |  |  |  |
| 101 | MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 3.65 GM | $\$ 1,190.19$ | $\$ 4,344.19$ |
| $710-11-$ | PAINTED PAVT | 3.65 GM | $\$ 420.88$ | $\$ 1,536.21$ |
| 131 | MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, $6 "$ |  |  |  |
| $711-15-$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, | 3.65 GM | $\$ 4,730.20$ | $\$ 17,265.23$ |
| 101 | WHITE, SOLID, 6" |  |  |  |
| $711-15-$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, | 3.65 GM | $\$ 1,066.52$ | $\$ 3,892.80$ |
| 131 | WHITE, SKIP, 6" |  |  |  |
| $711-15-$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD- | 3.65 GM | $\$ 4,736.17$ | $\$ 17,287.02$ |
| 201 | OP,YELLOW, SOLID, 6" |  |  |  |

Roadway Component Total

Extended Amount

Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended |
| ---: |
| Amount |

616.00 EA $\quad \$ 3.10 \quad \$ 1,909.60$
3.65 GM $\$ 1,190.19 \quad \$ 4,344.19$
3.65 GM $\$ 420.88 \quad \$ 1,536.21$
3.65 GM $\$ 4,730.20 \quad \$ 17,265.23$
3.65 GM $\$ 1,066.52 \quad \$ 3,892.80$
3.65 GM $\$ 4,736.17 \quad \$ 17,287.02$
\$2,342,209.69

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R
Value
$10.25 / 10.25$
$0.00 / 0.00$
$8.00 / 8.00$

Value
$0.00 / 0.00$
8.00 / 8.00

Pay Items
Pay item Description

| 520-1-10 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, <br> TYPE F |
| :--- | :--- |
| $520-1-10$ | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, <br> TYPE F |

Quantity Unit Unit Price
4,816.94 LF $\$ 23.14$
4,816.94 LF $\$ 23.14$

Extended
Amount

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

Pay item Description
104-10-3 SEDIMENT BARRIER
104-11 FLOATING TURBIDITY BARRIER
STAKED TURBIDITY
BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC
SOIL TRACKING
PREVENTION DEVICE
104-18 INLET PROTECTION SYSTEM
107-1 LITTER REMOVAL
107-2 MOWING

| Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $9,633.89 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 16,281.27$ |
| 228.08 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 1,968.33$ |
|  |  |  |
| 228.08 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 1,245.32$ |
|  |  |  |
| 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
| 47.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 6,779.75$ |
| 23.22 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 1,141.50$ |
| 23.22 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 1,642.12$ |

Shoulder Component Total

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width

Value
22.00
17.50

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $520-1-7$ | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, | $9,633.89$ LF | $\$ 17.89$ | $\$ 172,350.29$ |
| $570-1-1$ | TYPE E | $9,366.28$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 22,853.72$ |
|  | Median Component Total |  |  | $\$ 195,204.01$ |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400-2-2 | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS | 16.42 CY | \$1,237.24 | \$20,315.48 |
| 425-1-351 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, <10' | 33.00 EA | \$4,859.08 | \$160,349.64 |
| 425-1-451 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE J-5, <10' | 10.00 EA | \$6,003.96 | \$60,039.60 |
| 425-1-521 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, <10' | 5.00 EA | \$4,248.39 | \$21,241.95 |
| 425-2-41 | MANHOLES, P-7, <10' | 5.00 EA | \$4,388.64 | \$21,943.20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 24"S/CD | 2,416.00 LF | \$95.00 | \$229,520.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 136 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 36"S/CD | 216.00 LF | \$132.23 | \$28,561.68 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 48"S/CD | 4,568.00 LF | \$198.63 | \$907,341.84 |
| 570-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 277.34 SY | \$2.44 | \$676.71 |
| X-Items |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| 425-2-72 | MANHOLES, J-7, > 10' | 4.00 EA | \$9,846.67 | \$39,386.68 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 18"S/CD | 3,840.00 LF | \$79.95 | \$307,008.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 142 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 42"S/CD | 2,992.00 LF | \$160.22 | \$479,378.24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 48"S/CD | 1,984.00 LF | \$198.63 | \$394,081.92 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 154 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 54"S/CD | 1,984.00 LF | \$263.36 | \$522,506.24 |
|  | Drainage Component Total |  |  | \$3,192,351.18 |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

Pay item Description
700-1-11 $\begin{aligned} & \text { SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, } \\ & <12 \text { SF }\end{aligned}$
700-1-12 $\begin{aligned} & \text { SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, } \\ & \text { 12-20 SF }\end{aligned}$

Quantity Unit Unit Price
Extended
Amount

| $700-2-15$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, <br> 51-100 SF | 2.00 AS | $\$ 5,932.07$ | $\$ 11,864.14$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $700-2-16$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, <br> $101-200 ~ S F ~$ | 2.00 AS | $\$ 11,053.87$ | $\$ 22,107.74$ |
|  | Signing Component Total |  | $\$ 43,963.72$ |  |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Spacing | MIN |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 4,816.94LF | \$7.28 | \$35,067.32 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 956.09LF | \$21.66 | \$20,708.91 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 32.00 EA | \$709.74 | \$22,711.68 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 17,592.79LF | \$2.35 | \$41,343.06 |
| 715-4-13 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, 40' | 32.00 EA | \$5,707.60 | \$182,643.20 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 32.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$17,763.20 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$320,237.37 |
|  | Lighting Component Total |  |  | \$320,237.37 |

Sequence: 3 NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban

Net 1.513 MI Length: 7,988 LF

Description: New 4 lane, from sta 176+40.00 to 279+08.00

## User Input Data

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Standard Clearing and Grubbing | $95.00 / 105.00$ |
| Limits L/R | 0.00 |
| Incidental Clearing and Grubbing |  |
| Area |  |


| Alignment Number | 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Distance | 1.513 |
| Top of Structural Course For Begin | 105.00 |
| Section | 105.00 |
| Top of Structural Course For End |  |
| Section | 100.00 |
| Horizontal Elevation For Begin | 100.00 |
| Section | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Horizontal Elevation For End | $4.00 \% / 4.00 \%$ |
| Section | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |
| Front Slope L/R | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |
| Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R |  |
| Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R |  |
| Roadway Cross Slope L/R |  |

## Pay Items

| Pay item Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 36.68 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 366,800.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $230,268.11 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 1,874,382.42$ |

Earthwork Component Total

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
$29.00 / 29.00$
Structural Spread Rate 330
Friction Course Spread Rate

## Pay Items

Pay item Description

| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE <br> GROUP 09 |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC <br> C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 |

Quantity Unit Unit Price

| 60,638.65 SY | $\$ 7.34$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $51,478.94 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ |

8,494.03 TN $\$ 118.98 \quad \$ 1,010,619.69$
2,059.16 TN $\$ 127.07 \quad \$ 261,657.46$

## X-Items

| Pay item Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $339-1$ | MISCELLANEOUS ASPHALT | 30.80 TN | $\$ 225.95$ | $\$ 6,959.26$ |
| 710-11- | PAVEMENT |  |  |  |
| 201 | MARTED PAVT | 7.88 GM | $\$ 1,071.22$ | $\$ 8,441.21$ |

## Turnouts/Crossovers Subcomponent

Description
Asphalt Adju
Stabilization
Base Code
Friction Cou

Pay Items

Pay item Description
160-4 TYPE B STABILIZATION
285-709 OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 09
334-1-13 SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C
337-7-83 $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC } \\ & \text { C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 }\end{aligned}$

Pavement Marking Subcomponent
Description
Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type

Extended
Amount
\$445,087.69
\$697,539.64

Extended
Amount
\$6,959.26
\$8,441.21

Value
5.00

Y
Y
Y
Quantity Unit Unit Price

Extended
Amount
3,031.93 SY $\quad \$ 7.34 \quad \$ 22,254.37$

2,573.95 SY $\quad \$ 13.55 \quad \$ 34,877.02$
$424.70 \mathrm{TN} \quad \$ 118.98 \quad \$ 50,530.81$
102.96 TN $\$ 127.07$
\$13,083.13

Solid Stripe No. of Paint
Applications
Solid Stripe No. of Stripes 4
Skip Stripe No. of Paint 1
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes

## Pay Items

Pay item Description

706-3 RETRO-REFLECTIVE/RAISED
710-11- PAINTED PAVT
101 MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6"
710-11- PAINTED PAVT
131 MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6"
711-15- THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP,
101 WHITE, SOLID, 6"
711-15- THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP,
131 WHITE, SKIP, 6"
711-15- THERMOPLASTIC, STD-
201 OP,YELLOW, SOLID, 6"

Peripherals Subcomponent

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Off Road Bike Path(s) | 0 |
| Off Road Bike Path Width L/R | $0.00 / 0.00$ |
| Bike Path Structural Spread Rate | 0 |
| Noise Barrier Wall Length | 0.00 |
| Noise Barrier Wall Begin Height | 0.00 |
| Noise Barrier Wall End Height | 0.00 |

## Pay item Description

| 536-1-1 | GUARDRAIL- ROADWAY, |
| :--- | :--- |
| GEN TL-3 |  |
| $536-85-$ | GUARDRAIL END |
| 24 | TREATMENT- PARA APP |
|  | TERM |

Quantity Unit Unit Price 6.05 GM $\$ 1,190.19$
0.00 / 0.00

0
0.00

Nois Bart. Wall End He.
0.00

Noise Barrier Wall End Height
0.00

## Pay Items

536-1-1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { GUARDRAIL- ROADWAY, } \\ & \text { GEN TL-3 }\end{aligned}$

## Quantity Unit Unit Price

720.00 LF $\$ 19.63$ 4.00 EA $\quad \$ 2,595.00$
613.00 EA $\quad \$ 3.10 \quad \$ 1,900.30$
\$7,200.65
3.03 GM $\quad \$ 420.88 \quad \$ 1,275.27$
6.05 GM $\quad \$ 4,730.20 \quad \$ 28,617.71$
3.03 GM $\quad \$ 1,066.52 \quad \$ 3,231.56$
6.05 GM $\quad \$ 4,736.17 \quad \$ 28,653.83$ Amount
\$1,275.27
\$28,617.71

## Extended

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf
Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R

Value<br>10.25 / 10.25<br>0.00 / 0.00<br>8.00 / 8.00

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 520-1-7 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE E | 7,988.11 LF | \$17.89 | \$142,907.29 |
| 520-1-7 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE E | 7,988.11 LF | \$17.89 | \$142,907.29 |
| 522-1 | CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS, 4" | 14,201.09 SY | \$35.46 | \$503,570.65 |
| Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |
| Pay Items |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| 104-10-3 | SEDIMENT BARRIER | 15,976.22 LF | \$1.69 | \$26,999.81 |
| 104-11 | FLOATING TURBIDITY BARRIER | 378.22 LF | \$8.63 | \$3,264.04 |
| 104-12 | STAKED TURBIDITY BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC | 378.22 LF | \$5.46 | \$2,065.08 |
| 104-15 | SOIL TRACKING PREVENTION DEVICE | 2.00 EA | \$2,700.54 | \$5,401.08 |
| 104-18 | INLET PROTECTION SYSTEM | 78.00 EA | \$144.25 | \$11,251.50 |
| 107-1 | LITTER REMOVAL | 38.50 AC | \$49.16 | \$1,892.66 |
| 107-2 | MOWING | 38.50 AC | \$70.72 | \$2,722.72 |
|  | Shoulder Component Total |  |  | \$842,982.12 |

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

| Description | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Median Width | 54.00 |
| Performance Turf Width | 49.50 |

Pay Items

| Pay item Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $520-1-7$ | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, | $15,976.22$ LF | $\$ 17.89$ | $\$ 285,814.58$ |
| TYPE E | $43,934.62$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 107,200.47$ |  |
|  | Median Component Total |  | $\$ 393,015.05$ |  |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| $400-2-2$ | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS |
| $425-1-$ | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, <10' |
| 351 |  |
| $425-1-$ | INLETS, CURB, TYPE J-5, <10' |
| 451 |  |
| $425-1-$ | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, <10' |
| 521 |  |
| $425-2-41$ | MANHOLES, P-7, <10' |
| $430-175-$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, |
| 124 | ROUND, 24"S/CD |
| $430-175-$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, |
| 136 | ROUND, 36"S/CD |
| $430-175-$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, |
| 148 | ROUND, 48"S/CD |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF |

## X-Items

Pay item Description
425-2-72 MANHOLES, J-7, > 10'

| Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 27.23 CY | $\$ 1,237.24$ | $\$ 33,690.05$ |
| 55.00 EA | $\$ 4,859.08$ | $\$ 267,249.40$ |
| 16.00 EA | $\$ 6,003.96$ | $\$ 96,063.36$ |
| 8.00 EA | $\$ 4,248.39$ | $\$ 33,987.12$ |
| 8.00 EA | $\$ 4,388.64$ | $\$ 35,109.12$ |
| $4,008.00 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 95.00$ | $\$ 380,760.00$ |
| 360.00 LF | $\$ 132.23$ | $\$ 47,602.80$ |
| 7,568.00 LF | $\$ 198.63$ | $\$ 1,503,231.84$ |
| 459.92 SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 1,122.20$ |


| Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 28.00 EA | $\$ 9,846.67$ | $\$ 275,706.76$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 430-175- } & \text { PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, } & 8,328.00 \mathrm{LF} & \$ 79.95 & \$ 665,823.60 \\ 118 & \text { ROUND, 18"S/CD } \\ 430-175- & \text { PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, } & & & \\ 130 & \text { ROUND, 30"S/CD }\end{array}\right]$

## Retention Basin 1

| Description | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Size | 15 AC |
| Multiplier | 4 |
| Depth | 3.50 |
| Description | quantities are for 64 acre <br> $\quad$ (regional pond) |

## Pay Items

## Pay item Description

110-1-1 CLEARING \& GRUBBING
120-1 REGULAR EXCAVATION
400-2-2 CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS
425-1- INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE D, <10'
541
425-2-71 MANHOLES, J-7, <10'
430-175- PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, 142 ROUND, 42"S/CD
430-175- PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, 160 ROUND, 60"S/CD
550-10- FENCING, TYPE B, 5.1-6.0',
220 STANDARD
550-60- FENCE GATE,TYP
234 B,SLIDE/CANT,18.1-20'OPEN
570-1-1 PERFORMANCE TURF $\quad 290,400.00$ SY $\$ 2.44 \quad \$ 708,576.00$

## Retention Basin 2

Description
Size
Multiplier
Depth
Description

Value
20 AC
1
2.00

Quantities are for 20 acre (FPC 2A) pond

## Pay Items

## Pay item Description

110-1-1 CLEARING \& GRUBBING
120-1 REGULAR EXCAVATION
400-2-2 CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS
425-1- INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE D, <10'
541
425-2-71 MANHOLES, J-7, <10'
430-175- PIPE CULV, OPT MATL,
142 ROUND, 42"S/CD
430-175- PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, 160 ROUND, 60"S/CD
550-10- FENCING, TYPE B, 5.1-6.0',
220 STANDARD
550-60- FENCE GATE,TYP
234 B,SLIDE/CANT,18.1-20'OPEN
570-1-1 PERFORMANCE TURF

Drainage Component Total

| Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20.00 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 200,000.00$ |
| $64,533.33 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 7.30$ | $\$ 471,093.31$ |
| 54.00 CY | $\$ 1,237.24$ | $\$ 66,810.96$ |
| 3.00 EA | $\$ 4,302.35$ | $\$ 12,907.05$ |
| 3.00 EA | $\$ 7,675.46$ | $\$ 23,026.38$ |
| 152.00 LF | $\$ 160.22$ | $\$ 24,353.44$ |
| 600.00 LF | $\$ 310.52$ | $\$ 186,312.00$ |
| $4,420.00 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 16.04$ | $\$ 70,896.80$ |
| 6.00 EA | $\$ 2,106.27$ | $\$ 12,637.62$ |
| $96,800.00 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 236,192.00$ |

\$11,776,327.97

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

## Pay item Description

700-1-11 SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM,
700-1-12 $\begin{aligned} & \text { SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, } \\ & \text { 12-20 SF }\end{aligned}$
700-2-15 $\begin{aligned} & \text { MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, } \\ & 51-100 \text { SF }\end{aligned}$

Quantity Unit Unit Price
37.00 AS $\$ 328.45$
4.00 AS \$1,382.97
4.00 AS \$5,932.07

Extended Amount
\$12,152.65
\$5,531.88
\$23,728.28

| 700-2-16MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, <br> 101-200 SF |
| :--- |
| Ligning Component Total |
| LIGHTING COMPONENT |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

Description
Spacing
Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit <br> Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 7,988.11 LF | \$7.28 | \$58,153.44 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 1,585.52 LF | \$21.66 | \$34,342.36 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 53.00 EA | \$709.74 | \$37,616.22 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 29,174.76LF | \$2.35 | \$68,560.69 |
| 715-4-13 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, 40' | 53.00 EA | \$5,707.60 | \$302,502.80 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 53.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$29,420.30 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$530,595.81 |

Value
MIN
\$85,628.29

## Lighting Component Total

## BRIDGES COMPONENT

## Bridge A

Description
Estimate Type
Primary Estimate
Length (LF)
Width (LF)
Type
Cost Factor
Value
SF Estimate
YES
75.00
61.66

Low Level
1.25

| Structure No. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Removal of | 1 of Existing Structures area |  |  | 2,840.00 |
| Default Cos | Cost per SF |  |  | \$114.00 |
| Factored Cos | Cost per SF |  |  | \$142.50 |
| Final Cost | ost per SF |  |  | \$162.69 |
| Basic Brid | ridge Cost |  |  | \$658,991.25 |
| Description | ion BRAD | N RIVER (NEW | WB BRIDG |  |
| Bridge Pay Items |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item Description |  | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| 110-3 | REMOVAL OF EXISTING STRUCTURES/BRIDGES | 2,840.00 SF | \$39.96 | \$113,486.40 |
| 400-2-10 | CONC CLASS II, APPROACH SLABS | 137.02 CY | \$508.09 | \$69,618.49 |
| 415-1-9 $\begin{aligned} & \text { R } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { B }\end{aligned}$ | REINF STEEL- APPROACH SLABS | 23,978.50 LB | \$0.99 | \$23,738.72 |
|  | Bridge A Total |  |  | \$865,834.86 |
| Bridge B |  |  |  |  |
| Description |  |  |  | Value |
| Estimate Type |  |  |  | SF Estimate |
| Primary Estimate |  |  |  | YES |
| Length (LF) |  |  |  | 88.00 |
| Width (LF) |  |  |  | 61.66 |
| Type |  |  |  | Low Level |
| Cost Factor |  |  |  | 1.25 |
| Structure No. |  |  |  |  |
| Removal of Existing Structures area |  |  |  | 2,790.00 |
| Default Cost per SF |  |  |  | \$114.00 |
| Factored Cost per SF |  |  |  | \$142.50 |
| Final Cost per SF |  |  |  | \$159.71 |
| Basic Bridge Cost |  |  |  | \$773,216.40 |
| Description $\quad$ BRAD |  | N RIVER OVER | RFLOW (NE |  |

Pay item Description $\quad$ Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended |
| ---: |
| Amount |

| 110-3 | REMOVAL OF EXISTING STRUCTURES/BRIDGES | 2,790.00 SF | \$39.96 | \$111,488.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400-2-10 | CONC CLASS II, APPROACH SLABS | 137.02 CY | \$508.09 | \$69,618.49 |
| 415-1-9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REINF STEEL- APPROACH } \\ & \text { SLABS } \end{aligned}$ | 23,978.50 LB | \$0.99 | \$23,738.72 |
|  | Bridge B Total |  |  | \$978,062.01 |
| Bridge C |  |  |  |  |
| Descript |  |  |  | Value |
| Estimate | Type |  |  | SF Estimate |
| Primary | Estimate |  |  | YES |
| Length (L) |  |  |  | 75.00 |
| Width (L |  |  |  | 61.66 |
| Type |  |  |  | Low Level |
| Cost Fact |  |  |  | 1.25 |
| Structure No. |  |  |  |  |
| Removal | of Existing Structures area |  |  | 0.00 |
| Default Cos | Cost per SF |  |  | \$114.00 |
| Factored | Cost per SF |  |  | \$142.50 |
| Final Co | st per SF |  |  | \$162.69 |
| Basic Br | idge Cost |  |  | \$658,991.25 |
| Descripti | on BRAD | N RIVER (NEW | EB BRIDGE) |  |
| Bridge Pay Items |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| 400-2-10 | CONC CLASS II, APPROACH SLABS | 137.02 CY | \$508.09 | \$69,618.49 |
| 415-1-9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REINF STEEL- APPROACH } \\ & \text { SLABS } \end{aligned}$ | 23,978.50 LB | \$0.99 | \$23,738.72 |
|  | Bridge C Total |  |  | \$752,348.46 |
| Bridge D |  |  |  |  |
| Description |  |  |  | Value |
| Estimate Type |  |  |  | SF Estimate |
| Primary Estimate |  |  |  | YES |
| Length (LF) |  |  |  | 88.00 |



## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Value
Standard Clearing and Grubbing Limits L/R

## Incidental Clearing and Grubbing

 Area| Alignment Number | 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Distance | 2.286 |
| Top of Structural Course For Begin | 102.00 |
| Section | 102.00 |
| Top of Structural Course For End |  |
| Section | 100.00 |
| Horizontal Elevation For Begin | 100.00 |
| Section | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Horizontal Elevation For End | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Section | $5.00 \% / 5.00 \%$ |
| Existing Front Slope L/R |  |
| Existing Median Slope L/R | $6.00 \% / 6.00 \%$ |
| Existing Median Shoulder Cross | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Slope L/R | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Existing Outside Shoulder Cross | $5.00 \% / 5.00 \%$ |
| Slope L/R | $6.00 \% / 6.00 \%$ |
| Front Slope L/R | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |
| Median Slope L/R |  |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 47.94 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 479,400.00$ |
| $120-2-2$ | BORROW EXCAVATION, | $7,197.34 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 41.78$ | $\$ 300,704.87$ |
|  | TRUCK MEASURE |  |  |  |
|  | Earthwork Component Total |  | $\$ 780,104.87$ |  |

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Existing Roadway Pavement Width L/R

Value
4
24.00 / 0.00

| Structural Spread Rate | 220 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Friction Course Spread Rate | 80 |
| Widened Outside Pavement Width | $0.00 / 24.00$ |
| L/R | $0.00 / 0.00$ |
| Widened Inside Pavement Width | 330 |
| L/R | 80 |
| Widened Structural Spread Rate |  |
| Widened Friction Course Spread |  |
| Rate |  |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | it Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160-4 | TYPE B STABILIZATION | 67,067.73 SY | \$7.34 | \$492,277.14 |
| 285-709 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 09 | 32,635.16 SY | \$13.55 | \$442,206.42 |
| 327-70-5 | MILLING EXIST ASPH PAVT, 2" AVG DEPTH | 32,192.51 SY | \$3.75 | \$120,721.91 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 3,541.18 TN | \$118.98 | \$421,329.60 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 5,311.76 TN | \$118.98 | \$631,993.20 |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 1,287.70 TN | \$127.07 | \$163,628.04 |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 1,287.70 TN | \$127.07 | \$163,628.04 |

## Turnouts/Crossovers Subcomponent

Description
Asphalt Adjustment
Milling Code
Stabilization Code
Base Code
Friction Course Code

Value
5.00

Y
Y
Y
Y

Quantity Unit Unit Price
3,353.39 SY $\$ 7.34$
1,631.76 SY \$13.55

Extended Amount
\$24,613.88
\$22,110.35

| 327-70-5 | MILLING EXIST ASPH PAVT, 2" AVG DEPTH | 1,609.63 SY | \$3.75 | \$6,036.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 177.06 TN | \$118.98 | \$21,066.60 |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 64.39 TN | \$127.07 | \$8,182.04 |
| Pavement Marking Subcomponent |  |  |  |  |
| Description |  | Value |  |  |
| Include Thermo/Tape/Other |  | Y |  |  |
| Pavement Type |  | Asphalt |  |  |
| Solid Stripe No. of Pai Applications |  |  |  |  |
| Solid Stripe No. of Stripes |  | 4 |  |  |
| Skip Stripe No. of Paint Applications |  | 1 |  |  |
| Skip Stripe No. of Stripes |  | 2 |  |  |
| Pay Items |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| 706-3 | RETRO- <br> REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 926.00 EA | \$3.10 | \$2,870.60 |
| 710-11-101 | PAINTED PAVT MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 9.15 GM | \$1,190.19 | \$10,890.24 |
| 710-11-131 | PAINTED PAVT MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 4.57 GM | \$420.88 | \$1,923.42 |
| 711-15-101 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SOLID, 6" | 9.15 GM | \$4,730.20 | \$43,281.33 |
| 711-15-131 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SKIP, $6^{\prime \prime}$ | 4.57 GM | \$1,066.52 | \$4,874.00 |
|  | Roadway Component Total |  |  | \$2,581,632.92 |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

| Existing Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R | 10.00 / 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| New Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R | $0.00 / 10.00$ |
| Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R | $0.00 / 2.67$ |
| Existing Paved Outside Shoulder Width L/R | 5.00 / 0.00 |
| New Paved Outside Shoulder Width L/R | 0.00 / 5.00 |
| Structural Spread Rate | 110 |
| Friction Course Spread Rate | 80 |
| Total Width (T) / 8" Overlap (O) | T |
| Rumble Strips $\ddot{i}_{6}^{1} 1 / 2$ No. of Sides | 0 |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285-704 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 04 | 7,149.42 SY | \$21.65 | \$154,784.94 |
| 327-70-1 | MILLING EXIST ASPH PAVT, 1" AVG DEPTH | 6,706.77 SY | \$3.29 | \$22,065.27 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 368.87 TN | \$118.98 | \$43,888.15 |
| 337-7-25 | ASPH CONC FC,INC BIT,FC- <br> 5,PG76-22 | 268.27 TN | \$149.67 | \$40,151.97 |
| 570-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 3,581.42 SY | \$2.44 | \$8,738.66 |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $522-1$ | CONCRETE SIDEWALK |  |  |
| $51,461.67 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 35.46$ | $\$ 761,030.82$ |  |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $27,766.04 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 46,924.61$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 228.64 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 1,973.16$ |

104-15
107-1
107-2

STAKED TURBIDITY BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC

SOIL TRACKING
PREVENTION DEVICE
LITTER REMOVAL
MOWING
228.64 LF
$3.00 \mathrm{EA} \quad \$ 2,700.54$
16.62 AC $\quad \$ 49.16$
$16.62 \mathrm{AC} \quad \$ 70.72$
\$1,175.37
\$1,248.37

Shoulder Component Total

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description Value
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total Median Width } & 30.00\end{array}$
Performance Turf Width 25.50
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { New Total Median Shoulder Width } & 8.00 / 8.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { New Paved Median Shoulder Width } \\ \text { L/R } & 0.00 / 0.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Existing Total Median Shoulder } & 8.00 \text { / } 8.00 \\ \text { Width L/R }\end{array}$
Existing Paved Median Shoulder
Width L/R
$0.00 / 0.00$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Structural Spread Rate } & 110\end{array}$
Friction Course Spread Rate 80
Total Width (T)/8" Overlap (O) T
Rumble Strips $\ddot{\dddot{u}}_{\iota}^{1} / 2$ No. of Sides 0

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $34,204.54$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 83,459.08$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 83,459.08$ |  |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

Pay item Description $\quad$ Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended |
| ---: |
| Amount |

| $400-2-2$ | CONC CLASS II, | 41.16 CY | $\$ 1,237.24$ | $\$ 50,924.80$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $430-174-$ | ENDWALLS |  |  |  |
| 124 | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | $1,832.00 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 66.75$ | $\$ 122,286.00$ |
| $430-175-$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 184.00 LF | $\$ 132.23$ | $\$ 24,330.32$ |
| 136 | ROUND, 36"S/CD |  |  |  |
| $430-984-$ | MITERED END SECT, | 92.00 EA | $\$ 1,667.87$ | $\$ 153,444.04$ |
| 129 | OPTIONAL RD, 24" SD | $1,609.63 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 3,927.50$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $425-1-559$ | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE E, | 20.00 EA | $\$ 3,462.04$ | $\$ 69,240.80$ |
| MODIFY |  |  |  |  |
| $430-175-$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 400.00 LF | $\$ 95.00$ | $\$ 38,000.00$ |
| 124 | ROUND, 24"S/CD |  |  |  |

## Box Culvert 1

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Size | $10 \times 6$ |
| Length | 161.00 |
| Multiplier | 2 |

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $400-4-1$ | CONC CLASS IV, | 394.10 CY | $\$ 1,576.82$ | $\$ 621,424.76$ |
| $415-1-1$ | REINF STEEL- ROADWAY | $47,733.00 \mathrm{LB}$ | $\$ 1.06$ | $\$ 50,596.98$ |

## Retention Basin 1

| Description | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Size | 1 AC |
| Multiplier | 13 |
| Depth | 4.00 |
| Description | quantities are for 13 acre |
|  | pond (FBC 1B) |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |


| 430-175- | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 4,600.00 LF | $\$ 310.52$ | $\$ 1,428,392.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 160 | ROUND, 60"S/CD |  |  |  |
| $550-10-220$ | FENCING, TYPE B, 5.1-6.0', | $13,800.00 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 16.04$ | $\$ 221,352.00$ |
|  | STANDARD |  |  |  |
| $550-60-234$ | FENCE GATE,TYP | B,SLIDE/CANT,18.1-20'OPEN | 23.00 EA | $\$ 2,106.27$ |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $55,660.00 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 135,810.40$ |
|  | Drainage Component Total |  |  | $\$ 7,086,704.03$ |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $700-1-11$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, <12 SF | 5.00 AS | $\$ 328.45$ | $\$ 1,642.25$ |
| $700-1-12$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 12-20 SF | 55.00 AS | $\$ 1,382.97$ | $\$ 76,063.35$ |
| $700-1-50$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, <br> RELOCATE | 5.00 AS | $\$ 188.08$ | $\$ 940.40$ |
| $700-1-60$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, <br> REMOVE | 55.00 AS | $\$ 27.11$ | $\$ 1,491.05$ |
| $700-2-14$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 31-50 SF | 5.00 AS | $\$ 4,683.31$ | $\$ 23,416.55$ |
| $700-2-60$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, <br> REMOVE | 5.00 AS | $\$ 869.53$ | $\$ 4,347.65$ |

Signing Component Total \$107,901.25

Sequence 4 Total
\$11,730,702.13

Sequence: 5 NDS - New, Divided, Suburban (Urban In/Rural Out)
Net 0.235 MI
Length: 1,240 LF
Description: New 4 lane, from sta $415+00.00$ to $434+98.24$

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Standard Clearing and Grubbing | $95.00 / 105.00$ |
| Limits L/R | 0.00 |
| Incidental Clearing and Grubbing |  |
| Area |  |
|  |  |
| Alignment Number | 0.235 |
| Distance | 105.00 |
| Top of Structural Course For Begin | 105.00 |
| Section | 100.00 |
| Top of Structural Course For End | 100.00 |
| Section | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Horizontal Elevation For Begin | $4.00 \% / 4.00 \%$ |
| Section | $6.00 \% / 6.00 \%$ |
| Horizontal Elevation For End | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |
| Section |  |
| Front Slope L/R |  |
| Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R |  |
| Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R |  |
| Roadway Cross Slope L/R |  |

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 5.70 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 57,000.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $23,604.61 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 192,141.53$ |

Earthwork Component Total \$249,141.53

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate

## Pay Items

Pay item Description
Quantity Unit Unit Price
Extended Amount

| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION | $10,077.74 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 73,970.61$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | $6,793.80 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 92,055.99$ |
|  | GROUP 09 |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC | $1,090.97 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 129,803.61$ |
|  | CONC, TRAFFIC C |  |  |  |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC | 264.48 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 33,607.47$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $710-11-201$ | PAINTED PAVT |  |  |  |
|  | MARK,STD,YELLOW,SOLID,6" | 0.94 GM | $\$ 1,071.22$ | $\$ 1,006.95$ |

Pavement Marking Subcomponent

Description
Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type
Solid Stripe No. of Paint Applications
Solid Stripe No. of Stripes
Skip Stripe No. of Paint
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| $706-3$ | RETRO-REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS |
| $710-11-101$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID, $6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $710-11-131$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" |
| $711-15-101$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, <br> WHITE, SOLID, 6" |
| $711-15-131$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, <br> WHITE, SKIP, 6" |
| 711-15-201 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD- <br> OP,YELLOW, SOLID, 6" |
|  |  |


| Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 95.00 EA | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 294.50$ |
| 0.94 GM | $\$ 1,190.19$ | $\$ 1,118.78$ |
| 0.47 GM | $\$ 420.88$ | $\$ 197.81$ |
| 0.94 GM | $\$ 4,730.20$ | $\$ 4,446.39$ |
| 0.47 GM | $\$ 1,066.52$ | $\$ 501.26$ |
|  |  |  |
| 0.94 GM | $\$ 4,736.17$ | $\$ 4,452.00$ |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf
Width L/R
Paved Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate
Total Width (T) / 8" Overlap (O)
Rumble Strips $\ddot{i ̈}_{i}^{1 / 2 N}$ No. of Sides

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | nit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285-704 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 04 | 1,468.41 SY | \$21.65 | \$31,791.08 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 75.76 TN | \$118.98 | \$9,013.92 |
| 337-7-25 | ASPH CONC FC,INC BIT,FC- <br> 5,PG76-22 | 55.10 TN | \$149.67 | \$8,246.82 |
| 570-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 735.58 SY | \$2.44 | \$1,794.82 |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $522-1$ | CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND | $3,555.66$ SY | $\$ 35.46$ | $\$ 126,083.70$ |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY <br> BARRIER |

Value
$10.00 / 10.00$
2.67 / 2.67
$5.00 / 5.00$
110
80
T
0

| $104-12$ | STAKED TURBIDITY | 58.70 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 320.50$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC |  |  |  |
| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
| $104-18$ | PREVENTION DEVICE | 2.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 288.50$ |
| $107-1$ | LNLET PROTECTION SYSTEM | 4.21 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 206.96$ |
| $107-2$ | MOWING | 4.21 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 297.73$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 186,698.58$ |

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width

Value
30.00
25.50

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $520-1-7$ | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, | $2,479.49 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 17.89$ | $\$ 44,358.08$ |
| $570-1-1$ | TYPE E | $3,512.61 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 8,570.77$ |
|  | MerFORMANCE TURF |  |  | $\$ 52,928.85$ |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $400-2-2$ | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS | 4.23 CY | $\$ 1,237.24$ | $\$ 5,233.53$ |
| $425-1-551$ | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE E, <10' | 2.00 EA | $\$ 4,069.79$ | $\$ 8,139.58$ |
| $430-175-$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 104.00 LF | $\$ 95.00$ | $\$ 9,880.00$ |
| 124 | ROUND, 24"S/CD |  |  |  |
| $430-175-$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 56.00 LF | $\$ 132.23$ | $\$ 7,404.88$ |
| 136 | ROUND, 36"S/CD |  |  |  |
| $430-984-$ | MITERED END SECT, | 2.00 EA | $\$ 1,667.87$ | $\$ 3,335.74$ |
| 129 | OPTIONAL RD, 24" SD |  |  |  |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 425-1-559 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE E, MODIFY | 4.00 EA | \$3,462.04 | \$13,848.16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 24"S/CD | 104.00 LF | \$95.00 | \$9,880.00 |
|  | Drainage Component Total |  |  | \$57,941.88 |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700-1-11 | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, $<12$ SF | 6.00 AS | \$328.45 | \$1,970.70 |
| 700-1-12 | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, $12-20 \mathrm{SF}$ | 1.00 AS | \$1,382.97 | \$1,382.97 |
| 700-2-14 | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, 31-50 SF | 1.00 AS | \$4,683.31 | \$4,683.31 |
| 700-2-15 | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, 51-100 SF | 1.00 AS | \$5,932.07 | \$5,932.07 |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | \$13,969.05 |

Sequence 5 Total

Sequence: 6 WDR - Widen/Resurface, Divided, Rural
Net 0.475 MI
Length: 2,506 LF
Description: Resurface existing lanes ( 24 ft ) left side - New construction (2 lanes) sta

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Value

| Standard Clearing and Grubbing | $68.00 / 105.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Limits L/R | 0.00 |
| Incidental Clearing and Grubbing |  |
| Area |  |


| Alignment Number | 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Distance | 0.475 |
| Top of Structural Course For Begin | 102.00 |
| Section | 102.00 |
| Top of Structural Course For End |  |
| Section | 100.00 |
| Horizontal Elevation For Begin | 100.00 |
| Section | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Horizontal Elevation For End | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Section | $5.00 \% / 5.00 \%$ |
| Existing Front Slope L/R |  |
| Existing Median Slope L/R | $6.00 \%$ / $6.00 \%$ |
| Existing Median Shoulder Cross | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Slope L/R | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Existing Outside Shoulder Cross | $5.00 \% / 5.00 \%$ |
| Slope L/R | $6.00 \% ~ / ~ 6.00 ~ \% ~$ |
| Front Slope L/R | $2.00 \% ~ / 2.00 \%$ |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 9.96 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 99,600.00$ |
| $120-2-2$ | BORROW EXCAVATION, | $1,495.51 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 41.78$ | $\$ 62,482.41$ |

Earthwork Component Total \$162,082.41

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Number of Lanes

Value
4

Existing Roadway Pavement Width L/R

| Structural Spread Rate | 220 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Friction Course Spread Rate | 80 |
| Widened Outside Pavement Width | $0.00 / 24.00$ |
| L/R | $0.00 / 0.00$ |
| Widened Inside Pavement Width | 330 |
| L/R | 80 |
| Widened Structural Spread Rate |  |
| Widened Friction Course Spread |  |
| Rate |  |

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION | $13,921.60 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 102,184.54$ |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | $6,774.25 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 91,791.09$ |
| $327-70-5$ | GROUP 09 |  |  |  |
|  | PILLING EXIST ASPH |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C | $6,682.37 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 3.75$ | $\$ 25,058.89$ |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C | $1,102.59 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 131,186.16$ |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC <br> C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 267.29 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 33,964.54$ |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC | 267.29 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 33,964.54$ |

## Pavement Marking Subcomponent

## Description

Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type
Solid Stripe No. of Paint
Applications
Solid Stripe No. of Stripes
Skip Stripe No. of Paint
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes
$24.00 / 0.00$
220
$0.00 / 24.00$
0.00 / 0.00

330
80

Value
Y
Asphalt
1

4
1
2

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description <br> RETRO- | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $706-3$ | REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 192.00 EA | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 595.20$ |
| $710-11-101$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 1.90 GM | $\$ 1,190.19$ | $\$ 2,261.36$ |
| $710-11-131$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 0.95 GM | $\$ 420.88$ | $\$ 399.84$ |
| $711-15-101$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, | 1.90 GM | $\$ 4,730.20$ | $\$ 8,987.38$ |
| $711-15-131$ | WHITE, SOLID, 6" <br> THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, | 0.95 GM | $\$ 1,066.52$ | $\$ 1,013.19$ |
|  | WHITE, SKIP, 6" |  |  |  |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Existing Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
New Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R

| Existing Paved Outside Shoulder | $5.00 / 0.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Width L/R |  |


| New Paved Outside Shoulder Width | $0.00 / 5.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| L/R |  |

Structural Spread Rate ..... 110
Friction Course Spread Rate ..... 80
Total Width (T) / 8" Overlap (O) ..... T
Rumble Strips $\ddot{i ̈}_{i}^{1 / 2 N}$ No. of Sides ..... 0

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $285-704$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | 1,484.04 SY | $\$ 21.65$ | $\$ 32,129.47$ |


| $327-70-1$ | MILLING EXIST ASPH <br> PAVT, 1" AVG DEPTH | $1,392.16 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 3.29$ | $\$ 4,580.21$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C | 76.57 TN | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 9,110.30$ |
| $337-7-25$ | ASPH CONC FC,INC BIT,FC- <br> 5,PG76-22 | 55.69 TN | $\$ 149.67$ | $\$ 8,335.12$ |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | 743.41 SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 1,813.92$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $522-1$ | CONCRETE SIDEWALK |  |  |  |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $5,763.54 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 9,740.38$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 47.46 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 409.58$ |
|  | BARRIER |  |  |  |
| $104-12$ | STAKED TURBIDITY | 47.46 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 259.13$ |
|  | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC |  |  |  |
| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
| $107-1$ | PREVENTION DEVICE | 3.45 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 169.60$ |
| $107-2$ | LITTER REMOVAL | 3.45 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 243.98$ |
|  | MOWING |  |  | $\$ 227,608.37$ |
|  | Shoulder Component Total |  |  |  |

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width
Value
30.00

New Total Median Shoulder Width L/R
8.00 / 8.00

New Paved Median Shoulder Width
L/R

| Existing Total Median Shoulder | $8.00 / 8.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Width L/R | $0.00 / 0.00$ |
| Existing Paved Median Shoulder | 110 |
| Width L/R | 80 |
| Structural Spread Rate | T |
| Friction Course Spread Rate | 0 |
| Total Width (T)/8" Overlap (O) | 0 |
| Rumble Strips $\ddot{u ̈}_{6}^{112 N o . ~ o f ~ S i d e s ~}$ |  |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $7,100.02$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 17,324.05$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $520-1-7$ | CONCRETE CURB \& | $5,011.78$ LF | $\$ 17.89$ | $\$ 89,660.74$ |

Median Component Total \$106,984.79

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400-2-2 | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS | 8.54 CY | \$1,237.24 | \$10,566.03 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-174- \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND,24"SD | 384.00 LF | \$66.75 | \$25,632.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 136 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 36"S/CD | 40.00 LF | \$132.23 | \$5,289.20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-984- \\ & 129 \end{aligned}$ | MITERED END SECT, OPTIONAL RD, 24" SD | 19.00 EA | \$1,667.87 | \$31,689.53 |
| 570-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 334.12 SY | \$2.44 | \$815.25 |
|  | Drainage Component Total |  |  | \$73,992.01 |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $700-1-11$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, <12 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 328.45$ | $\$ 328.45$ |
| $700-1-12$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 12-20 SF | 12.00 AS | $\$ 1,382.97$ | $\$ 16,595.64$ |
| $700-1-50$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, <br> RELOCATE | 1.00 AS | $\$ 188.08$ | $\$ 188.08$ |
| $700-1-60$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, | 12.00 AS | $\$ 27.11$ | $\$ 325.32$ |
| $700-2-14$ | REMOVE | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 31-50 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 4,683.31$ |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

## Rural Lighting Subcomponent

## Description

Multiplier (Number of Poles)

## Value

22

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 4,400.00LF | \$7.28 | \$32,032.00 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 22.00 EA | \$709.74 | \$15,614.28 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 13,200.00LF | \$2.35 | \$31,020.00 |
| 715-4-14 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, 45' | 22.00 EA | \$6,899.30 | \$151,784.60 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 22.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$12,212.20 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$242,663.08 |

Sequence: 7 NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban
Net 0.144 MI
Length: 760 LF
Description: New Roundabout at Uihlein Rd.

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Value
Standard Clearing and Grubbing Limits L/R
Incidental Clearing and Grubbing Area
Alignment Number 1

Distance0.144

Top of Structural Course For Begin Section
Top of Structural Course For End Section
Horizontal Elevation For Begin Section
Horizontal Elevation For End
Section
Front Slope L/R
Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Roadway Cross Slope L/R

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 3.49 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 34,900.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $16,973.16 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 138,161.52$ |
|  | Earthwork Component Total |  | $\$ 173,061.52$ |  |

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R 24.00 / 24.00
Structural Spread Rate
330
Friction Course Spread Rate 80

X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION | $8,565.44 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 62,870.33$ |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | $6,826.13 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 92,494.06$ |
|  | GROUP 09 |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC | $1,126.31 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 134,008.36$ |
|  | CONC, TRAFFIC C |  |  |  |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC | 563.16 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 71,560.74$ |

Pavement Marking Subcomponent
Description

Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type
Solid Stripe No. of Paint
Applications
Solid Stripe No. of Stripes 4
Skip Stripe No. of Paint 1
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $706-3$ | RETRO- |  |  |  |
| REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 58.00 EA | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 179.80$ |  |
| $710-11-101$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.58 GM | $\$ 1,190.19$ | $\$ 690.31$ |
| $710-11-131$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | $\$ 420.88$ | $\$ 122.06$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{clccc}\text { 711-15-101 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, } \\ \text { WHITE, SOLID, 6" }\end{array} & 0.58 \mathrm{GM} & \$ 4,730.20 & \$ 2,743.52 \\ \text { 711-15-131 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, }\end{array} & 0.29 \mathrm{GM} & \$ 1,066.52 & \$ 309.29 \\ \text { WHITE, SKIP, 6" }\end{array}\right]$

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R

Value
10.25 / 10.25
$0.00 / 0.00$
8.00 / 8.00

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $520-1-7$ |  <br> GUTTER, TYPE E | $1,674.70$ LF | $\$ 17.89$ | $\$ 29,960.38$ |
| $520-1-10$ |  <br> GUTTER, TYPE F | $1,962.23 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 23.14$ | $\$ 45,406.00$ |
| $522-1$ | CONCRETE SIDEWALK | $1,863.55 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 35.46$ | $\$ 66,081.48$ |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $1,519.58$ LF | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 2,568.09$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 310.51$ |
|  | BARRIER |  |  |  |
| $104-12$ | STAKED TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 196.45$ |
| $104-15$ | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC |  |  |  |
|  | SOIL TRACKING | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |


| $104-18$ | INLET PROTECTION | 8.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 1,154.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $107-1$ | SYSTEM | 3.66 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 179.93$ |
| $107-2$ | MOWING | 3.66 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 258.84$ |
|  | Shoulder Component Total |  |  | $\$ 148,816.22$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

User Input Data
Description
Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width

Value
30.00
25.50

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $2,152.74 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 5,252.69$ |

X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $520-2-4$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE D | 318.87 LF | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 5,570.66$ |
| $520-2-8$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE RA | 417.83 LF | $\$ 20.67$ | $\$ 8,636.55$ |

## EX-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| CONCRETE PAVEMENT |  |  |  |  |
| M50-30-13 | FOR ROUNDABOUT | 610.00 SY | $\$ 71.80$ | $\$ 43,798.00$ |
|  | APRON, 12" DEPTH |  |  |  |
|  | Median Component Total |  | $\$ 63,257.90$ |  |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

Pay item Description
Quantity Unit Unit Price
Extended Amount

| 400-2-2 | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS | 2.59 CY | \$1,237.24 | \$3,204.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 425-1-351 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, $<10^{\prime}$ | 6.00 EA | \$4,859.08 | \$29,154.48 |
| 425-1-451 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE J-5, $<10^{\prime}$ | 2.00 EA | \$6,003.96 | \$12,007.92 |
| 425-1-521 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, <10' | 1.00 EA | \$4,248.39 | \$4,248.39 |
| 425-2-41 | MANHOLES, P-7, <10' | 1.00 EA | \$4,388.64 | \$4,388.64 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 24"S/CD | 384.00 LF | \$95.00 | \$36,480.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 136 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 36"S/CD | 40.00 LF | \$132.23 | \$5,289.20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 48"S/CD | 720.00 LF | \$198.63 | \$143,013.60 |
| 570-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 43.75 SY | \$2.44 | \$106.75 |
|  | Drainage Component Total |  |  | \$237,893.43 |
| SIGNING COMPONENT |  |  |  |  |
| Pay Items |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| 700-1-11 | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, <12 SF | 4.00 AS | \$328.45 | \$1,313.80 |
| 700-1-12 | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I GM, 12-20 SF | 1.00 AS | \$1,382.97 | \$1,382.97 |
| 700-2-15 | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, 51-100 SF | 1.00 AS | \$5,932.07 | \$5,932.07 |
| 700-2-16 | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I GM, 101-200 SF | 1.00 AS | \$11,053.87 | \$11,053.87 |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | \$19,682.71 |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

## Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

Description
Spacing

Value
MIN

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 759.79 LF | \$7.28 | \$5,531.27 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 150.81 LF | \$21.66 | \$3,266.54 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 6.00EA | \$709.74 | \$4,258.44 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 2,774.97 LF | \$2.35 | \$6,521.18 |
| 715-4-13 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, $40^{\prime}$ | 6.00 EA | \$5,707.60 | \$34,245.60 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 6.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$3,330.60 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$57,153.64 |

Lighting Component Total
\$57,153.63

Sequence 7 Total
\$1,067,590.86

Sequence: 8 NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban
Description: New Roundabout at Del Webb Blvd.

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Standard Clearing and Grubbing | $95.00 / 105.00$ |
| Limits L/R | 0.00 |
| Incidental Clearing and Grubbing |  |
| Area | 1 |
|  | 0.144 |
| Alignment Number | 105.00 |

Top of Structural Course For End
Section
Horizontal Elevation For Begin
Section
Horizontal Elevation For End
Section
Front Slope L/R
6 to $1 / 6$ to 1
Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Roadway Cross Slope L/R

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 3.49 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 34,900.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $16,973.16 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 138,161.52$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 173,061.52$ |  |
|  | Earthwork Component Total |  |  |  |

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

User Input Data
Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate

X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION | $7,875.21 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 57,804.04$ |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | $6,239.52 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 84,545.50$ |
|  | GROUP 09 |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC | $1,029.52 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 122,492.29$ |
|  | CONC, TRAFFIC C |  |  |  |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC | 514.76 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 65,410.55$ |

Pavement Marking Subcomponent

Description
Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type
Solid Stripe No. of Paint Applications
Solid Stripe No. of Stripes 4
Skip Stripe No. of Paint
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $706-3$ | RETRO- <br> REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 58.00 EA | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 179.80$ |
| $710-11-101$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.58 GM | $\$ 1,190.19$ | $\$ 690.31$ |
| $710-11-131$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" <br> 7HERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, | 0.29 GM | $\$ 420.88$ | $\$ 122.06$ |
| $711-15-101$ | THERM, |  |  |  |
| WHITE, SOLID, 6" | 0.58 GM | $\$ 4,730.20$ | $\$ 2,743.52$ |  |
| $711-15-131$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, | 0.29 GM | $\$ 1,066.52$ | $\$ 309.29$ |
| WHITE, SKIP, 6" | 0.58 GM | $\$ 4,736.17$ | $\$ 2,746.98$ |  |

Roadway Component Total

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R

Value
10.25 / 10.25
$0.00 / 0.00$
8.00 / 8.00

## X-Items

Extended Amount

| $520-1-7$ |  <br> GUTTER, TYPE E | $1,526.51 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 17.89$ | $\$ 27,309.26$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $520-1-10$ |  <br> GUTTER, TYPE F | $1,726.59 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 23.14$ | $\$ 39,953.29$ |
| $522-1$ | CONCRETE SIDEWALK <br> AND DRIVEWAYS, 4" | $1,672.57 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 35.46$ | $\$ 59,309.33$ |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $1,519.58$ LF | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 2,568.09$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 310.51$ |
| $104-12$ | BARRIER | STAKED TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 5.46$ |
|  | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC |  | $\$ 196.45$ |  |
| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
|  | PREVENTION DEVICE | 8.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 1,154.00$ |
| $104-18$ | INLET PROTECTION | 3.66 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 179.93$ |
| $107-1$ | SYSTEM | 3.66 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 258.84$ |
| $107-2$ | LITTER REMOVAL |  |  | $\$ 133,940.24$ |
|  | MOWING |  |  |  |

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width

Value
30.00
25.50

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $2,152.74 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 5,252.69$ |

## X-Items

Pay item Description

Quantity Unit Unit Price

## Extended Amount

| 520-2-4 | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE D | 318.87 LF | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 5,570.66$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $520-2-8$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE RA | 417.83 LF | $\$ 20.67$ | $\$ 8,636.55$ |

EX-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONCRETE PAVEMENT |  |  |  |  |
| 350-30-13 | FOR ROUNDABOUT | 610.00 SY | $\$ 71.80$ | $\$ 43,798.00$ |
|  | APRON, 12" DEPTH |  |  |  |
|  | Median Component Total |  | $\$ 63,257.90$ |  |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400-2-2 | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS | 2.59 CY | \$1,237.24 | \$3,204.45 |
| 425-1-351 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, $<10^{\prime}$ | 6.00 EA | \$4,859.08 | \$29,154.48 |
| 425-1-451 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE J-5, <10' | 2.00 EA | \$6,003.96 | \$12,007.92 |
| 425-1-521 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, $<10^{\prime}$ | 1.00 EA | \$4,248.39 | \$4,248.39 |
| 425-2-41 | MANHOLES, P-7, <10' | 1.00 EA | \$4,388.64 | \$4,388.64 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 24"S/CD | 384.00 LF | \$95.00 | \$36,480.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 136 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 36"S/CD | 40.00 LF | \$132.23 | \$5,289.20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 430-175- \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 48"S/CD | 720.00 LF | \$198.63 | \$143,013.60 |
| 570-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 43.75 SY | \$2.44 | \$106.75 |
|  | Drainage Component Total |  |  | \$237,893.43 |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $700-1-11$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, <12 SF | 4.00 AS | $\$ 328.45$ | $\$ 1,313.80$ |
| $700-1-12$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 12-20 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 1,382.97$ | $\$ 1,382.97$ |
| $700-2-15$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 51-100 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 5,932.07$ | $\$ 5,932.07$ |
| $700-2-16$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 101-200 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 11,053.87$ | $\$ 11,053.87$ |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | $\$ 19,682.71$ |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

## Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

| Description |  |  |  | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spacing |  |  |  | MIN |
| Pay Items |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit <br> Price | Extended Amount |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 759.79 LF | \$7.28 | \$5,531.27 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 150.81 LF | \$21.66 | \$3,266.54 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 6.00EA | \$709.74 | \$4,258.44 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 2,774.97 LF | \$2.35 | \$6,521.18 |
| 715-4-13 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, 40' | 6.00EA | \$5,707.60 | \$34,245.60 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 6.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$3,330.60 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$57,153.64 |

Lighting Component Total
\$57,153.63

Net 0.144 MI
Length: 760 LF

Sequence: 9 NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban
Description: New Roundabout at Bourneside Blvd.

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Standard Clearing and Grubbing | $95.00 / 105.00$ |
| Limits L/R | 0.00 |
| Incidental Clearing and Grubbing |  |
| Area |  |

Alignment Number 1
Distance 0.144
Top of Structural Course For Begin 105.00
Section
105.00

Section
Horizontal Elevation For Begin
Section
Horizontal Elevation For End
Section
Front Slope L/R
Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
6 to 1 / 6 to 1

Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Roadway Cross Slope L/R

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 3.49 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 34,900.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $16,973.16 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 138,161.52$ |

Earthwork Component Total

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Value

Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate 24.00 / 24.00330

Friction Course Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate ..... 80

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE |
|  | GROUP 09 |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br>  <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C <br> $337-7-83$ |
| ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC <br> C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 |  |

Pavement Marking Subcomponent

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Include Thermo/Tape/Other | Y |
| Pavement Type | Asphalt |
| Solid Stripe No. of Paint | 1 |
| Applications | 4 |
| Solid Stripe No. of Stripes | 1 |
| Skip Stripe No. of Paint |  |
| Applications | 2 |

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description <br> RETRO- | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $706-3$ | REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 58.00 EA | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 179.80$ |
| $710-11-101$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.58 GM | $\$ 1,190.19$ | $\$ 690.31$ |
| $710-11-131$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | $\$ 420.88$ | $\$ 122.06$ |
| $711-15-101$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, |  |  |  |
| WHITE, SOLID, 6" | 0.58 GM | $\$ 4,730.20$ | $\$ 2,743.52$ |  |
| $711-15-131$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, <br> WHITE, SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | $\$ 1,066.52$ | $\$ 309.29$ |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R

Value<br>10.25 / 10.25<br>$0.00 / 0.00$<br>8.00 / 8.00

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285-701 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 01 | 224.80 SY | \$29.38 | \$6,604.62 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 18.55 TN | \$118.98 | \$2,207.08 |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 18.55 TN | \$127.07 | \$2,357.15 |
| 520-1-7 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE E | 1,508.53 LF | \$17.89 | \$26,987.60 |
| 520-1-10 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE F | 1,361.10 LF | \$23.14 | \$31,495.85 |
| 522-1 | CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS, $4 "$ | 1,703.31 SY | \$35.46 | \$60,399.37 |
| 570-1-2 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 205.88 SY | \$4.21 | \$866.75 |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $1,519.58 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 2,568.09$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 310.51$ |
| $104-12$ | BARRIER |  |  |  |
|  | STAKED TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 196.45$ |


| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING <br> PREVENTION DEVICE | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | INLET PROTECTION | 8.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 1,154.00$ |
| $104-18$ | SYSTEM | 3.66 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 179.93$ |
| $107-1$ | LITTER REMOVAL | 3.66 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 258.84$ |

Shoulder Component Total

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

User Input Data
Description
Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width

Value
30.00
25.50

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $2,152.74$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 5,252.69$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 520-2-4 | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE D | 318.87 LF | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 5,570.66$ |
| $520-2-8$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE RA | 417.83 LF | $\$ 20.67$ | $\$ 8,636.55$ |

## EX-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONCRETE PAVEMENT |  |  |  |  |
| 350-30-13 | FOR ROUNDABOUT | 610.00 SY | $\$ 71.80$ | $\$ 43,798.00$ |
|  | APRON, 12" DEPTH |  |  |  |
|  | Median Component Total |  | $\$ 63,257.90$ |  |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

Pay Items
$\left.\begin{array}{llrrr}\text { Pay item } & \text { Description } & \text { Quantity Unit Unit Price } & \begin{array}{r}\text { Extended } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array} \\ 400-2-2 & \begin{array}{l}\text { CONC CLASS II, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ENDWALLS }\end{array} & 2.59 \mathrm{CY} & \$ 1,237.24\end{array}\right) \$ 3,204.45$

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Spacing | MIN |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 759.79 LF | \$7.28 | \$5,531.27 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 150.81 LF | \$21.66 | \$3,266.54 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 6.00 EA | \$709.74 | \$4,258.44 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING <br> CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 2,774.97 LF | \$2.35 | \$6,521.18 |
| 715-4-13 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, 40' | 6.00EA | \$5,707.60 | \$34,245.60 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 6.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$3,330.60 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$57,153.64 |

Sequence: 10NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban
Description: New Roundabout at Lindrick Ln.

Net 0.144 MI Length: 760 LF
$\qquad$

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Standard Clearing and Grubbing Limits L/R
Incidental Clearing and Grubbing Area

| Top of Structural Course For Begin | 105.00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Section |  |
| Top of Structural Course For End | 105.00 |
| Section | 100.00 |
| Horizontal Elevation For Begin | 100.00 |
| Section | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Horizontal Elevation For End | $4.00 \% / 4.00 \%$ |
| Section | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |
| Front Slope L/R | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 3.49 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 34,900.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $16,973.16 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 138,161.52$ |

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate
Fiction Course Spreat

Value
4
$24.00 / 24.00$

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION | $8,213.59 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 60,287.75$ |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | $5,768.26 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 78,159.92$ |
|  | GROUP 09 |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C | 951.76 TN | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 113,240.40$ |
|  | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC | 475.88 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 60,470.07$ |

Pavement Marking Subcomponent
Description
Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type
Solid Stripe No. of Paint
Value
Asphalt
Applications
Solid Stripe No. of Stripes ..... 4
Skip Stripe No. of Paint ..... 1
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes ..... 2
Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 706-3 | RETRO- <br> REFLECTIVE/RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS | 58.00 EA | \$3.10 | \$179.80 |
| 710-11-101 | PAINTED PAVT MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.58 GM | \$1,190.19 | \$690.31 |
| 710-11-131 | PAINTED PAVT MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | \$420.88 | \$122.06 |
| 711-15-101 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SOLID, $6^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.58 GM | \$4,730.20 | \$2,743.52 |
| 711-15-131 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SKIP, $6 "$ | 0.29 GM | \$1,066.52 | \$309.29 |
| 711-15-201 | THERMOPLASTIC, STDOP,YELLOW, SOLID, 6" | 0.58 GM | \$4,736.17 | \$2,746.98 |
|  | Roadway Component Total |  |  | \$318,950.10 |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R
Value
$10.25 / 10.25$
$0.00 / 0.00$
$8.00 / 8.00$

Value
$0.25 / 10.25$
8.00 / 8.00

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285-701 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 01 | 495.25 SY | \$29.38 | \$14,550.44 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 40.86 TN | \$118.98 | \$4,861.52 |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 40.86 TN | \$127.07 | \$5,192.08 |
| 520-1-7 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE E | 458.59 LF | \$17.89 | \$8,204.18 |
| 520-1-10 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE F | 1,706.66 LF | \$23.14 | \$39,492.11 |
| 522-1 | CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS, 4" | 970.95 SY | \$35.46 | \$34,429.89 |
| 570-1-2 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,773.95 SY | \$4.21 | \$7,468.33 |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $1,519.58 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 2,568.09$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 310.51$ |
|  | BARRIER |  |  |  |
| $104-12$ | STAKED TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 196.45$ |
|  | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC |  |  |  |
| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
|  | PREVENTION DEVICE |  |  |  |
| $104-18$ | INLET PROTECTION |  | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 1,154.00$ |
| $107-1$ | SYSTEM | 3.66 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 179.93$ |
| $107-2$ | LITTER REMOVAL | 3.66 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 258.84$ |
|  | MOWING |  |  | $\$ 121,566.92$ |

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Median Width

Value
30.00

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $2,152.74$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 5,252.69$ |

X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 520-2-4 | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE D | 318.87 LF | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 5,570.66$ |
| $520-2-8$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE RA | 417.83 LF | $\$ 20.67$ | $\$ 8,636.55$ |

EX-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $350-30-13$ | CONCRETE PAVEMENT |  |  |  |
|  | FOR ROUNDABOUT |  |  |  |
|  | APRON, 12" DEPTH | 610.00 SY | $\$ 71.80$ | $\$ 43,798.00$ |
|  | Median Component Total |  | $\$ 63,257.90$ |  |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400-2-2 | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS | 2.59 CY | \$1,237.24 | \$3,204.45 |
| 425-1-351 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, <10' | 6.00 EA | \$4,859.08 | \$29,154.48 |
| 425-1-451 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE J-5, <10' | 2.00 EA | \$6,003.96 | \$12,007.92 |
| 425-1-521 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, $<10^{\prime}$ | 1.00 EA | \$4,248.39 | \$4,248.39 |
| 425-2-41 | MANHOLES, P-7, <10' | 1.00 EA | \$4,388.64 | \$4,388.64 |
| 430-175-124 | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 24"S/CD | 384.00 LF | \$95.00 | \$36,480.00 |
| 430-175-136 | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 36"S/CD | 40.00 LF | \$132.23 | \$5,289.20 |


| 430-175-148 | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 720.00 LF | $\$ 198.63$ | $\$ 143,013.60$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ROUND, 48"S/CD |  |  |  |  |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | 43.75 SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 106.75$ |

Drainage Component Total
\$237,893.43

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $700-1-11$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, <12 SF | 4.00 AS | $\$ 328.45$ | $\$ 1,313.80$ |
| $700-1-12$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 12-20 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 1,382.97$ | $\$ 1,382.97$ |
| $700-2-15$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 51-100 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 5,932.07$ | $\$ 5,932.07$ |
| $700-2-16$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 101-200 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 11,053.87$ | $\$ 11,053.87$ |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | $\$ 19,682.71$ |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

## Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

## Description

Spacing
Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 759.79 LF | \$7.28 | \$5,531.27 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 150.81 LF | \$21.66 | \$3,266.54 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 6.00EA | \$709.74 | \$4,258.44 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 2,774.97 LF | \$2.35 | \$6,521.18 |


|  | LIGHT POLE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 715-4-13 | COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, <br>  <br>  <br> 40' | 6.00 EA | $\$ 5,707.60$ | $\$ 34,245.60$ |
| $715-500-1$ | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, | 6.00 EA | $\$ 555.10$ | $\$ 3,330.60$ |
|  | CONVENTIONAL |  |  | $\$ 57,153.64$ |

Lighting Component Total
\$57,153.63

Sequence 10 Total
\$991,566.21

Sequence: 11 NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban
Net 0.144 MI

Description: New Roundabout at 213 St.

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Standard Clearing and Grubbing Limits L/R
Incidental Clearing and Grubbing Area

Alignment Number 1
Distance
Top of Structural Course For Begin Section
Top of Structural Course For End
Section
Horizontal Elevation For Begin
Section
Horizontal Elevation For End Section
Front Slope L/R
Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Roadway Cross Slope L/R

Value
$95.00 / 105.00$
0.00

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 3.49 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 34,900.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $16,973.16 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 138,161.52$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Earthwork Component Total |  | $\$ 173,061.52$ |  |

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate $24.00 / 24.00$

Friction Course Spread Rate 80

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160-4 | TYPE B STABILIZATION | 7,748.98 SY |
| 285-709 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 09 | 5,363.10 SY |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 884.91 TN |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 442.46 TN |
| Pavement Marking Subcomponent |  |  |
| Description |  | Value |
| Include Thermo/Tape/Other |  | Y |
| Pavement Type |  | Asphalt |
| Solid Stripe No. of Paint |  | 1 |
| Applications |  |  |
| Solid Stripe No. of Stripes |  | 4 |
| Skip Stripe No. of Paint |  | 1 |
| Applications |  |  |
| Skip Stripe No. of Stripes |  | 2 |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 706-3 | RETRO- <br> REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 58.00 EA | \$3.10 | \$179.80 |
| 710-11-101 | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.58 GM | \$1,190.19 | \$690.31 |
| 710-11-131 | PAINTED PAVT MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | \$420.88 | \$122.06 |
| 711-15-101 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SOLID, 6" | 0.58 GM | \$4,730.20 | \$2,743.52 |
| 711-15-131 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | \$1,066.52 | \$309.29 |
| 711-15-201 | THERMOPLASTIC, STDOP,YELLOW, SOLID, 6" | 0.58 GM | \$4,736.17 | \$2,746.98 |
|  | Roadway Component Total |  |  | \$297,849.46 |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R
Value
$10.25 / 10.25$
$0.00 / 0.00$
$8.00 / 8.00$

Value
10.25 / 10.25
0.00 / 0.00
8.00 / 8.00

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $285-701$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE <br> GROUP 01 | 504.26 SY | $\$ 29.38$ | $\$ 14,815.16$ |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC <br> CONC, TRAFFIC C | 41.60 TN | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 4,949.57$ |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC <br> C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 41.60 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 5,286.11$ |
| $520-1-7$ |  <br> GUTTER, TYPE E | 464.04 LF | $\$ 17.89$ | $\$ 8,301.68$ |
| $520-1-10$ |  <br> GUTTER, TYPE F | $1,512.91 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 23.14$ | $\$ 35,008.74$ |


| 522-1 | CONCRETE SIDEWALK | 900.37 SY | $\$ 35.46$ | $\$ 31,927.12$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-2$ | AND DRIVEWAYS, 4" |  |  |  |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $1,519.58 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 2,568.09$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 310.51$ |
|  | BARRIER |  |  |  |
| $104-12$ | STAKED TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 196.45$ |
|  | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC |  |  |  |
| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
|  | PREVENTION DEVICE | 8.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 1,154.00$ |
| $104-18$ | INLET PROTECTION | 3.66 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 179.93$ |
| $107-1$ | SYSTEM | LITTER REMOVAL | 3.66 AC | $\$ 70.72$ |

Shoulder Component Total

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

User Input Data

## Description

Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width

Value
30.00
25.50

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $2,152.74$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 5,252.69$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $520-2-4$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE D | 318.87 LF | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 5,570.66$ |
| $520-2-8$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE RA | 417.83 LF | $\$ 20.67$ | $\$ 8,636.55$ |

## EX-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350-30-13 | CONCRETE PAVEMENT FOR ROUNDABOUT APRON, 12" DEPTH | 610.00 SY | \$71.80 | \$43,798.00 |
|  | Median Component Total |  |  | \$63,257.90 |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400-2-2 | CONC CLASS II, ENDWALLS | 2.59 CY | \$1,237.24 | \$3,204.45 |
| 425-1-351 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, <10' | 6.00 EA | \$4,859.08 | \$29,154.48 |
| 425-1-451 | INLETS, CURB, TYPE J-5, <10' | 2.00 EA | \$6,003.96 | \$12,007.92 |
| 425-1-521 | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, <10' | 1.00 EA | \$4,248.39 | \$4,248.39 |
| 425-2-41 | MANHOLES, P-7, <10' | 1.00 EA | \$4,388.64 | \$4,388.64 |
| 430-175-124 | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 24"S/CD | 384.00 LF | \$95.00 | \$36,480.00 |
| 430-175-136 | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 36"S/CD | 40.00 LF | \$132.23 | \$5,289.20 |
| 430-175-148 | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, ROUND, 48"S/CD | 720.00 LF | \$198.63 | \$143,013.60 |
| 570-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF | 43.75 SY | \$2.44 | \$106.75 |
|  | Drainage Component Total |  |  | \$237,893.43 |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

Pay item Description $\quad$ Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended |
| ---: |
| Amount |

| $700-1-11$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, <12 SF | 4.00 AS | $\$ 328.45$ | $\$ 1,313.80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $700-1-12$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 12-20 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 1,382.97$ | $\$ 1,382.97$ |
| $700-2-15$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 51-100 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 5,932.07$ | $\$ 5,932.07$ |
| $700-2-16$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 101-200 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 11,053.87$ | $\$ 11,053.87$ |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | $\$ 19,682.71$ |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

## Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

| Description |  |  |  | Value <br> MIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spacing |  |  |  |  |
| Pay Items Unit |  |  |  |  |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 759.79 LF | \$7.28 | \$5,531.27 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 150.81 LF | \$21.66 | \$3,266.54 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 6.00EA | \$709.74 | \$4,258.44 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 2,774.97 LF | \$2.35 | \$6,521.18 |
| 715-4-13 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, 40' | 6.00 EA | \$5,707.60 | \$34,245.60 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 6.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$3,330.60 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$57,153.64 |

## Lighting Component Total

Description: New Roundabout at 225 St.

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Standard Clearing and Grubbing | $95.00 / 105.00$ |
| Limits L/R |  |
| Incidental Clearing and Grubbing | 0.00 |
| Area |  |

Alignment Number 1
Distance 0.144
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Top of Structural Course For Begin } & 105.00\end{array}$
Section
Top of Structural Course For End Section
Horizontal Elevation For Begin Section
Horizontal Elevation For End
Section
Front Slope L/R
6 to $1 / 6$ to 1
Median Shoulder Cross Slope L/R
Outside Shoulder Cross Slope L/R $4.00 \% / 4.00 \%$

Roadway Cross Slope L/R

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 3.49 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 34,900.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $16,973.16 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 138,161.52$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 173,061.52$ |  |
|  | Earthwork Component Total |  |  |  |

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes

Value
4

Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate $24.00 / 24.00$

Friction Course Spread Rate 80

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160-4 | TYPE B STABILIZATION | 8,287.02 SY |
| 285-709 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 09 | 5,852.10 SY |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 965.60 TN |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 482.80 TN |
| Pavement Marking Subcomponent |  |  |
| Description |  | Value |
| Include Thermo/Tape/Other |  | Y |
| Pavement Type |  | Asphalt |
| Solid Stripe No. of Paint Applications |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |
| Solid Stripe No. of Stripes |  | 4 |
| Skip Stripe No. of Paint |  | 1 |
| Applications |  |  |
| Skip Stripe No. of Stripes |  | 2 |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RETRO- |  |  |  |  |
| $706-3$ | REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 58.00 EA | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 179.80$ |
| $710-11-101$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.58 GM | $\$ 1,190.19$ | $\$ 690.31$ |
| $710-11-131$ | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | $\$ 420.88$ | $\$ 122.06$ |
| $711-15-101$ | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, | 0.58 GM | $\$ 4,730.20$ | $\$ 2,743.52$ |
| $711-15-131$ | WHITE, SOLID, 6" <br> THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, <br> WHITE, SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | $\$ 1,066.52$ | $\$ 309.29$ |

THERMOPLASTIC, STD-
OP,YELLOW, SOLID, 6"

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | nit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285-701 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 01 | 498.93 SY | \$29.38 | \$14,658.56 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 41.16 TN | \$118.98 | \$4,897.22 |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 41.16 TN | \$127.07 | \$5,230.20 |
| 520-1-7 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE E | 461.08 LF | \$17.89 | \$8,248.72 |
| 520-1-10 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE F | 1,668.72 LF | \$23.14 | \$38,614.18 |
| 522-1 | CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS, 4 " | 948.85 SY | \$35.46 | \$33,646.22 |
| 570-1-2 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,749.41 SY | \$4.21 | \$7,365.02 |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $1,519.58 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 2,568.09$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 310.51$ |
| $104-12$ | BARRIER |  |  |  |
|  | STAKED TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 196.45$ |


| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING <br> PREVENTION DEVICE | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | INLET PROTECTION |  |  |  |
| $104-18$ | SYSTEM | 8.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 1,154.00$ |
| $107-1$ | LITTER REMOVAL | 3.66 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 179.93$ |
| $107-2$ | MOWING | 3.66 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 258.84$ |

Shoulder Component Total

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

User Input Data
Description
Total Median Width
Performance Turf Width

Value
30.00
25.50

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $2,152.74$ SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 5,252.69$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 520-2-4 | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE D | 318.87 LF | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 5,570.66$ |
| $520-2-8$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE RA | 417.83 LF | $\$ 20.67$ | $\$ 8,636.55$ |

## EX-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | CONCRETE PAVEMENT |  |  |  |
| $350-30-13$ | FOR ROUNDABOUT <br> APRON, 12" DEPTH | 610.00 SY | $\$ 71.80$ | $\$ 43,798.00$ |

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $400-2-2$ | CONC CLASS II, |  |  |  |
| ENDWALLS | 2.59 CY | $\$ 1,237.24$ | $\$ 3,204.45$ |  |
| $425-1-351$ | INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, <br> <10' | 6.00 EA | $\$ 4,859.08$ | $\$ 29,154.48$ |
| $425-1-451$ | INLETS, CURB, TYPE J-5, <br> <10' | 2.00 EA | $\$ 6,003.96$ | $\$ 12,007.92$ |
| $425-1-521$ | INLETS, DT BOT, TYPE C, | 1.00 EA | $\$ 4,248.39$ | $\$ 4,248.39$ |
| $425-2-41$ | MANHOLES, P-7, <10' | 1.00 EA | $\$ 4,388.64$ | $\$ 4,388.64$ |
| $430-175-124$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, <br> ROUND, 24"S/CD | 384.00 LF | $\$ 95.00$ | $\$ 36,480.00$ |
| $430-175-136$ | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, <br> ROUND, 36"S/CD | 40.00 LF | $\$ 132.23$ | $\$ 5,289.20$ |
| $430-175-148$ |  |  |  |  |
| PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 720.00 LF | $\$ 198.63$ | $\$ 143,013.60$ |  |
| ROUND, 48"S/CD | 43.75 SY | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 106.75$ |  |
| P70-1-1 | PERFORMANCE TURF |  |  | $\$ 237,893.43$ |

## SIGNING COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $700-1-11$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, <12 SF | 4.00 AS | $\$ 328.45$ | $\$ 1,313.80$ |
| $700-1-12$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 12-20 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 1,382.97$ | $\$ 1,382.97$ |
| $700-2-15$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 51-100 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 5,932.07$ | $\$ 5,932.07$ |
| $700-2-16$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 101-200 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 11,053.87$ | $\$ 11,053.87$ |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | $\$ 19,682.71$ |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

## Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

| Description | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Spacing | MIN |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 759.79 LF | \$7.28 | \$5,531.27 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 150.81 LF | \$21.66 | \$3,266.54 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 6.00EA | \$709.74 | \$4,258.44 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 2,774.97 LF | \$2.35 | \$6,521.18 |
| 715-4-13 | LIGHT POLE COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, 40' | 6.00 EA | \$5,707.60 | \$34,245.60 |
| 715-500-1 | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, CONVENTIONAL | 6.00 EA | \$555.10 | \$3,330.60 |
|  | Subcomponent Total |  |  | \$57,153.64 |

## Lighting Component Total

\$57,153.63

## Sequence 12 Total

\$994,228.81

Sequence: 13 NDU - New Construction, Divided, Urban
Net 0.144 MI

Description: New Roundabout at CR 675

## EARTHWORK COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Standard Clearing and Grubbing Limits L/R
Incidental Clearing and Grubbing Area

| Top of Structural Course For Begin | 105.00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Section |  |
| Top of Structural Course For End | 105.00 |
| Section | 100.00 |
| Horizontal Elevation For Begin | 100.00 |
| Section | 6 to $1 / 6$ to 1 |
| Horizontal Elevation For End | $4.00 \% / 4.00 \%$ |
| Section | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |
| Front Slope L/R | $2.00 \% / 2.00 \%$ |

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $110-1-1$ | CLEARING \& GRUBBING | 3.49 AC | $\$ 10,000.00$ | $\$ 34,900.00$ |
| $120-6$ | EMBANKMENT | $16,973.16 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 138,161.52$ |

Earthwork Component Total \$173,061.52

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Number of Lanes
Roadway Pavement Width L/R
Structural Spread Rate
Friction Course Spread Rate

Value
4
$24.00 / 24.00$
330
80

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $160-4$ | TYPE B STABILIZATION | $9,229.00 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 7.34$ | $\$ 67,740.86$ |
| $285-709$ | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE | $6,480.04 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 13.55$ | $\$ 87,804.54$ |
|  | GROUP 09 |  |  |  |
| $334-1-13$ | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC | $1,069.21 \mathrm{TN}$ | $\$ 118.98$ | $\$ 127,214.61$ |
|  | CONC, TRAFFIC C |  |  |  |
| $337-7-83$ | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC | 534.60 TN | $\$ 127.07$ | $\$ 67,931.62$ |

Pavement Marking Subcomponent
Description
Include Thermo/Tape/Other
Pavement Type
Solid Stripe No. of Paint

Value

Y

Asphalt
1

Applications

Solid Stripe No. of Stripes ..... 4
Skip Stripe No. of Paint ..... 1
Applications
Skip Stripe No. of Stripes ..... 2
Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | nit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 706-3 | RETRO- <br> REFLECTIVE/RAISED <br> PAVEMENT MARKERS | 58.00 EA | \$3.10 | \$179.80 |
| 710-11-101 | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SOLID,6" | 0.58 GM | \$1,190.19 | \$690.31 |
| 710-11-131 | PAINTED PAVT <br> MARK,STD,WHITE,SKIP, 6" | 0.29 GM | \$420.88 | \$122.06 |
| 711-15-101 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SOLID, $6 "$ | 0.58 GM | \$4,730.20 | \$2,743.52 |
| 711-15-131 | THERMOPLASTIC, STD-OP, WHITE, SKIP, 6 " | 0.29 GM | \$1,066.52 | \$309.29 |
| 711-15-201 | THERMOPLASTIC, STDOP,YELLOW, SOLID, $6^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.58 GM | \$4,736.17 | \$2,746.98 |

## SHOULDER COMPONENT

## User Input Data

## Description

Total Outside Shoulder Width L/R
Total Outside Shoulder Perf. Turf Width L/R
Sidewalk Width L/R
Value
$10.25 / 10.25$
$0.00 / 0.00$
$8.00 / 8.00$

Value
8.00 / 8.00

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285-701 | OPTIONAL BASE,BASE GROUP 01 | 445.29 SY | \$29.38 | \$13,082.62 |
| 334-1-13 | SUPERPAVE ASPHALTIC CONC, TRAFFIC C | 36.74 TN | \$118.98 | \$4,371.33 |
| 337-7-83 | ASPH CONC FC,TRAFFIC C,FC-12.5,PG 76-22 | 36.74 TN | \$127.07 | \$4,668.55 |
| 520-1-7 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE E | 776.55 LF | \$17.89 | \$13,892.48 |
| 520-1-10 | CONCRETE CURB \& GUTTER, TYPE F | 1,863.41 LF | \$23.14 | \$43,119.31 |
| 522-1 | CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS, 4 " | 934.83 SY | \$35.46 | \$33,149.07 |
| 570-1-2 | PERFORMANCE TURF, SOD | 1,327.63 SY | \$4.21 | \$5,589.32 |

## Erosion Control

Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $104-10-3$ | SEDIMENT BARRIER | $1,519.58$ LF | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 2,568.09$ |
| $104-11$ | FLOATING TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 310.51$ |
|  | BARRIER |  |  |  |
| $104-12$ | STAKED TURBIDITY | 35.98 LF | $\$ 5.46$ | $\$ 196.45$ |
|  | BARRIER- NYL REINF PVC |  |  |  |
| $104-15$ | SOIL TRACKING | 1.00 EA | $\$ 2,700.54$ | $\$ 2,700.54$ |
|  | PREVENTION DEVICE |  |  |  |
| $104-18$ | INLET PROTECTION | 8.00 EA | $\$ 144.25$ | $\$ 1,154.00$ |
| $107-1$ | SYSTEM | 3.66 AC | $\$ 49.16$ | $\$ 179.93$ |
| $107-2$ | LITTER REMOVAL | 3.66 AC | $\$ 70.72$ | $\$ 258.84$ |
|  | MOWING |  |  | $\$ 125,241.04$ |

## MEDIAN COMPONENT

## User Input Data

Description
Total Median Width

Value
30.00

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $570-1-1$ | PERFORMANCE TURF | $2,152.74 \mathrm{SY}$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 5,252.69$ |

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price |  | Extended <br> Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $520-2-4$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE D | 318.87 LF | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 5,570.66$ |
| $520-2-8$ | CONCRETE CURB, TYPE RA | 417.83 LF | $\$ 20.67$ | $\$ 8,636.55$ |

EX-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $350-30-13$ | FOR ROUNDABOUT |  |  |
|  | APRON, 12" DEPTH |  |  |

Median Component Total \$63,257.90

## DRAINAGE COMPONENT

## Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Extended } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $400-2-2$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { CONC CLASS II, }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| $425-1-351$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { ENDWALLS }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { INLETS, CURB, TYPE P-5, } \\ <10^{\prime}\end{array}$ | 6.59 CY | $\$ 1,237.24$ |$) \$ 3,204.45$


| 430-175- | PIPE CULV, OPT MATL, | 720.00 LF | $\$ 198.63$ | $\$ 143,013.60$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 148 | ROUND, 48"S/CD |  |  | $\$ 106.75$ |

## Drainage Component Total

## SIGNING COMPONENT

| Pay Items |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |  |
| $700-1-11$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, <12 SF | 4.00 AS | $\$ 328.45$ | $\$ 1,313.80$ |
| $700-1-12$ | SINGLE POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 12-20 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 1,382.97$ | $\$ 1,382.97$ |
| $700-2-15$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 51-100 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 5,932.07$ | $\$ 5,932.07$ |
| $700-2-16$ | MULTI- POST SIGN, F\&I <br> GM, 101-200 SF | 1.00 AS | $\$ 11,053.87$ | $\$ 11,053.87$ |
|  | Signing Component Total |  |  | $\$ 19,682.71$ |

## LIGHTING COMPONENT

## Conventional Lighting Subcomponent

## Description

Spacing
Pay Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 630-2-11 | CONDUIT, F\& I, OPEN TRENCH | 759.79 LF | \$7.28 | \$5,531.27 |
| 630-2-12 | CONDUIT, F\& I, DIRECTIONAL BORE | 150.81 LF | \$21.66 | \$3,266.54 |
| 635-2-11 | PULL \& SPLICE BOX, F\&I, 13" x 24" | 6.00EA | \$709.74 | \$4,258.44 |
| 715-1-13 | LIGHTING CONDUCTORS, F\&I, INSUL, NO.4-2 | 2,774.97 LF | \$2.35 | \$6,521.18 |


|  | LIGHT POLE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $715-4-13$ | COMPLETE, F\&I- STD, | 6.00 EA | $\$ 5,707.60$ | $\$ 34,245.60$ |
|  | $40^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |
| $715-500-1$ | POLE CABLE DIST SYS, <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> CONVENTIONAL | 6.00 EA | $\$ 555.10$ | $\$ 3,330.60$ |
| Subcomponent Total |  |  | $\$ 57,153.64$ |  |

Lighting Component Total
\$57,153.63

Sequence 13 Total
\$1,033,773.82

Sequence: 14MIS - Miscellaneous Construction
Net 0.000 MI

Description: MOT
Length: 0 LF
$\qquad$

## ROADWAY COMPONENT

## X-Items

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $102-2-1$ | SPECIAL DETOUR 1 | 1.00 LS | $\$ 1,000,000.00$ | $\$ 1,000,000.00$ |
| $102-71-13$ | TEMPORARY BARRIER, | 36,727.00 LF | $\$ 38.29$ | $\$ 1,406,276.83$ |
|  | F\&I,LOW PROFILE,CONC |  |  |  |
| 102-71-23 | TEMPORARY BARRIER, |  |  |  |
|  | REL,LOW PROFILE <br> CONC | $36,727.00 \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 8.89$ | $\$ 326,503.03$ |

Roadway Component Total
\$2,732,779.86

Date: 4/9/2019 1:09:28 PM

# FDOT Long Range Estimating System - Production R3: Project Details by Sequence Report 

Project: 414506-2-52-01
Letting Date:
07/2022
Description: SR 70 FROM LORRAINE RD TO CR 675/WATERBURY ROAD
District: 01 County: 13 MANATEE Market Area: Units: English
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Contract } & \text { Lump Sum Project: } \mathrm{N} & \text { Design/Build: } & \text { Nroject Length: 6.596 MI } \\ \text { Class: } 7 & & \text { N }\end{array}$
Project Manager: JMK-MJB-DCT

Version 12
Project
\$67,333,412.10
Grand Total
Description: April 2019 Unit Cost Update with markups per PM from Version 11-4/4/19

| Project Sequences Subtotal |  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 3 , 8 5 8 , 7 5 5 . 8 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| $102-1 \quad$ Maintenance of Traffic | $10.00 \%$ | $\$ 5,385,875.59$ |
| $101-1 \quad$ Mobilization | $8.00 \%$ | $\$ 4,739,570.52$ |
|  |  |  |
| Project Sequences Total |  | $\mathbf{\$ 6 3 , 9 8 4 , 2 0 2 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  | $\$ 3,199,210.10$ |
| Project Unknowns | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

Non-Bid Components:

| Pay item | Description | Quantity Unit | Unit Price | Extended <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $999-25$ | INITIAL CONTINGENCY |  |  | $\$ 150,000.00$ |
| AMOUNT (DO NOT BID) |  | LS | $\$ 150,000.00$ | $\$ 150,000.00$ |
| Project Non-Bid Subtotal |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0}$ |  |
| Version 12 Project Grand Total |  | $\$ 67,333,412.10$ |  |  |

APPENDIX B UTILITY AGENCIES AND FACILITES

INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM UAOs

## AT\&T Transmission

1. AT\&T Transmission has 1-2" HDPE conduit that enters the project from the western limits and continued running east along the south side of SR 70 before it ends in a hand hole on the southeast intersection of SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd.
2. AT\&T Transmission has a hand hole located on the southeast corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd. intersection.
3. AT\&T Transmission has 1-2" HDPE conduit that runs north and south along the east side of Lorraine Rd. where it ends in the hand hole on the southeast corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd. intersection.

## Braden River Utilities

1. Braden River Utilities was contacted, but never replied for the PD\&E study limits.

## Bright House Networks

1. Bright House Networks has an UG facility that enters the project limits from the southwest corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection and runs east, crossing Lorraine Rd before it turns and runs north, crossing SR 70 and tying in to the UG Bright House facilities that came down the PRECO power pole, 300' east of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection.
2. Bright House Networks has an UG facility that runs north and south along the east side of Lorraine Rd north of SR 70. This UG facility turns and runs east at the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection before it ends at the PRECO power pole, 300' east of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection.
3. Bright House Networks is an aerial joint user on the PRECO power pole line, which is in an easement. These aerial facilities begin on the PRECO power pole, which is $300^{\prime}$ east of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection on the north side of SR 70 and runs east before ending in front of the PRECO SR 70 Substation.
4. Bright House Networks has UG facilities that risers down off of the PRECO power pole just north of SR 70 \& Del Webb Blvd before it runs south, crossing SR 70 and running along the east side of Del Webb Blvd.
5. Bright House Networks is an aerial joint user on the PRECO power pole line, which is in the state right of way, starting in front of the PRECO SR 70 substation and running east before ending 2400' west of the SR 70 \& Lindrick Ln intersection on the north side of SR 70.
6. Bright House networks aerial facilities become UG, 2400' west of the SR 70 \& Lindrick Ln intersection and runs east along the north side of SR 70 before ending and becoming aerial again on the PRECO power pole line 900 ' east of the SR $70 \&$ Lindrick Ln intersection.
7. Bright House Networks has UG facilities that begin on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& 197th St E intersection that run south along the west side of Lindrick Ln, crossing SR 70.
8. Bright House Networks has UG facilities that begin on the northeast corner of the SR 70 \& 197th St E intersection that run north along the east side of $197^{\text {th }}$ St E.
9. Bright House Networks has aerial facilities on the PRECO power pole line starting 900' east of the SR 70 \& Lindrick Ln intersection on the north side of SR 70 and runs east along the north side of SR 70 before ending and becoming UG again $500^{\prime}$ west of the SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection.
10. Bright House Networks has aerial facilities that become UG, 500' west of the SR 70 \& 225th St E intersection on the north side of SR 70. These facilities run east along the north side of SR

70 before ending and becoming aerial again, on the PRECO power pole line, 250 ' east of the SR 70 \& 225th St E intersection.
11. Bright House Networks has UG facilities that become aerial, on the PRECO power pole, line starting 250 ' east of the SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection on the north side of SR 70 and running east before ending on the north side of SR 70 on the west side of the CR 675 off-ramp.
12. Bright House Networks has UG facilities that begin on the north side of SR 70, just west of the CR 675 off ramp that run east, crossing the CR 675 off ramp, before turning and running south, crossing SR 70 and then turning and running east along the south side of SR 70 and out of the project limits.
13. Bright House Networks has an UG facility that begins on the northeast corner of the SR 70 \& Meadow Dove Lane intersection and runs south along the east side of Meadow Dove Lane.

## Frontier Communications

1. Frontier Communications has 1 - direct buried copper facility that enters the project from the western most limits and runs east along the north side of SR 70 before it ends in a Frontier pull box 1900' east of Uihlein Rd.
2. Frontier Communications has 1- direct buried copper facility that enters the project from the western most limits and runs east along the south side of SR 70 before it turns south at the intersection of SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd and continued south along the east side of Lorraine Rd.
3. Frontier Communications has 2-4" PVC conduit with fiber facilities that enter the project from the western most limits and run east along the south side of SR 70 before it turns south at the intersection of SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd and continues south along the east side of Lorraine Rd.
4. Frontier Communications has a 1-4" PVC conduit with fiber facility that begins on the southeast corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection and runs north, crossing SR 70, and continuing north along the east side of Lorraine Rd.
5. Frontier Communications has a 1-4" PVC conduit with fiber facility that begins on the southeast corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection and runs east along the south side of SR 70 before it turn north, crossing SR 70 at the southwest corner of the SR 70 \& Arbor Green Trail intersection, and continues east along the north side of SR 70 before it ends in the Frontier pull box 1900' east of Uihlein Rd.
6. Frontier Communications has a pull box on the north side of SR 70, 1900' east of Uihlein Rd.
7. Frontier Communications has a 1-1.25" polypipe conduit with fiber facility that begins in the Frontier pull box 1900' east of Uihlein Rd on the north side of SR 70 and runs east on the north side of SR 70 before it turns at the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Del Webb Blvd and runs south, crossing SR 70 and continuing south along the west side of Del Webb Blvd.
8. Frontier Communications has a pull box on the north side of SR 70 that is $1200^{\prime}$ east of Lindrick Ln.
9. Frontier Communications has $4-4 "$ PVC with fiber and copper cable facilities that begin in the Frontier pull box on the north side of SR 70, 1200' east of Lindrick Ln, and runs north outside of the project limits.
10. Frontier Communications has 1 - direct buried copper facility that begin in the Frontier pull box on the north side of SR 70, 1200' east of Lindrick Ln, and runs south, crossing SR 70 and continuing outside of the project limits.
11. Frontier Communications has $1-1.25$ " polypipe conduit with fiber facilities that begin 1600 ' east of Lindrick Ln on the north side of SR 70 and run north outside of the project limits.
12. Frontier Communications has 1 - direct buried copper cable and 3-4" PVC conduit with fiber facilities that begin in the Frontier pull box on the north side of SR 70, 1200' east of Lindrick Ln, and run east along the north side of SR 70 before it ends in a Frontier pedestal located on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& 225th St E intersection.
13. Frontier Communications has a hand hole on the north side of SR 70, 400' west of the SR 70 \& 213th St intersection.
14. Frontier Communications has a hand hole on the north side of SR 70, 100' west of the SR 70 \& 225th St E intersection.
15. Frontier Communications has a pedestal on the northwest intersection of SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection.
16. Frontier Communications has 1 - direct buried copper cable facility, that begins in the Frontier pedestal on the northwest corner of the SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection, and runs north along the west side of 225th St E.
17. Frontier Communications has 3 - direct buried copper cable facilities, that begins in the Frontier pedestal on the northwest corner of the SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection, and run south, crossing SR 70, and continuing along the west side of 225th St E.
18. Frontier Communications has $3-4 "$ PVC conduits with fiber and 3 buried copper cable facilities that begin in the Frontier pedestal on the northwest corner of the SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection, and run east along the north side of SR 70 before ending in a Frontier pedestal on the west side of the CR 675 off-ramp.
19. Frontier Communications has a pedestal on the north side of SR 70, just west of the CR 675 off-ramp.
20. Frontier Communications has a hand hole on the north side of SR 70, just west of the CRF 675 off-ramp.
21. Frontier Communications has 3-4" PVC conduits with fiber and 3 direct buried copper cables that begin in the Frontier hand hole on the north side of SR 70, just west of the CR 675 off-ramp. These facilities run north along the west side of the CR 675 off-ramp and out of the project limits.
22. Frontier Communications has 1 - direct buried copper cable that begins in the Frontier hand hole on the north side of SR 70, just west of the CR 675 off ramp. This facility runs south, crossing SR 70, and continuing south along the west side of Meadow Drive Lane.
23. Frontier Communications has 2-4" PVC conduits with fiber and 2 direct buried copper cable facilities that run east and west along the north side of the CR 675 on-ramp. These facilities continue to run east along the north side of SR 70 and out of the project limits.

## Lakewood Ranch Community Development Districts

1. Lakewood Ranch Community Development Districts was contacted, but never replied for the PD\&E study limits.

## Level 3 Communications - (Now CenturyLink)

1. Level 3 Communications (Now CenturyLink) has facilities, 12 ea. 1.25 " HDPE housing FOC, that enters the project from north side of the CR 675 on ramp and those facilities continue to run east along the north side of the CR 675 on ramp until it is outside of the project limits along SR 70.

## Manatee County Utility Operations

1. Manatee County has a 36 " pipe listed as unknown that enters the project from the western limits and runs east along the north side of SR 70 before it ends at a water valve located on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection.
2. Manatee County has 3 water valves located on the northwest corner of the SR 70 and Lorraine Rd Intersection.
3. Manatee County has a $16 "$ PVC water line that enters the project just south of SR 70 and runs north and south along the west side of Lorraine Rd before it ends at a water valve on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection.
4. Manatee County has a 36 " pipe listed as unknown that enters the project north of SR 70 and runs north and south along the west side of Lorraine Rd before it ends at a water valve on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection.
5. Manatee County has a water valve on the southwest corner of SR 70 \& Greenbrook Blvd.
6. Manatee County has a $8 "$ PVC water line that begins at the water valve on the southwest corner of the SR 70 \& Greenbrook Blvd intersection and runs south along the west side of Greenbook Blvd.
7. Manatee County has water valve on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Post Blvd intersection.
8. Manatee County has a water valve on the northeast corner of the SR 70 \& Post Blvd intersection.
9. Manatee County has a 12 " PVC water line that runs from the water valve on the southwest corner of the SR 70 \& Greenbrook Blvd intersection to the water valve on the northwest corner of the SR $70 \&$ Post Blvd intersection.
10. Manatee County has a 12 " PVC water line that runs from the water valve on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Post Blvd intersection to the water valve on the northeast corner of the SR $70 \&$ Post Blvd intersection.
11. Manatee County has a 12 " PVC water line that starts at the water valve on the northwest corner of the SR 70 \& Post Blvd intersection and runs north along the west side of Post Blvd.
12. Manatee County has a water valve on the southwest corner of the SR 70 \& Del Webb Blvd intersection. There is an 8 " PVC water line that runs from the valve, south along the west side of Del Webb Blvd.

## Myakka Communications

1. Myakka Communications was contacted and replied that they do not have any conflicts within the PD\&E study limits.

## Peace River Electric Coop

1. Peace River Electric Coop (PRECO) has 138 kV transmission facilities that are on 115 ft . concrete poles with underbuilt distribution that has a voltage of 14.4 kV and resides in a private easement. These pole lines enter the project from the western most limits and run east along the north side of SR 70 before turning north and trying in to the PRECO SR 70 substation.
2. Peace River Electric Coop has 138 kV transmission facilities that are on 115 ft . concrete poles with underbuilt distribution that has a voltage of 14.4 kV and resides in a private easement. These facilities begin on the northeast corner of the SR 70 \& Lorraine Rd intersection where they run north along the east side of Lorraine Rd.
3. Peace River Electric Coop has three phase underground power, with a voltage of 14.4 kV , that risers down off of the PRECO pole line 150' east of the SR 70 \& Post Blvd intersection on the north side of SR 70 and runs south, crossing SR 70 and continuing south along the east side of Greenbrook Blvd.
4. Peace River Electric Coop has three phase underground power, with a voltage of 14.4 kV , that risers down off of the PRECO pole line on the northeast corner of the SR 70 \& Del Webb Blvd intersection and runs south, crossing SR 70 and continuing south along the east side of Del Webb Blvd.
5. Peace River Electric Coop has three phase underground power, with a voltage of 14.4 kV , that risers down off of the PRECO pole line 1400 ' east of the SR $70 \&$ Del Webb Blvd intersection, on the north side of SR 70, and runs south, crossing SR 70 and continuing south along the west side of the unnamed dirt road.
6. Peace River Electric Coop (PRECO) has 138 kV transmission facilities that are on 115 ft . concrete poles with underbuilt distribution that has a voltage of 14.4 kV and resides in a private easement. These pole lines run north and south, crossing SR 70 just east of the PRECO SR 70 substation.
7. Peace River Electric Coop has 14.4 kV distribution facilities that are on 45 ' wooden poles and reside in the state right of way. These facilities begin on the north side of SR 70, directly in front of the PRECO SR 70 substation and run east, along the north side of SR 70 before turning and running south, crossing SR 70, 2400' west of 197th St E.
8. Peace River Electric Coop has 14.4 kV distribution facilities that are on 45 ' wooden poles and reside in the state right of way. These facilities begin on the north side of SR 70, 1400' east of the SR 70 \& Lindrick Ln intersection and run east, along the north side of SR 70 until it is outside of the project limits.
9. Peace River Electric Coop has 14.4 kV distribution facilities that are on 45 ' wooden poles and reside in the state right of way. These facilities run north and south, crossing SR 70, 1400' east of the SR 70 \& Lindrick Ln intersection.
10. Peace River Electric Coop has 14.4 kV distribution facilities that are on $45^{\prime}$ wooden poles and reside in the state right of way. These facilities run north and south, crossing SR 70, 1500' east of the SR $70 \& 213$ th $\operatorname{St} \mathrm{E}$ intersection.
11. Peace River Electric Coop has three phase underground power, with a voltage of 14.4 kV , that comes off of the PRECO pole line on the northwest corner of the SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection and runs north along the west side of 225th St E.
12. Peace River Electric Coop has three phase underground power, with a voltage of 14.4 kV , that comes off of the PRECO pole line on the northwest corner of the SR $70 \& 225$ th St E intersection and runs south, crossing SR 70, along the west side of 225th St E.
13. Peace River Electric Coop has 14.4 kV distribution facilities that are on 45 ' wooden poles and reside in the state right of way. These facilities begin on the southwest corner of the SR 70 \& Meadow Dove Lane intersection and run north, crossing SR 70, along the west side of the CR 675 off-ramp.
14. Peace River Electric Coop has three phase underground power, with a voltage of 14.4 kV , that comes off of the PRECO pole line on the southwest corner of the SR 70 \& Meadow Dove Lane intersection and runs south along the west side of Meadow Dove Lane.

## TECO Peoples Gas

1. TECO Peoples Gas has an $8 "$ Steel gas line that runs north and south along the west side of Lorraine Rd.
2. TECO Peoples Gas has a 4" PE gas line that enters the project from the western limits and runs east along the south side SR 70, where it ties in to the 8 " steel gas line that runs north and south along the west side of Lorraine Rd.
3. TECO Peoples Gas has a 4" PE gas line that runs north along the east side of Greenbrook Blvd. before it enters the project limits and runs east along the south side of SR 70 before it turns north, crosses SR 70, and ends 1,300 ft. west of Uihlein Rd. (Sta. 585+20)

## Uniti Fiber

1. Uniti Fiber has $2-1.25^{\prime \prime}$ HDPE fiber optic cables that run south along the east side of Post Blvd. The fiber optic cables then enter the project site on the northeast corner of the SR 70 \& Post Blvd intersection where they turn and run east along the north side of SR 70 before turning and running north along an unnamed road, 1700' east of Uihlein Rd, and out of the project limits.

## APPENDIX C RECOMMENDED

 ALTERNATIVE CONCEPT PLANS
## MANATEE COUNTY



CONCEPT PLANS ENGINEER OF RECORD: DEBORAH HERNANDEZ CEDENO




























APPENDIX D
TYPICAL SECTION PACKAGE

on the day adjacent to the seal

## TYPICAL SECTION PACKAGE

FINANCIAL PROJECT ID 414506-2-32-01
MANATEE COUNTY (13160)
state road no. 70 (ONECO MYAKKA CITY ROAD) WIDENING OF SR 70 FROM A TWO-LANE RURAL ROADWAY TO A SIX-LANE SUBURBAN ROADWAY
M.P. 9.476 TO M.P. 15.567


DESIGN SPEED AND POSTED SPEED CONCURRENCE:





| () | C1: NATURAL | () | C3C: SUBURBAN COMM. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| () | C2: RURAL | () | C4: URBAN GENERAL |
| () | C2T: RURAL TOWN | () | C5: URBAN CENTER |
| (x) | C3R: SUBURBAN RES. | () | C6: URBAN CORE |
| () | N/A: L.A. FACILITY |  |  |

## FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

```
4, interstate colve
(1) () major collector
(1) minor collector
() prncipal arterial () local
    minor ARTERIAL
```

HIGHWAY SYSTEM
(X) national highway system
(x) strategic intermodal system
(X) State highway system
) off-state highway system

## ACCESS CLASSIFICATION

() 1-fREEWAY

1) 2-RESTRICTIVE w/Service Roads
(x) 3-RESTRICTIVE w/660 ft. Connection Spacing
() 4 - Non-RESTRICTIVE w/2640 ft. Signal Spacing
( 5 - RESTRICTIVE w/440 ft. Connection Spacing
() 6 - NON-RESTRICTIVE w/1320 ft. Signal Spacing
) 7 - Both median types

## CRITERIA

(X) new construction / reconstruction
() RESURFACing (LA FACILITIES)
) RRR (ARTERIALS \& COLLECTORS)

POTENTIAL EXCEPTIONS AND VARIATIONS RELATED TO TYPICAL SECTION:
design variations

1. base clearance
2. MEDIAN SHOULDER WIDTH


CURRENT YEA
TRAFFIC DATA
current year
$=2018$ AADT $=10,000$ ESTIMATED OPENING YEAR $=2025$ AADT $=12,000$
ESTIMATED DESIGN YEAR $=2045$ AADT $=16,000$ $K=12.8 \% \quad D=55.9 \% \quad T=6.5 \%$ ( 24 HOUR) DESIGN HOUR $T=3.25 \%$ DESIGN SPEED $=50 \mathrm{MPH}$

TYPICAL SECTION SR 70 (5)
N.T.S.

STA. $415+18.48$ TO STA. $420+02.00$
STA. $427+14.00$ TO STA. $435+18.45$
\& OF CONST. SR 70






```
() C1:NATURAL
c3C: suburban comm
() C2:RURAL () C4:URBAN GENERAL
(f) C2T : RURAL TOWN
(X) C3R: SUbURbAN RES. () c6: URBAN CORE
() N/A: LA facintr
```



``` C6: URBAN CORE
```


## FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

```
() interstate () major collector
(1) FREEWAY/EXPWY. () mINOR COLLECTOR
() princIpAL ARTERIAL (X) LOCAL
() minor arterial
```

HIGHWAY SYSTEM
(1) national highway system
() strategic intermodal system
() State highway system
(x) off-State highway system

## ACCESS CLASSIFICATION

() 1-fREEWAY
() 2-RESTRICTIVE w/Service Roads
() 3 - RESTRICTIVE w/660 ft. Connection Spacing
) 4 - Non-RESTRICTIVE w/2640 ft. Signal Spacing
) 5-RESTRICTIVE w/440 ft. Connection Spacing
(x) 6 - NON-RESTRICTIVE w/1320 ft. Signal Spacing
) 7 - Both median types

## CRITERIA

(X) NEW CONSTRUCTION / RECONSTRUCTION
() RESURFACING (LA FACILITIES)
() RRR (ARTERIALS \& COLLECTORS

POTENTIAL EXCEPTIONS AND VARIATIONS RELATED TO TYPICAL SECTION:
N/A


UIHLEIN ROAD
MINOR ROAD/NO ALIGNMENT PROVIDED

NOT TO SCALE

[^1]

```
() C1:NATURAL
C C3C: suburban comm
() C2:RURAL () C4:URBAN GENERAL
C2T : RURAL TOWN
(X) C3R: SUbURbAN RES. () c6: URBAN CORE
() N/A: LA facINTY
```


## FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

```
() interState () major collector
(1) FREEWAY/EXPWY. () MINOR COLLECTOR
(1) principal arterial (x) local
) minor arterial
```

HIGHWAY SYSTEM
(1) national highway system
() Strategic intermodal system
() State highway system
(x) off-State highway system

## ACCESS CLASSIFICATION

() 1-fREEWAY
() 2-RESTRICTIVE w/Service Roads
() 3 - RESTRICTIVE w/660 ft. Connection Spacing
) 4 - Non-RESTRICTIVE w/2640 ft. Signal Spacing
( 5 -RESTRICTIVE w/440 ft. Connection Spacing
(x) 6 - NON-RESTRICTIVE w/1320 ft. Signal Spacing
) 7 - Both median types

## CRITERIA

(X) NEW CONSTRUCTION / RECONSTRUCTION
() Resurfacing (la facilities)
() RRR (ARTERIALS \& COLLECTORS

POTENTIAL EXCEPTIONS AND VARIATIONS RELATED TO TYPICAL SECTION:
N/A


197TH ST., 213TH ST., AND 225TH ST. E (EB) MINOR ROADS/NO ALIGNMENT PROVIDED
not to scale
$\begin{aligned} & \text { CURRENT YEAR }=2019 \text { AADT }=N / A \\ & \text { ESTMATD }\end{aligned}$ ESTIMATED OPENING YEAR $=2025$ AADT $=N / A$
ESTIMATED DESIGN YEAR $=2045$ AADT $=N / A$ $K=9.5 \% \quad D=N / A \quad T=N / A(24$ HOUR)
DESIGN HOUR $T=N / A$
DESIGN SPEED $=40 \mathrm{MPH}$ (ASSUMED) POSTED SPEED $=25$ to 30 MPH




## APPENDIX E AGENCY COORDINATION

# Florida Department of Transportation 

801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, FL 33830

KEVIN J. THIBAULT, P.E. SECRETARY

April 30, 2019

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director<br>Florida Division of Historical Resources<br>Department of State, R.A. Gray Building<br>500 South Bronough Street<br>Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250<br>Attn: Transportation Compliance Review Program<br>RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey<br>Project Development and Environment Study (PD\&E)<br>SR 70 from Lorraine Road to CR 765/Waterbury Road Manatee County, Florida<br>FPID No.: 414506-2-22-01; ETDM: 14263

Dear Dr. Parsons:
A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was performed within the area of potential effect (APE) for the SR 70 project in Manatee County, Florida. This study was performed to evaluate the proposed widening of 6.1 miles of State Road (SR) 70 from Lorraine Road (MP 9.478) to County Road (CR) 675/Waterbury Road (MP 15.567), including one regional pond site, three Floodplain Compensation (FPC) sites, and seven roundabouts. Within the limits of this study, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is evaluating one project build alternative and three project segments: Segment A extends from Lorraine Road to east of Greenbrook Boulevard, Segment B extends from east of Greenbrook Boulevard to Bourneside Boulevard, and Segment C extends from Bourneside Boulevard to the eastern project limit at CR 675. The proposed action is to increase the capacity of the existing two-lane undivided roadway by widening it to a four or six-lane divided roadway

The archaeological APE was defined as the footprint of the proposed improvements within the existing and proposed right-of-way (ROW) for the SR 70 corridor and the area contained within the one regional pond and three FPC sites; and the historic/architectural APE was defined as the archaeological APE and adjacent parcels.

This CRAS was conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. The investigations were carried out in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT's PD\&E Manual, FDOT's Cultural Resources Manual, and the standards contained in the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operations Manual (FDHR 2003). In addition, this survey meets the specifications set forth in Chapter 1A-46, Florida Administrative Code.
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Background research revealed that no archaeological sites were previously recorded within or adjacent to the APE and the background research also suggested the project had a low potential for aboriginal site occurrence. No historic period archaeological sites were expected. In addition, the APE is highly disturbed with ditches, fill, utilities, and pavement; thus, the likelihood of finding intact cultural resources was considered low.

Historical background research indicated that portions of three previously recorded historic linear resources ( 50 years of age or older) are within the project APE: 8MA01814, a segment of an abandoned rail bed once associated with the East \& West Coast Railway, 8MA01815 (the Lakewood Ranch Canal \#2), and 8MA01816 (the Lakewood Ranch Canal \#3). Portions of 8MA01814 have been determined eligible for listing in the NRHP by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO); however, the portions of railbed adjacent and within the APE was determined not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by the SHPO. In addition, 8MA01815 and 8MA01816 were also determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. Background research did reveal that portions of SR 70 have been recorded in Manatee County and determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP; but the segment of SR 70 within the APE has not been recorded. Thus, 8 MA01906 was updated to reflect the segment of SR 70 within the APE. This portion of SR 70 also does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Based on the results of the background research and field survey, there are no significant historic or prehistoric archaeological sites or historic resources within the APE. Thus, it appears that the proposed undertaking will have no effect on any NRHP listed, determined eligible, or potentially eligible resources within the APE.

The CRAS Report is provided for your review and comment. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 863.519.2805 or vivianne.cross(a)dot.state.fl.us

Wencine Cross

Vivianne Cross<br>Environmental Project Manager

Enclosures: One original copy of the CRAS (April 2019); One FMSF Form, One Completed Survey Log

CC: Mark Easley, KCA
Marion Almy, ACI
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The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Survey Report complete and sufficient and $\qquad$ concurs/ $\qquad$ does not concur with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR Project File Number AtG. $30-98 \mathrm{An}$, Or, the SHPO finds the attached document contains
$\qquad$ insufficient information.

SHPO Comments:

## 2016-3278c

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Dr. Timothy Parsonb, Director
$\frac{5 / 29 / 2019}{\text { Date }}$
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources


[^0]:    Michael Campo, P.E.

[^1]:    TRAFFIC DATA
    $\begin{array}{ll}\text { CURRENT YEAR } & =2019 \text { AADT }=N / A \\ \text { ESTIMATED OPENING YEAR } & =2025 \text { AADT }=4 / 300\end{array}$ ESTIMATED OPENING YEAR $=2025$ AADT $=4,300$
    ESTIMATED DESIGN YEAR $=2045$ AADT $=14,000$ $K=9.5 \% \quad D=N / A \quad T=N / A$ (24 HOUR)
    DESIGN HOUR T = N/A
    DESIGN SPEED $=40 \mathrm{MPH}$ (ASSUMED)
    POSTED SPEED $=35 \mathrm{MPH}$

